PLANNED MAINTENANCE - CAPITALISED REPAIRS TO OPERATIONAL LAND AND BUILDINGS

Full description of scheme

TO UNDERTAKE A CONDITION SURVEY AND CARRY OUT PLANNED MAINTENANCE TO OPERATIONAL LAND AND BUILDINGS

Need and Justification for the scheme

TO MEET THE COUNCIL'S OBLIGATIONS TO MAINTAIN IT'S OPERATIONAL PORTFOLIO TO ENSURE FIT FOR PURPOSE

What other options were considered and reasons why they were rejected

 Option
 Description
 Reason why rejected

 1
 NO ACTION
 IN LINE WITH GOOD PRACTICE TO MANAGE MAINTENANCE IN A PLANNED RATHER THAN REACTIVE MANNER

2

3

4

Anticipated Physical Outputs (i.e. if this scheme is approved what actual physical outputs will be achieved)

IMPROVED OVERALL CONDITION OF PROPERTY PORTFOLIO

How will this scheme affect the service outcomes

BETTER DELIVERY OF COUNCIL SERVICES FROM HEALTHIER, HAPPIER BUILDINGS TO WORK IN BY REMOVING 'SICK BUILDING SYNDROME'

What is the total cost of the scheme (Please include all professional Fees)

	Total Cost	External Fundi		Source of External Funding		Annual Revenue Implications resulting				
2005/2006	£455,000.00	£0.	00							
2006/2007	£465,000.00	£0.	00							
2007/2008	£470,000.00	£0.	00							
2008/2009	£480,000.00	£0.	00							
2009/2010	£0.00	£0.	00							
Please provide spe	Please provide specific details of the Capital Cost									
Details	2005/2006	2006/2007	2007/2008	2008/2009	2009/2010	Total				
Site Acquisition	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00				
Site Investigation	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00				
Site Preparation	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00				
Building Construction/Refurb	£435,000.00	£445,000.00	£450,000.00	£460,000.00	£0.00	1,790,000.00				
Architect Fees	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00				
Surveyor Fees	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00				
Planning Fees	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00				
Other Professional Fees	£20,000.00	£20,000.00	£20,000.00	£20,000.00	£0.00	£80,000.00				
Equipment Costs	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00				

Ranking

Development and Environment				Ranking			
PLANNED MAINTE	ENANCE - CAPITAL	ISED REPAIR	S TO OPERAT	TIONAL LAND AN			
Other Costs	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	
Total	£455,000.00	£465,000.00	£470,000.00	£480,000.00	£0.00	1,870,000.00	
Please identify any potential risks that may have a negative impact on the project. (Health and Safety, Environmental, legal, technical, financial and management risks should be considered). Please identify what contingencies have been considered.							
POTENTIAL COST	S FROM UNFORES	EEN ADDITIC	NAL DEFECTS	6			
If approved anticip earliest)	oated timetable. (Ple	ease conside	r funding will r	not be released ur	ntil April 2005	i at the	
01/04/2005 Mc	onies Release						
01/06/2005 Te	nder Completed						
01/07/2005 W	ork Commences/As	sets Purchas	ed				
31/03/2006 Ex	pected Completion	Date					

31/03/2006 Expected Financial Completion of the Scheme

Please identify project milestones to be used to measure progress of the project and the dates when these will be achieved

Project Milestones

Date to be Achieved

Project Milestones - COMPLETION OF SITES

Date to be Achieved

Darlington Railway Centre & Museum Critical Restoration Works to the Station Building and Train Shed

Full description of scheme

To carry out essential repair work to the Station Building and Train Shed at Darlington Railway Centre & Museum (DRCM) to include valley gutter repairs, roof repairs, chimney repairs and rainwater system repairs. To ensure the building remains watertight and a safe and accessible place for visitors and a secure and environmentally suitable venue for the collections in its stewardship which are of national and local importance. Although this work is included within the Museum Development Plan, timescales do not permit delaying the work until external funding becomes available.

Need and Justification for the scheme

Current Condition

DRCM has experienced significant and accelerated deterioration to its Grade 2* Stockton and Darlington Railway station building. A recently commissioned Conservation Plan required as part of the Heritage Lottery Application process, which included the completion of a full and comprehensive Condition Survey of all of the buildings confirmed fears regarding the condition of the building. It is imperative that traditional buildings are kept watertight, as once water is allowed to enter the fabric and structure, decay will quickly cause irretrievable damage. The survey quotes, 'maintenance both before and after the change in ownership, appears to have been spasmodic, a failure to carry out regular maintenance has resulted in a multiplicity of serious defects' hence the need to carry out extensive repairs. Over the years, short term fixes in response to required levels of maintenance have proved ineffective to stave off progressive and now accelerated damage to the building. Water ingress is becoming such a problem that issues such as dry and wet rot are evident in many structurally significant areas, therefore weakening and causing damage to the fabric of the original building. Health & Safety

Other effects arising from the state of the building are health and safety issues such as slip hazards and unacceptable environmental conditions. The current circumstances of the building which have transpired through ineffective building maintenance essentially reduce DRCM's ability to meet its obligations as a safe, accessible place for visitors and a repository where its collections can be stored and displayed in secure manner and meet the required environmental conditions.

