### MTFP Proposal 10 Household Waste

## Overview of Information ascertained from meeting with COB member on the 21.10.11

Meeting location: Town Hall

Time of Meeting: 14:30 - 15:30

People present:.

Peter Roberts – Social Enterprise Development Manager. Helen Watson – Supporting People Contracts Officer.

**Purpose of the Meeting:-** To review each of the potential proposals identified, utilising the proforma template below to ascertain where potential impacts could be indentified for individuals with protected characteristics under the Equality Act.

Specific proposal number discussed: MTFP Proposal 10 Household Waste

Following materials would be stopped from being taken free of charge: social and rubble. Plasterboard, waste oil, batteries (other than those associated with household appliances). The charge would be minimal.

Vans and trailers would need a permit to dispose of household waste.

**Employee Impact: Non identified.** 

#### Potential risk

Potential for increased fly tipping, Impact raised at visually impaired group about difficulty for those on low income who do not or cannot drive.

# MTFP/EIA Checklist Completed from an initial community perspective

| Number of proposal                |   |                           | ES12                         |                                  |      |                 |   |  |   |  |  |
|-----------------------------------|---|---------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------------|------|-----------------|---|--|---|--|--|
| Name of proposal and description  | Household waste recycling – stop accepting waste that is classified as household waste. |                           |                              |                                  |      |                 |   |  |   |  |  |
| Responsible AD                    |   |                           |                              | n Th                             | om   | pson            |   | EIA Member                               | Peter Roberts/ Helen Watson.  |  |  |
| Financial Resources<br>Identified | 20<br>20<br>20<br>20  | enti<br>12/<br>13/<br>14/ | fied<br>13 £<br>14 £<br>15 £ | Savii<br>45,00<br>45,00<br>45,00 | 0000 | Finance Contact | lan Thompson                            |  |   |  |  |
| Has the data quality be           | _   |                           | cke                          | d?                               |      | Υ               | N                                       | Data Contact                             | Ian Thompson  |  |  |
| Protected<br>Characteristics      |   | oact<br>/N                | Level of Impact              |                                  |      |                 | Explanation of decision Level of impact |  |   |  |  |
| Age                               | Υ   | N                         | Н                            | M                                | L    | nil             | particular                              | protected characteri                     | identified against this<br>stic based upon the<br>tailed in the proposal  |  |  |
| Race                              | Υ   | N                         | Н                            | M                                | L    | nil             | particular                              | protected characteri                     | identified against this<br>stic based upon the<br>tailed in the proposal  |  |  |
| Sex                               | Υ   | N                         | Н                            | M                                | L    | nil             | particular                              | protected characteri                     | identified against this<br>stic based upon the<br>tailed in the proposal  |  |  |
| Gender<br>Reassignment            | Υ   | N                         | Н                            | M                                | L    | nil             | particular                              | protected characteri                     | identified against this<br>stic based upon the<br>tailed in the proposal  |  |  |
| Disability                        | Y   | N                         | Н                            | M                                | L    | nil             | particular present so                   | protected charactericope and options des | identified against this stic based upon the tailed in the proposal. cility is adjacent. The s been picked up in low |  |  |

| Religion or belief             | Y | N | Н | M | L | nil | Presently no impact has been identified against this particular protected characteristic based upon the present scope and options detailed in the proposal |
|--------------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|-----|--|
| Sexual Orientation             | Υ | N | Н | М | L | nil | Presently no impact has been identified against this particular protected characteristic based upon the present scope and options detailed in the proposal |
| Pregnancy or maternity         | Y | N | н | M | L | nil | Presently no impact has been identified against this particular protected characteristic based upon the present scope and options detailed in the proposal |
| Marriage/<br>Civil Partnership | Y | N | н | M | L | nil | Presently no impact has been identified against this particular protected characteristic based upon the present scope and options detailed in the proposal |

### Will the proposal help to eliminate discrimination, harassment and victimisation?

No, this proposal will not have a positive impact on eliminating discrimination, harassment or victimisation.

### Will the proposal help to advance equality of opportunity?

No, Please refer to comments above.

### Will the proposal help to foster good relations?

No the proposal will not play any direct role in helping to foster good relations.

| Other Groups to consider         |   | oact<br><b>/N</b> | Level of<br>Impact |   |   |     | Explanation of decision Level of impact  |
|----------------------------------|---|-------------------|--------------------|---|---|-----|--|
| Unemployed/low income            | Y | N                 | Н                  | M | L | nil | A charge will now be applied to the disposal of certain waste which was previously a free facility. The charge is to be minimal but could result in people of low incomes due to the cross impacts of the welfare benefit reform bill being unable to unable to form to utilise the provision and have reduced alternative options for appropriate disposal. |
| Carers                           | Υ | N                 | Н                  | М | L | nil | Presently no impact has been identified against this particular protected characteristic based upon the present scope and options detailed in the proposal   |
| People with criminal convictions | Υ | N                 | Н                  | М | L | nil | Presently no impact has been identified against this particular protected characteristic based upon the  |

|   |     |     |      |      |      |       | present scope and options detailed in the proposal  |
|---|-----|-----|------|------|------|-------|---|
| Refugees & Asylum seekers   | Υ   | N   | Н    | M    | L    | nil   | Presently no impact has been identified against this particular protected characteristic based upon the present scope and options detailed in the proposal  |
| Gypsies & Travellers  | Υ   | N   | Н    | М    | L    | nil   | Aside from the requirement for permits to be obtained for vans to dispose of household waste. Presently no specific impact has been identified against this particular protected characteristic based upon the present scope and options detailed in the proposal |
| Young People leaving care   | Υ   | N   | Н    | M    | L    | nil   | Presently no impact has been identified against this particular protected characteristic based upon the present scope and options detailed in the proposal  |
| Geographical impacts  | Y   | N   | н    | М    | L    | nil   | There is the potential for an increase in fly tipping, which tends to be more prevalent in certain areas of the town. However, where these measures have been introduced in other Authorities the increase in fly tipping has not been significant.               |
|   |     |     |      |      |      |       | There is a potential linked impact relating to the reduction in the number of environmental services officers which may see response times reduce.  |
| Are there any potential   | imı | pac | ts o | n pa | artn | ers e | eg. Providers, Health, Police etc. Please detail  |
| Potential impacts upon the anti social behaviour team due to increased calls surrounding fly tipping, environmental services may have more incidents to respond to.  Police and Fire services may receive increased calls relating to incidents which have occurred where there has been fly tipping i.e the starting of small fires etc. |     |     |      |      |      |       |   |
| Have any other ontions been explored Y N If yes please detail   |     |     |      |      |      |       |   |
| Have any other options been explored Y N If yes please detail   |     |     |      |      |      |       |   |
| Explored as part of a range of options within the MTTFP.  |     |     |      |      |      |       |   |