MTFP Proposal 32 CCTV

Overview of Information ascertained from meeting with COB member on the 12.10.11

Meeting location: Vicarage Road, Ian Thompson's Office.

Time of Meeting: 8:30 - 9:30

People present: Ian Thompson – Assistant Director Environmental Services.
Peter Roberts – Social Enterprise Development Manager.
Helen Watson – Supporting People Contracts Officer.

Purpose of the Meeting:- To review each of the potential proposals identified, utilising the proforma template below to ascertain where potential impacts could be indentified for individuals with protected characteristics under the Equality Act 2010.

Specific proposal number discussed: 32

Further clarification obtained from discussion with the COB member which is not covered within the proposal sheet: N/A

Further information subsequently obtained:

CCTV Monitoring is undertaken on behalf of other stakeholders as detailed below.

- Darlington Town Centre as well as other areas of Darlington
- Bishop Auckland town centre (on behalf of Durham County Council)
- Crook town centre (on behalf of Durham County Council)
- Bank Top Railway Station (on behalf of East Coast Trains)
- Queen Elizabeth 6th Form College playing fields)
- Mowden Shops (on behalf of Yuills)

Publicity information for CCTV information in Darlington states the following as key objectives from the present CCTV service:-

1) Reduce the fear of crime.
2) Assist the police in apprehending and prosecuting offenders.
3) Deter any criminal or anti social behaviour
4) Produce evidential material for court proceedings.
5) Provide a safe environment for anyone who lives, trades, or works in Darlington town centre.
6) Deter vehicle related crime, anti social behaviour and other crimes at Darlington Railway Station.
7) Assist the fire, ambulance and civil emergency services with efficient deployment of resources to deal with emergencies.
8) Assist DBC in carrying out its statutory duties.

Recording of everyday street and car park activity are electronically wiped after 31 days. Images are downloaded onto CD's and DVD's when evidence is required by the Police, Crown Prosecution Service or other statutory authorities.

Any outstanding points of clarification: Have any other options been explored? Will any of the arrangements above with other stakeholder be affected by the proposal? Clarify on the scope of the town centre boundary required?

What is the potential for cross impacts?

Questions sent to Ian Thompson via email on the 28.10.11 with regard to clarification on ES and CS proposals

Responses obtained via telephone conversation with Helen Watson on the 31.10.11 at 17:00pm

With regard to:

CS4:- CCTV/Alarm Monitoring.

Q1) I also note from the proposal heading that it makes reference to alarm monitoring also. Please could you clarify for me whether there is also another dimension to the proposal relating to alarm monitoring?

A) There is no other dimension to the proposal is it primarily around CCTV monitoring with no identified considerations at present around the Alarm provision.

2) I note there are a number of contractual agreements relating to the delivery of CCTV, which includes provision on behalf of other Local Authorities and a specific arrangement relating to Mowden Shops.

Please could you clarify for me whether these arrangements are outside of the scope the present proposal?

A) These arrangements are outside of the scope of the present proposals. Please refer to separate excel spreadsheet with regard to the location of CCTV cameras.

3) Is the intention to have the cameras in outlying areas switched off, and the camera removed or the cameras to remain in place but not activated ?

A) The detail regarding the switching off or switching off and removal has not yet been specified. Ian did feel that potentially the cameras would need to be removed although that has not yet been worked up.

Further phone call to Ian on the 01.11.10 to query what would happen with regard to the maintenance should the cameras be turned off. Ian had confirmed that the savings related to removed required for telephone lines, general maintenance. Clarification was obtained as to who presently undertakes the maintenance function. This is presently undertaken through an external contract function, this contract is up for up for renewal October 2012.

4) Do you have a map of what areas are included as outlying areas in relation to this proposal?