Removal of Collection

Local Authority provision of museum services is made under the Public Libraries and Museums Act 1964, which gives discretionary powers to provide and maintain museums and galleries. Although the provision of a museum service is non-statutory, there are legal obligations surrounding the operation of DRCM and the management and care of the collections within its stewardship. Essentially, DRCM's collections are held in trust for the people of Darlington and their future generations with presumptions for reasonable access. Moreover, the relationship between DRCM and the National Railway Museum (NRM) extends the issues of management, care and access responsibilities, not only for the people of the Borough, but to the people of the Nation and their future generations. If the building was deemed to be unsuitable to house some of the national collection, there is a strong possibility it could be removed. This could result in it being re-housed within a museum of a similar subject matter, such as 'Locomotion' at Shildon.

Museums Registration Scheme

DRCM is a Phase 2 registered museum, gained through participation in the Registration Scheme launched in 1995. This scheme measures museum performance against accepted professional standards. Within the registration scheme emphasis is placed on, amongst other areas, the security and care of the collection. The current environmental conditions within DRCM could lead to concerns about the suitability of the venue to house the collection and possibly result in de-registration. If DRCM lost registration, it would not be eligible for HLF funding and would not qualify for other external funding opportunities. HLF/Match Funding

It is envisaged that the capital money requested from the Council to carry out this essential repair work will also be used as match funding to draw down additional funds from the lottery and potential other sources of external funding such as ReDiscover and English Heritage, to carry out the essential longer term repairs to the buildings overall. The repair works will ensure that DRCM remains the focus for displaying and archiving the local, regional and national history of the North Eastern Railway, in a venue which provides high quality education and research facilities and a visitor attraction for the local tourist market and residents in addition to attracting visitors from further a field.

More recently due to the propensity and volume of rain, a problem with the fire sensors in some sections of the museum have been identified. Water damaged roofs are causing leakage into sensors. Proposed Work

Following on from the Conservation Plan, structural engineers were commissioned to carry out a full structural survey and write a report on the Station Building and Train Shed to outline the costs to carry out the repairs and also provide the life expectancy of these areas. The findings of the structural report highlighted categories under which the repairs are required:

Priority 1 – Immediate, necessary for safety of fabric and users Priority 2 – Urgent, to prevent active deterioration, e.g. water penetration Ranking

Development and Environment	Ranking
Darlington Railway Centre & Museum Critical Restoration Works to the Station Bu	

Priority 3 – Necessary, work required to the standard 'appropriate' to the building Strategic Significance

The significance of DRCM as a player within the tourism sector and its subsequent economic impact on the area has been acknowledged in a number of strategies, such as within ONE Northeast's draft Tourism Strategy (NETS), which identifies under section B2 "Cities and Large Towns-Assets and Challenges", the importance of the region being the birthplace of the railways and the importance of the development at DRCM to appeal to worldwide enthusiasts. ONE Northeast's Regional Economic Strategy 'Unlocking our Potential' also identifies the importance of tourism and heritage as one of eleven clusters that are vital to the future of the North East. The Tees Valley Economic Strategy under Priority 5 states that support of the historic built environment and conservation areas is a priority and that the quality of attractions must be improved. The Tees Valley Tourism Strategy also identifies the development of the railways as an important element to the overall strategy. Darlington's Economic Regeneration Strategy supports DRCM within Theme 5, Obj 1, Obj 3, Obj 4, Obj 5 and Obj 6. K

KAppendices

KCopies of the Conservation Report containing the Condition Survey are available in draft form on a CD Rom. KCopies of the structural engineers report (Billinghurst George & Partners) is also available by e mail.

What other options were considered and reasons why they were rejected

<u>Option</u>	Description	Reason why rejected
1	Await HLF Bid response	The current state of the main museum roof section and the train shed roof is in such a serious condition, that any further deterioration will raise issues of safety for both Museum visitors and the collections. It is likely that the Museum will have to close. Therefore it is unrealistic to wait the 12- 18months the HLF need to determine an application. Such additional funds from the Council to carry out essential repair work can also be used as additional match funding to draw down funds from alternative funding sources such as Rediscover, to increase the overall budget to re develop the museum.
2	Explore other avenues of funding	HLF have provisionally suggested that a grant of £1.4m based on the Development Plan will be made available, however 25% match funding is required. Due to major over committments of European monies, it is not longer realistic to expect ERDF to provide the necessary match funding for the HLF bid. Therefore other sources of match funding have to be sought. However there is no guarantee that such funding is available or that the museum is eligible due to local authority ownership.
3	Do nothing	If repair work was not carried out or delayed until lottery monies became available closure of the museum or part of the museum would have to be considered until monies could be found to carry out the required repair work. Loss of external funding in particular, the loss of HLF, would halt the development of the Museum and lead to further decline. K Removal of significant elements of the collection such as Locomotion to alternative venues i.e. Shildon. KLoss of museum status, which would jeopardise the bid for HLF funding.

