BUDGET CUT ASSESSMENT FORM

| Budget Cut Assessment Form | Ref No | Responsible AD | Responsible Finance Manager | Responsible HR Manager | Responsible Lawyer | Responsible EIA Officer |
|----------------------------|--------|----------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|
| SOCIAL FUND BUDGET | S13 | | | | · | · |

Description of Proposal:

Proposal to withdraw Social Fund would lead to the withdrawal of two elements of support.

Crisis Support and Community Support.

Crisis Support at miss to prevent immediate deterioration to an applicant's health by providing access to food, heating and limited supplies of clothing and baby consumables. If the full social fund budget was withdrawn in total this would leave no safety net for individuals or families and is likely to lead to an increase in rough sleepers, increase cases for child protection because of neglect, potential increase in acquisitive crime, increased risk to health including mental health. In rare cases travel costs are permitted but only where individuals are fleeing domestic violence or other issues.

The Social Fund has been tightly managed by the Housing Revenues and Benefit team and discretion is used as necessary to operate the scheme in a cost effective manner. The Social Fund Policy has recently been reviewed to tighten criteria and to link to prevention measures. The devolved Social Fund has

The majority of these claims are for single people and in particular those aged between 20-30 years of age.

been operating since April 2013 and in 2014/15 1,401 applications were received for the Crisis Fund element of which 20% were granted.

Community Support

Community support.

Community support aims to help applicants remain in the community or move back into the community after a period in supported or unsettled accommodation including hospital or prison. It provides a basic package of furniture and household goods including bed, bedding, white goods and furniture. This funding provides the mechanisms to reduce demand on other services. Not providing this support would lead to, increased demand for children and young people to be taken into care and increased demand on supported accommodation as people will be unable to afford to move on, increased issues with resettlement of offenders and individuals who have been in long term care settings.

The Community Care element is largely focussed on those receiving treatment, care or support because they are disabled, seriously ill, homeless, pregnant or have some other support need. Packages are focussed on individual cases and the discretion used by housing benefit staff has been crucial in ensuring that the resource is focussed on those most in need but also focussed to reduce the demand on other services including DBC and those of health.

| Human Resources Impacts | | Asset Management Impacts | Decommissioning Costs | Cost Shunting |
|-------------------------------|---|---|---|---|
| No. of posts to be deleted | 2 | Detail any released buildings/building space Identify disposal or requisition issues | In addition to HR and Asset costs identify any others e.g. early contract termination costs | Is there any known or potential to increase costs elsewhere within Council budgets |
| No. of potential redundancies | 0 | | | Withdrawing this support is likely to increase indebtedness and debts owed to the LA for housing and services. Also higher costs with children and families being taken into care and requiring expensive and complex |
| Estimated Redundancy costs | | | | services including residential or foster placements. Not all costs would be picked up by DBC but some would be picked up by health but they could fine us for bed blocking |

| | Financial Summary | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|---|-------------------|--|--|
| | 2016/17 | 2017/18 | 2018/19 | 2019/20 | 2020/21 | | |
| Estimated reduction to budget | | | | | | | |
| Crisis Fund | 12,500 58,583 | 25,000 148,765 | 25,000 150,365 | 25,000 151,965 | 25,000 151,965 | | |
| Community Fund | , , , , , , , | 2, 22 | , | ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, | ,,,,,, | | |
| Redundancy Costs | | | | | | | |
| Asset Implication | | | | | | | |
| Decommissioning Costs | | | | | | | |
| Known Cost Shunting | | | | | | | |
| Overheads | 2,321 | 5,594 | 5,573 | 5,582 | 5,582 | | |
| NET Budget Reduction | 73,404 | 179,359 | 180,938 | 182,547 | 182,547 | | |

Equality Impact Assessment

Officers have considered the proposal's relevance to Darlington Borough Council's Public Sector Equality Duty and full Equality Impact Assessment has been undertaken. A copy of this is available in 3 (b) Appendix 1.