BUDGET CUT ASSESSMENT FORM

Mc3

Budget Cut Assessment Form MANAGEMENT RESTRUCTURE REGULATORY S	Ref No Mc3	Responsible AD Responsible Finance Manage	er Responsible HR Manager	Responsible Lawyer	Responsible EIA Officer
Description of Proposal:				1	
 Delete three Heads of Service posts in Regulatory Services. Merge the functions under the remaining Heads of Service and One front line officer post would need to be upgraded to a Print Merge CCTV Manager and Parking Enforcement Co-ordinator 	cipal Officer to maintain adequate management capacit	ity. This additional cost is reflected in the net savings identi	fied.		
This proposal has been implemented and had little direct impact	at on front line services (other than reduced Public Ever	ents Safety Advisory Group) but has reduced capacity of ma	anagement which entail risks of poorer direction	on, supervision and quality of decisions.	
Human Resources Impacts	Asset Management Impacts	Decommissioning Costs		Cost Shunting	

Human Resources Impacts		Asset Management Impacts	Decommissioning Costs	Cost Shunting
No. of posts to be deleted	3	Detail any released buildings/building space Identify disposal or requisition issues	In addition to HR and Asset costs identify any others e.g. early contract termination costs	Is there any known or potential to increase costs elsewhere within Council budgets
No. of potential redundancies	3	No	No	
Estimated Redundancy costs	£128,209			

	Financial Summary					
	2016/17	2017/18	2018/19	2019/20	2020/21	
Estimated reduction to budget	65,000	130,000	130,000	130,000	130,000	
Redundancy Costs	128,209	0	0	0	0	
Asset Implication	0	0	0	0	0	
Decommissioning Costs	0	0	0	0	0	
Known Cost Shunting	0	0	0	0	0	
Overheads	2,123	4,185	4,131	4,101	4,101	
NET Budget Reduction	(61,086)	134,185	134,131	134,101	134,101	

Equality Impact Assessment

Officers have considered the proposal's relevance to Darlington Borough Council's Public Sector Equality Duty. Councillors should note that an initial equalities impact assessment screening was conducted and determined that this proposal has no or negligible impacts on protected characteristics and therefore a full EIA was not undertaken.