4

Anticipated Physical Outputs (i.e. if this scheme is approved what actual physical outputs will be achieved)

KThe scheme of works will provide a safe building structure from which DRCM's can implement developments to fulfill its obligations as a registered museum. Improvements to the building will allow better environmental experiences for visitors therefore increasing levels of customer satisfaction.

Development and Environment					Ranking	2	
Darlington Railwa	Darlington Railway Centre & Museum Critical Restoration Works to the Station Bu						
Darlington Railway Centre & Museum Critical Restoration Works to the Station Bu How will this scheme affect the service outcomes DRCM is a Phase 2 registered museum, gained through participation in the Registration Scheme launched in 1995. This scheme measures museum performance against accepted professional standards. The aims of the scheme, essentially are to: Encourage all museums and galleries to achieve agreed minimum standards in museum management, collection care and public services; Foster confidence in museums as repositories of our common heritage and managers of public resources; Provide a shared ethical basis for all bodies involved in the preservation of the heritage that meet the definition of a 'museum'. Although a voluntary scheme, it is adhered to by the vast majority of museums in the United Kingdom as an industry standard qualitative evaluation scheme. The benefits of registration include: K Public recognition that a museum meets approved standards in certain key areas of museum management of collections care and public services Registered status is taken into account by a wide variety of other bodies such as the Heritage Lottery Fund, the major provider of grants and project funding to museums in the United Kingdom Fostering confidence amongst potential donors of objects or collections that a Registered museum is in principle, a suitable repository for gifts and loans. KUnfortunately, DRCM, given its environmental conditions caused by the bad state of building repair, and other issues, is not complying with attributes of the Registration Scheme. Should DRCM fail any re assessment it may lose its Registered Museum's status. Loss							
scheme, the museu match funding to dr		etaining museur monies to enable	n status plus t the developm	the monies can nent of the site	be used as to occur and		
What is the total c	ost of the scheme (-	nal Fees)			
	<u>Total Cost</u>	External Funding		<u>ce of</u> Funding	<u>Secured or</u> Provisional	Annual Revenue Implications resulti	
2005/2006	£881,718.83	£0.0	C				
2006/2007	£1,400,000.00	£1,400,000.0) HLF	Pr	ov		
2007/2008	£0.00	£0.0	D				
2008/2009	£0.00	£0.0	D				
2009/2010	£0.00	£0.0	0				
Please provide sp	ecific details of the	Capital Cost					
Details	2005/2006	2006/2007	2007/2008	2008/2009	2009/2010	Total	
Site Acquisition	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	
Site Investigation	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	
Site Preparation	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	
Building Construction/Refurt	£766,712.83	1,400,000.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	2,166,712.83	
Architect Fees	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	
Surveyor Fees	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	
Planning Fees	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	
Other Professional Fees	£115,006.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£115,006.00	
Equipment Costs	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	
Other Costs	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	
Total	£881,718.83	1,400,000.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	2,281,718.83	

Please identify any potential risks that may have a negative impact on the project. (Health and Safety, Environmental, legal, technical, financial and management risks should be considered). Please identify what contingencies have been considered.

No risks to this project, the project will reduce risks within DRCM such as eradication of slips and falls hazards, asbestos contamination, re housing of collection, de registration of museum, loss of external funding.

Development and Environment

Darlington Railway Centre & Museum Critical Restoration Works to the Station Bu

If approved anticipated timetable. (Please consider funding will not be released until April 2005 at the earliest)

30/04/2005 Monies Release

- 31/05/2005 Tender Completed
- 31/07/2005 Work Commences/Assets Purchased
- 31/12/2005 Expected Completion Date
- 31/12/2006 Expected Financial Completion of the Scheme

Please identify project milestones to be used to measure progress of the project and the dates when these will be achieved

Project Milestones	Date to be Achieved
Project Milestones	Date to be Achieved
Submit Capital BidK	August 2004K
Submit HLF stage 1 bidK	Sept 2004K
HLF Stage 1 approvalK	March 2005K
Appoint Project mgrK	March 2005K
Rediscover approvalK	March 2005K
Apply for planning permission	March 2005K
Capital Bid approval	April 2005K
Commence phase 1 projectK	May/June 2005K
HLF final bid approval	September 2006

Northgate Building Improvement Scheme

Full description of scheme

The Northgate Building Improvement Scheme is a Heritage Economis Regeneration (HERS) grant scheme aimed at improving the condition, appearance and economic and social prospects of one of the most historic, but most run-down, gateways into Darlington.