A) Supplied through below.

cam 7	**northgate house**	**cam 62**	**estoril road south**
cam 16	police station	cam 64	skerne park
cam 17	fire station	cam 70	abbey rd
cam 20	russel street	cam 71	abbey rd
cam 21	gladstone st	cam 72	abbey rd / 6th form collage
cam 22	kendrew st	cam 73	stanhope park
cam 31	sainsburys ,victoria rd	cam 74	trinity road
cam 32	victoria rd	cam 75	vane terrace
cam 33	victoria rd	cam 77	nightingale ave
cam 34	garden st	cam 80	abbey rd playing fields
cam 35	northgate	cam 81	abbey rd playing fields
cam 36	northgate	cam82	abbey rd playing fields
cam 37	northgate	cam 83	abbey rd playing fields
cam 38	parkplace	cam 89	mowden shops
cam 39	chestnut st	cam 100	eastbourne sports complex
cam 42	magistrates	cam 101	eastbourne park
cam 43	victoria rd	cam 102	northlodge park
cam 44	cattle market, clifton rd	cam 103	alderman crooks park
cam 50	hemsley moor way	cam 104	south park,pond
cam 51	edgemoor rd	cam 105	south park lodge
cam 52	ingleby moor rd	cam 106	south park,play area
cam 53	burnside rd	cam 107	lascelles park, allotments
cam54	lanethorpe cresent	cam 108	north park,play area
cam 55	pilmoor green	cam 109	north cemetary
cam 56	barden moor rd	cam 110	lascelles park,play area
cam 57	lanethorpe cresent	cam 130	redhall, headingley cres
cam 58	hewitson rd	cam 131	salisbury terrace
cam 59	pately moor cres	cam 132	springfield park
cam60	emely moor rd	cam 150	southpark lodge
cam 61	holgate moor rd	cam 151	southpark lodge
cam 152		**southpark playing field**	

MTFP/EIA Checklist

Number of proposal	**CS4**		
Name of proposal and description	**CCTV/Alarm Monitoring**		
Responsible AD	**Ian Thompson**	**EIA Member**	**Peter Roberts/ Helen Watson.**
Financial Resources Identified	**Financial Savings Identified** **2012/13 £25,000 (Potential decommissioning costs in addition)** **2013/14 £46,000** **2014/15 £46,000** **2015/16 £46,000**	**Finance Contact**	**Ian Thompson**
Has the data quality been checked?	Y N	**Data Contact**	**Ian Thompson**

Protected Characteristics	Impact Y/N		Level of Impact				Explanation of decision Level of impact
Age	Y	N	H	M	L	nil	This proposal will impact upon Older Peoples general feeling of reassurance and safety. Particularly, where cameras have been installed outside of the town centre it has in a number of instances been linked to areas where there have been high levels of anti social behaviour. A reduction in CCTV in out of town centre locations would potentially increase victimisation and intimidation. A number of the out of Borough CCTV cameras are outside of local shops which older people may have a tendency to frequent.
Race	Y	N	H	M	L	nil	This proposal may directly impact upon culturally diverse community groups depending upon the boundary of the town centre geography. Consideration is required regarding the geographical location of some of the CCTV cameras for example North Lodge Park and whether they would be considered to be within the scope of this proposal. There is potential for increased hate crime episodes which would not be formally captured.

Sex	Y	N	H	M	L	nil	This proposal may directly impact upon females who feel reassured by location of CCTV cameras.
Gender Reassignment	Y	N	H	M	L	nil	This proposal will impact upon people's general feeling of reassurance and safety. A reduction in CCTV in out of town centre locations would potentially increase victimisation and intimidation. There is potential for increased hate crime episodes which would not be formally captured.
Disability	Y	N	H	M	L	nil	This proposal will impact upon Disabled Peoples general feeling of reassurance and safety. Which includes individuals with sensory impairments, physical disability or learning disability. Particularly, where cameras have been installed outside of the town centre it has in a number of instances been linked to areas where there have been high levels of anti social behaviour. A reduction in CCTV in out of town centre locations would potentially increase victimisation and intimidation. There is potential for increased hate crime episodes which would not be formally captured.
Religion or belief	Y	N	H	M	L	nil	This proposal may directly impact upon religion or belief depending upon the boundary of the town centre geography and the location of places of worship. Consideration is required regarding the geographical location of some of the CCTV cameras for example North Lodge Park and whether they would be considered to be within the scope of this proposal. A reduction in CCTV in out of town centre locations would potentially increase victimisation and intimidation. There is potential for increased hate crime episodes which would not be formally captured.
Sexual Orientation	Y	N	H	M	L	nil	This proposal will impact upon people's general feeling of reassurance and safety. A reduction in CCTV in out of town centre locations would potentially increase victimisation and intimidation. There is potential for increased hate crime episodes which would not be formally captured.
Pregnancy or maternity	Y	N	H	M	L	nil	This proposal will impact upon peoples general feelings of reassurance and safety.

