MTFP Proposal 31 – Indoor Bowls Club

Overview of Information ascertained from meeting with COB member on the 12.10.11

Meeting location: Vicarage Road, Ian Thompson's Office.

Time of Meeting: 8:30 - 9:30

People present: Ian Thompson – Assistant Director Environmental Services.

Peter Roberts – Social Enterprise Development Manager. Helen Watson – Supporting People Contracts Officer.

Purpose of the Meeting:- To review each of the potential proposals identified, utilising the proforma template below to ascertain where potential impacts could be identified for individuals with protected characteristics under the Equality Act.

Specific proposal number discussed: CS1

Further clarification obtained from discussion with the COB member which is not covered within the proposal sheet:

This has been a target which the bowling club has been aware of for a number of years and have been working towards as part of a phased transition process.

The transition will result in the indoor bowls club coming self sustaining.

lan has advised that in the transition process the bowling club has potentially has an additional 2 years of transitional protection than was envisaged at the outset. The proposal outlines reduction over a further 2 year period.

The indoor bowls club have been working to secure external funding.

There are presently approximately 400 members of the indoor bowls club.

This proposal has particular risks associated with it in that if the indoor bowls club does not become financially sustainable and fold. The Council will still have the commitment of the long term lease with Morrisons.

Further information subsequently obtained:

Any outstanding points of clarification:

MTFP/EIA Checklist

Number of proposal		CS1										
Name of proposal and description			Stop funding Darlington Indoor Bowls Club									
Responsible AD	laı	n Th	om	pson		EIA Member	Peter Roberts/ Helen Watson.					
Financial Resources Identified	20 20 20	den 12/ 13/2 14/2	tifie 13 £ 2014 2014	Savi d 10,00 4 £25 5 £25 6 £25	00 ,000 ,000	Finance Contact	lan Thompson					
Has the data quality be	en (che	cke	d?		Y	N	Data Contact	Ian Thompson			
Protected Characteristics		_	vel o		Explanation of decision Level of impact							
Age	Y	N	Н	М	L	nil	The meml		club is predominately			
Race	Υ	N	Н	М	L	nil		c impact has been ic protected characteri	dentified in relation to this stic.			
Sex	Y	N	Н	М	L	nil		ic impact has been i protected characteri	dentified in relation to this stic.			
Gender Reassignment	Υ	N	н	М	L	nil		c impact has been ic protected characteri	dentified in relation to this stic.			
Disability	Y	N	Н	М	L	nil	predomina number of the facility The propo of the prov	people with frailties beal will not directly in	of the facility are is likely that there will be a and impairments utilising mpact upon the availability ts to members could be			
Religion or belief	Y	N	Н	М	L	nil		c impact has been ic protected characteri	dentified in relation to this stic.			

Sexual Orientation	Υ	N	Н	М	L	nil	No specific impact has been identified in relation to this particular protected characteristic.
Pregnancy or maternity	Υ	N	Н	M	L	nil	No specific impact has been identified in relation to this particular protected characteristic.
Marriage/ Civil Partnership	Υ	N	Н	М	L	nil	No specific impact has been identified in relation to this particular protected characteristic.

Will the proposal help to eliminate discrimination, harassment and victimisation?

No, this proposal will not have a positive impact on eliminating discrimination, harassment or victimisation.

Will the proposal help to advance equality of opportunity?

No, Please refer to comments above.

Will the proposal help to foster good relations?

No the proposal will not play any direct role in helping to foster good relations.

Other Groups to consider		oact /N	Level of Impact			Explanation of decision Level of impact	
Unemployed/low income	Υ	N	Н	М	L	nil	No specific impact has been identified in relation to this particular protected characteristic.
Carers	Υ	N	Н	М	L	nil	No specific impact has been identified in relation to this particular protected characteristic.
People with criminal convictions	Υ	N	Н	М	L	nil	No specific impact has been identified in relation to this particular protected characteristic.
Refugees & Asylum seekers	Υ	N	Н	М	L	nil	No specific impact has been identified in relation to this particular protected characteristic.
Gypsies & Travellers	Υ	N	Н	М	L	nil	No specific impact has been identified in relation to this particular protected characteristic.
Young People leaving care	Υ	N	Н	М	L	nil	No specific impact has been identified in relation to this particular protected characteristic.

Geographical impacts	Y	N	Н	М	L	nil	This proposal will impact upon on a resource in a specific geographical location. However, the proposal is looking to preserve the resource and make It self sufficient.
Are there any potential impacts on partners eg. Providers, Health, Police etc. Please detail							
None identified at this stage.							
Have any other options been explored					d	Υ	If yes please detail
This proposal has been part of a phased transition which has been in discussion for a number of years.							