It operates in the part of Northgate Conservation Area immediately alongside the A167, between the inner ring road roundabout and Northgate railway bridge.

It is a partnership scheme, 50% funded by English Heritage, with management delegated to the Borough Council. The main focus is on helping the owners of buildings to repair the outside of their property and to restore missing or damaged architectural detail.

The HERS was established in 2002/03 for a three year period, ending 31/3/05. English Heritage has invited bids from local authorities to enter into similar partnership schemes for three-year periods commencing on 1/4/05, with priority to be given to proposals which will extend the duration of existing HERS where investment is still needed and grant aid is essential.

The application submitted on 31/7/04 has been successful. Note however that EH may provide funds at a level below the maximum sought (£100,000 pa) if their resources are over-stretched: for example the present HERS receives EH funding of £90,700 pa. The Council must at least match EH funding. (Footnote: the Council's present HERS funding comes from ONE's single programme but that will not be possible for the extension being sought here.)

Need and Justification for the scheme

This part of Northgate (which includes High Northgate) was once prosperous: the old Great North Road was home to fine houses, and from the 1820s was the heart of the pioneering S&D Railway (see today the international attractions of the Railway Centre & Museum and the 'five pound note' Skerne Bridge). But the area has been in economic, social and physical decline for almost a century, a process hastened in the 1960s by its severance from the town centre by the ring road.

Wealthier residents have long since moved away and businesses now cater more for passing trade than local people. The area is amongst the most deprived in the Borough. The three Census Super Output Areas (SOAs) which fall within its boundary are all within the worst 7% nationally, with one falling within the worst 4%. Investment in the older properties has been short-term and for the most part inadequate, but beyond first appearances there is a surprising richness and quality in the built environment, and ample evidence of Darlington's unique 18th and early-19th century heritage remains. The area was declared a conservation area in 1997.

The HERS programme made a slow start, having to overcome a lack of confidence by owners. But the results are starting to come through, with a number of schemes recently completed or about to start. The sight of the first improvements has encouraged other owners to come forward with their own proposals, and grant funds during this third year look certain to be many times over-subscribed.

The likelihood is that during the first three years a total of 13 buildings in the area will have been repaired. But, commendable though that will be, it will still have limited impact on an area which has been in a downward spiral for many years. The momentum now achieved, after a prolonged period of publicity and encouragement, represents a once-and-for-all opportunity to turn around the fortunes of this gateway. An extension of the HERS, as provisionally encouraged by English Heritage and with their financial support, would enable a critical mass of improvements and sound regeneration to be secured.

What other options were considered and reasons why they were rejected

<u>Option</u>	Description	Reason why rejected
1	Do nothing.	The momentum achieved over the previous 3 years, and the opportunity to secure a critical mass of improvements would be lost.
2	Operate a scheme independently of English Heritage.	Would involve the Council in twice the cost.
-		

3

4

Anticipated Physical Outputs (i.e. if this scheme is approved what actual physical outputs will be achieved)

1. The direct repair and restoration of 15-20 buildings important to Darlington's heritage.

2. Indirectly, encouraged by the above, improvements to other properties without grant aid.

Development and Environment

Northgate Building Improvement Scheme

3. The improvement of the character and appearance of this gateway to Darlington.

How will this scheme affect the service outcomes Not known

What is the total cost of the scheme (Please include all professional Fees)

	Total Cost	External Funding	Source of External Funding	<u>Secured or</u> Provisional	Annual Revenue Implications resulting
2005/2006	£200,000.00	£100,000.00	English Heritage	Provisional	Nil
2006/2007	£200,000.00	£100,000.00	English Heritage	Provisional	Nil
2007/2008	£200,000.00	£100,000.00	English Heritage	Provisional	Nil
2008/2009	£0.00	£0.00	-	-	-
2009/2010	£0.00	£0.00	-	-	-

Please provide specific details of the Capital Cost

Details	2005/2006	2006/2007	2007/2008	2008/2009	2009/2010	Total	
Site Acquisition	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	0
Site Investigation	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	0
Site Preparation	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	0
Building Construction/Refurb	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	D
Architect Fees	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	0
Surveyor Fees	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	0
Planning Fees	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	0
Other Professional Fees	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	0
Equipment Costs	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	0
Other Costs	£200,000.00	£200,000.00	£200,000.00	£0.00	£0.00	£600,000.00	0
Total	£200,000.00	£200,000.00	£200,000.00	£0.00	£0.00	£600,000.00	0

Please identify any potential risks that may have a negative impact on the project. (Health and Safety, Environmental, legal, technical, financial and management risks should be considered). Please identify what contingencies have been considered.

None.