Marriage/ Civil Partnership	Y	N	H	M	L	nil	No specific direct impact identified.

Will the proposal help to eliminate discrimination, harassment and victimisation?

No, this proposal will have potential for less detection of instigators of Anti Social Behaviour.

The will be less opportunities to utilise CCTV as formal evidence in incidents which occur outside of the immediate town centre.

Where CCTV has been installed outside of the immediate town centre this has tended to be in response to high levels of anti social behaviour and crime.

Will the proposal help to advance equality of opportunity?

No, Please refer to comments above.

Will the proposal help to foster good relations?

No, the proposal will have the potential to negatively impact upon relations with stakeholders and with individuals who have benefitted from the installation of CCTV who may have this provision withdrawn.

CCTV outside of the town centre in a number of instances covers key community facilities such as shops.

Other Groups to consider	Impact Y/N		Level of Impact				Explanation of decision Level of impact
Unemployed/low income	Y	N	H	M	L	nil	The locations of a number of CCTV cameras outside of the immediate town centres are areas with high levels of anti social behaviour and will include those wards with highest levels of deprivation.
Carers	Y	N	H	M	L	nil	There is potential for an associated impact upon carers should the individual they care for face increased victimisation and intimation as a result of the proposal.
People with criminal convictions	Y	N	H	M	L	nil	No specific direct impact identified.
Refugees & Asylum seekers	Y	N	H	M	L	nil	This proposal will impact upon people's general feeling of reassurance and safety. A reduction in CCTV in out of town centre locations would potentially increase victimisation and intimidation. The location of any specific meeting places may be out of town centre locations which are presently covered by CCTV. There is potential for increased hate crime episodes

							which would not be formally captured.
Gypsies & Travellers	Y	N	H	M	L	nil	This proposal will impact upon peoples general feeling of reassurance and safety. A reduction in CCTV in out of town centre locations would potentially increase victimisation and intimidation. The location of any specific meeting places may be out of town centre locations which are presently covered by CCTV. There is potential for increased hate crime episodes which would not be formally captured.
Young People leaving care	Y	N	H	M	L	nil	No specific direct impact identified
Geographical impacts	Y	N	H	M	L	nil	The location of CCTV is very specific, the withdrawal of CCTV form out of town centre locations would directly impact upon specific wards within the Borough. A number of these wards will be in the most deprived pockets of the Borough. Mowden shops CCTV is undertaken through a specific arrangement, therefore, there may be some disparity as to where the proposal will impact depending upon the contractual arrangements with sit behind the provision of CCTV in a particular locality.

Are there any potential impacts on partners eg. Providers, Health, Police etc. Please detail

There would be a direct impact on the Police and Crown prosecution service with regard to the detection and prosecution of crime.

The remit of CCTV as defined in the publicity materials indentified the supportive function of the Civil Contingencies Unit and the directing of emergency vehicles.

A number of external partners have been identified for whom Darlington undertakes a CCTV function for, depending upon the scope of the proposal there could be a direct impact for these stakeholders.

There is a potential direct impact upon DBC Housing Management and Tenancy Enforcement.

Have any other options been explored	Y	N	**If yes please detail**

Part of the options considerations for the MTFP.