If approved anticipated timetable. (Please consider funding will not be released until April 2005 at the earliest)

01/04/2005 Monies Release

Tender Completed

01/04/2005 Work Commences/Assets Purchased

31/03/2007 Expected Completion Date

31/03/2007 Expected Financial Completion of the Scheme

Please identify project milestones to be used to measure progress of the project and the dates when these will be achieved

Project Milestones

Date to be Achieved

1. Six grants offered per year.2. Five buildings improved per year.

- 1. By the end of each calendar year.
- 2. By the end of each financial year.

3

4

Name of Scheme

Dolphin Centre Refurbishment

Full description of scheme

The proposed scheme is designed enhance sports facilities, improve childcare facilities, protect and improve income streams, reduce the Council's subsidy and improve catering and bar income. Additionally the scheme is designed to replace a significant proportion of the existing service installations which are now nearing to the end of their operational life. The work includes replacement of fire detection alarm systems, air chillers, air handling equipment and to the pool plant replace the flow meters, various valves to the "Flocdos" system and upgrades to the electrical wiring, lighting, emergency lighting, heat generation units (feasibility study) and air renewal of service lift (convert to a multi-use/passenger/service lift)

Need and Justification for the scheme

Usage of the centre is declining and if income streams are to be improved significant investment is required. The current installations as already indicated are now approaching their operational life as the building is now 20 years of age, therefore, major replacements are required, the most pressing of item of which is the fire detection and alarm system. Failure to address this and indeed all of these services could lead to a closure of the facility.

What other options were considered and reasons why they were rejected

<u>Option</u>	Description	Reason why rejected
1	Revenue Funds	Insufficient funds
2		
3		

4

Anticipated Physical Outputs (i.e. if this scheme is approved what actual physical outputs will be achieved)

In addressing the new service installations, it will lead to increased customer comfort when using the facilities, as to date we have all experienced complaints about the building being too hot. In addressing the air and handling chillers, this will negate complaints of this type.

How will this scheme affect the service outcomes

None

	Total Cost	External Fundir		Source of External Funding		Annual Reve Implications res			
2005/2006	£1,600,000.00	£0.0	00						
2006/2007	£1,100,000.00	£0.0	00						
2007/2008	£0.00	£0.0	00						
2008/2009	£0.00	£0.0	00						
2009/2010	£0.00	£0.0	00						
Please provide sp	Please provide specific details of the Capital Cost								
Details	2005/2006	2006/2007	2007/2008	2008/2009	2009/2010	Total			
Site Acquisition	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00			
Site Investigation	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00			
Site Preparation	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00			
Building Construction/Refurt	,440,000.00	£990,000.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	2,430,000.00			
Architect Fees	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00			

Community Services

Ranking

Dolphin Centre Refurbishment							
Surveyor Fees	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	
Planning Fees	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	
Other Professional Fees	£160,000.00	£110,000.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£270,000.00	
Equipment Costs	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	
Other Costs	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	
Total	,600,000.00	1,100,000.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	2,700,000.00	

Please identify any potential risks that may have a negative impact on the project. (Health and Safety, Environmental, legal, technical, financial and management risks should be considered). Please identify what contingencies have been considered.

Failure to address the service issues could result in severe structural damage, eg by fire to the facility and potential loss of life.

If approved anticipated timetable. (Please consider funding will not be released until April 2005 at the earliest)

10/04/2005 Monies Release

01/08/2005 Tender Completed

- 01/10/2005 Work Commences/Assets Purchased
- 01/03/2006 Expected Completion Date
- 31/03/2006 Expected Financial Completion of the Scheme

Please identify project milestones to be used to measure progress of the project and the dates when these will be achieved

Project Milestones

Project Milestones Tender Completed Completion date Date to be Achieved

Date to be Achieved 01/08/05 01/03/06

Capitalised Repairs to Secondary School Buildings

Full description of scheme

All of the Secondary Schools within the Borough require major Capital investment in order to start to reduce the existing maintenance backlog.

Need and Justification for the scheme

To ensure that all the Secondary School buildings are brought up to the Condition/Suitability and Sufficienty requirements identified within the Schools Asset Management Plans

What other options were considered and reasons why they were rejected

<u>Option</u>	Description	Reason why rejected		
1	to do nothing	Danger of closure of some of the schools		
2				
3				
4				
Anticipated Physical Outputs (i.e. if this scheme is approved what actual physical outputs will be achieved)				

Improvements in the condition of the Secondary Schools buildings and also improvements in their

suitability and sufficiency requirements.

How will this scheme affect the service outcomes

This scheme will maintain the existing service.

	Total Cost	External Fundin		r <u>ce of</u> I Funding	<u>Secured or</u> Provisional	Annual Revenue Implications resulting
2005/2006	£3,689,000.00	£0.0	00			
2006/2007	£0.00	£0.0	00			
2007/2008	£0.00	£0.0	00			
2008/2009	£0.00	£0.0	00			
2009/2010	£0.00	£0.0	00			
Please provide sp	ecific details of the	e Capital Cost				
Details	2005/2006	2006/2007	2007/2008	2008/2009	2009/2010	Total
Site Acquisition						
Site Investigation						
Site Preparation						
Building Construction/Refurt	3,689,000.00)				3,689,000.00
Architect Fees						
Surveyor Fees						
Planning Fees						
Other Professional Fees						
Equipment Costs						
Other Costs						

Education		Ranking	5		
Capitalised Repairs to Secondary Scho	ool Buildings				
Total 3,689,000.00		3,689,000.	.00		
Please identify any potential risks that may have a negative impact on the project. (Health and Safety, Environmental, legal, technical, financial and management risks should be considered). Please identify what contingencies have been considered.					
Inability to source funds from Capital wou	Id leave the maintenance backlog incr	easing			
If approved anticipated timetable. (Please consider funding will not be released until April 2005 at the earliest)					
01/04/2005 Monies Release					
Tender Completed					
01/06/2005 Work Commences/Ass	ets Purchased				
31/03/2006 Expected Completion E	Date				
31/03/2006 Expected Financial Con	31/03/2006 Expected Financial Completion of the Scheme				
Please identify project milestones to be used to measure progress of the project and the dates when these will be achieved					
Project Milestones	Date to be Achieved				
Project Completion	31/03/2006				

6

Name of Scheme Asbestos Management Full description of scheme TO IMPLEMENT THE COUNCIL'S DUTY TO MANAGE ASBESTOS Need ar/ Justification for the scheme TO COMPLY WITH THE CONTROL OF ASBESTOS REGULATIONS 2002 What other options were considered and reasons why they were rejected Option Description 1 DO NOTHING 2

- 3
- 4

Anticipated Physical Outputs (i.e. if this scheme is approved what actual physical outputs will be achieved) SAFETY OF PREMISES AND EMPLOYEES

How will this scheme affect the service outcomes ENSURE A SAFE WORKING ENVIRONMENT FOR DELIVERY

	Total Cost	External Fundir		<u>ce of</u> Funding	<u>Secured or</u> Provisional	Annual Rev Implications re	
2005/2006	£40,000.00	£0.0	00				
2006/2007	£26,000.00	£0.0	00				
2007/2008	£32,000.00	£0.0	00				
2008/2009	£38,000.00	£0.0	00				
2009/2010	£0.00	£0.0	00				
Please provide sp	ecific details of the	Capital Cost					
Details	2005/2006	2006/2007	2007/2008	2008/2009	2009/2010	Total	
Site Acquisition	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	
Site Investigation	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	
Site Preparation	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	
Building Construction/Refurt	£35,000.00	£20,000.00	£25,000.00	£30,000.00	£0.00	£110,000.00	
Architect Fees	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	
Surveyor Fees	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	
Planning Fees	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	
Other Professional Fees	£5,000.00	£6,000.00	£7,000.00	£8,000.00	£0.00	£26,000.00	
Equipment Costs	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	
Other Costs	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	
Total	£40,000.00	£26,000.00	£32,000.00	£38,000.00	£0.00	£136,000.00	

Development and Environment	Ranking	6
Asbestos Management		
Please identify any potential risks that may have a negative impa Environmental, legal, technical, financial and management risks what contingencies have been considered.		
ACCIDENTAL CONTAMINATION		
If approved anticipated timetable. (Please consider funding will n earliest)	not be released until April 2005 at the	
01/04/2005 Monies Release		
01/07/2005 Tender Completed		
01/08/2005 Work Commences/Assets Purchased		
31/03/2006 Expected Completion Date		

31/03/2006 Expected Financial Completion of the Scheme

Please identify project milestones to be used to measure progress of the project and the dates when these will be achieved

Project Milestones

Project Milestones - SITES COMPLETED

Date to be Achieved

Date to be Achieved

7

Name of Scheme

Crown Street Library

Full description of scheme

Essential health and safety repairs to both internal and external parts of the building

Need and Justification for the scheme

An independent visual survey of the building has reported that the building is in a poor state of repair. The survey highlights priority areas and gives an estimate of costs subject to investigative work being carried out. This bid is in response to the Priority 1 areas as agreed with Community Services staff on receipt of the survey. The external sandstone is delaminating at an alarming rate and is subject to monthly hammer testing. These tests have shown that the condition of the sandstone has deteriorated by 50% in the last few months. Large chunks of stone are removed during hammer testing. Current cracks in the stonework are filling with water and in the freezing conditions in winter the water will expand to cause further serious delamination. The entrance to the library from East Street has been closed by the Health and Safety Unit due to water ingress through roof lights and severe irregularities in the terrazzo floor. This combination has caused the closure as there is a danger of the public and staff slipping/tripping. This entrance is the designated fire escape route for staff and public using the north end of the building.

This capital bid is for essential works and the cost of investigative works to determine the extent and costs of problems below floor level. The investigation will include the foyer and the mill race which runs under the building.

What other options were considered and reasons why they were rejected

<u>Option</u>	Description	Reason why rejected
1	Move service provision to a different building	No suitable building available.
2		
3		

- 4

Site Investigation

Anticipated Physical Outputs (i.e. if this scheme is approved what actual physical outputs will be achieved)

This bid will ensure that parts of the building conform to health and safety requirements and will reduce the possibility of public danger

How will this scheme affect the service outcomes

The closure of the East Street entrance has had a negative effect as numbers using the e-library have reduced, income generated by the rent of the community room has ceased. Our reading groups can no longer meet in the library which has an effect on our performance indicators. The condition of the foyer has meant that we cannot proceed with our aim to turn the area into a cafe which is part of our library strategy.

£0.00

What is the total cost of the scheme (Please include all professional Fees)

£2.500.00

	Total Cost	External Fundin	<u>g Sourc</u> External	· · · ·	<u>Secured or</u> Provisional		Annual Revenue plications resulting	
2005/2006	£94,955.00	£0.0	0					
2006/2007	£30,000.00	£0.0	0					
2007/2008	£30,000.00	£0.0	0					
2008/2009	£30,000.00	£0.0	0					
2009/2010	£0.00	£0.0	0					
Please provide specific details of the Capital Cost								
Details	2005/2006	2006/2007	2007/2008	2008/2009	2009/2010	Total		
Site Acquisition	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00		£0.00	

£0.00

£0.00

£0.00

£2.500.00

Education

orown ou cet Elbrury							
Site Preparation	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	
Building Construction/Refurb	£92,455.00	£30,000.00	£30,000.00	£30,000.00	£0.00	£182,455.00	
Architect Fees	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	
Surveyor Fees	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	
Planning Fees	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	
Other Professional Fees	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	
Equipment Costs	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	
Other Costs	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	
Total	£94,955.00	£30,000.00	£30,000.00	£30,000.00	£0.00	£184,955.00	

Please identify any potential risks that may have a negative impact on the project. (Health and Safety, Environmental, legal, technical, financial and management risks should be considered). Please identify what contingencies have been considered.

The works identified are only concerned with Health and safety

If approved anticipated timetable. (Please consider funding will not be released until April 2005 at the earliest)

Monies Release

Tender Completed

Work Commences/Assets Purchased

Expected Completion Date

Expected Financial Completion of the Scheme

Please identify project milestones to be used to measure progress of the project and the dates when these will be achieved

Project Milestones

Date to be Achieved Date to be Achieved

Project Milestones To be determined by Community Services Project Manager

8

Name of Scheme

Town Centre Pedestrian Heart

Full description of scheme

Major improvements to High Row and surrounding areas to bring a step change to the Town Centre

Need and Justification for the scheme

To bring a major improvement to the Town Centre

What other options were considered and reasons why they were rejected

<u>Option</u>	Description	Reason why rejected
1	to do nothing	Continuing neglect of the Town Centre
2		
3		

- Ŭ
- 4

Anticipated Physical Outputs (i.e. if this scheme is approved what actual physical outputs will be achieved)

A step change in the appearance of High Row

How will this scheme affect the service outcomes

There will no affect to current services

	Total Cost	External Fundi	ng <u>Sourc</u> External		<u>Secured or</u> <u>Provisional</u>	Annual Rev Implications r	
2005/2006	£3,266,000.00	£2,016,000.	00 Single Pro	bg	Secure		
2006/2007	£2,849,000.00	£1,599,000.	00 Single Pro	bg	Secured		
2007/2008	£125,000.00	£125,000.	00 Single Pro	bg	Secured		
2008/2009							
2009/2010							
Please provide sp	ecific details of the	Capital Cost					
Details	2005/2006	2006/2007	2007/2008	2008/2009	2009/2010	Total	
Site Acquisition							
Site Investigation							
Site Preparation							
Building Construction/Refurl	2,686,000.00	2,343,000.00	£0.00	£0.0	0	5,029,000.00	
Architect Fees	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.0	0 £0.00	£0.00	
Surveyor Fees	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.0	0 £0.00	£0.00	
Planning Fees	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.0	0 £0.00	£0.00	
Other Professional Fees	£341,000.00	£297,000.00	£87,000.00	£0.0	0 £0.00	£725,000.00	
Equipment Costs	£108,000.00	£94,000.00	£27,000.00	£0.0	00.0£	£229,000.00	
Other Costs	£131,000.00	£115,000.00	£11,000.00	£0.0	00.0£	£257,000.00	
Total	3,266,000.00	2,849,000.00	£125,000.00	£0.0	0.00£0.00	3,240,000.00	

Development & Environment	Ranking	8
Town Centre Pedestrian Heart		
Please identify any potential risks that may have a negative impact on the Environmental, legal, technical, financial and management risks should what contingencies have been considered.		
Risks of increase in tender costs		
If approved anticipated timetable. (Please consider funding will not be r earliest)	eleased until April 2005 at the	

01/04/2005 Monies Release

Tender Completed

Work Commences/Assets Purchased

Expected Completion Date

Expected Financial Completion of the Scheme

Please identify project milestones to be used to measure progress of the project and the dates when these will be achieved

Project Milestones

Date to be Achieved

9

Name of Scheme

South Park Restoration

Full description of scheme

In order to allow the South Park restoration scheme to continue an additional £120,000 is required due to additional costs in relation to the restoration of the lake.

Need and Justification for the scheme

To fully restore South Park to its previous splendour.

What other options were considered and reasons why they were rejected Option Description Reason why rejected 1 to do nothing

Not being able to fully complete the scheme

- 2
- 3
- 4

Anticipated Physical Outputs (i.e. if this scheme is approved what actual physical outputs will be achieved)

A full restoration of the South Park

How will this scheme affect the service outcomes No effect

	Total Cost	External Fundin	<u>g Sour</u> <u>Externa</u>	rce of Funding	<u>Secured or</u> Provisional	Annual Revenue Implications resulting
2005/2006	£120,000.00					
2006/2007						
2007/2008						
2008/2009						
2009/2010						
Please provide spe	ecific details of the	e Capital Cost				
Details	2005/2006	2006/2007	2007/2008	2008/2009	2009/2010	Total
Site Acquisition						
Site Investigation						
Site Preparation						
Building Construction/Refurb	£120,000.00)				£120,000.00
Architect Fees						
Surveyor Fees						
Planning Fees						
Other Professional Fees						
Equipment Costs						
Other Costs						
Total	£120,000.00)				£120,000.00

Community Services	Ranking	9
South Park Restoration		

Please identify any potential risks that may have a negative impact on the project. (Health and Safety, Environmental, legal, technical, financial and management risks should be considered). Please identify what contingencies have been considered.

n/a

If approved anticipated timetable. (Please consider funding will not be released until April 2005 at the earliest)

- Monies Release
- **Tender Completed**

Work Commences/Assets Purchased

- **Expected Completion Date**
- Expected Financial Completion of the Scheme

Please identify project milestones to be used to measure progress of the project and the dates when these will be achieved

Project Milestones

Date to be Achieved

DDA and Fire (Workplace) Regulations Compliance

Full description of scheme

TO IMPLEMENT WORKS TO KEY (NON EDUCATIONAL AND NON HRA) COUNCIL OCCUPIED BUILDINGS TO ENSURE COMPLIANCE WITH DDA AND FIRE (WORKPLACE) REGULATIONS AND TO MEET THE COUNCIL'S BVPI 156 TARGETS

Need and Justification for the scheme

TO MEET LEGISLATIVE REQUIREMENTS IE, DISABILITY DISCRIMINATION ACT 1995 AND FIRE (WORKPLACE) REGULATIONS 1997

What other options were considered and reasons why they were rejected

<u>Option</u>	Description	Reason why rejected
1	DO NOTHING	POTENTIAL BREACH OF LEGISLATION AND CLAIMS FOR DISCRIMINATION FROM THE DISABILITY RIGHTS COMMISSION AND INDIVIDUALS
2		

- _
- 3
- 4

Anticipated Physical Outputs (i.e. if this scheme is approved what actual physical outputs will be achieved)

COUNCIL OCCUPIED BUILDINGS MADE ACCESSIBLE TO THE PUBLIC IN WHICH ALL PUBLIC AREAS ARE AVAILABLE FOR AND ACCESSIBLE TO DISABLED PEOPLE

How will this scheme affect the service outcomes

IMPROVE ACCESS TO DISABLED AND ENHANCE FACILITIES

	Total Cost	External Fundir		<u>ce of</u> Funding	Secured or Provisional	Annual Revenue Implications resulting			
2005/2006	£500,000.00	£0.0	00						
2006/2007	£25,000.00	£0.0	00						
2007/2008	£35,000.00	£0.0	00						
2008/2009	£45,000.00	£0.0	00						
2009/2010	£0.00	£0.0	00						
Please provide specific details of the Capital Cost									
Details	2005/2006	2006/2007	2007/2008	2008/2009	2009/2010	Total			
Site Acquisition	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00			
Site Investigation	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00			
Site Preparation	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00			
Building Construction/Refurt	£450,000.00	£20,000.00	£30,000.00	£40,000.00	£0.00	£540,000.00			
Architect Fees	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00			
Surveyor Fees	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00			
Planning Fees	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00			
Other Professional Fees	£50,000.00	£5,000.00	£5,000.00	£5,000.00	£0.00	£65,000.00			

Development and Environment

Ranking

10

DDA and Fire (Workplace) Regulations Compliance

Equipment Costs	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	
Other Costs	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	
Total	£500,000.00	£25,000.00	£35,000.00	£45,000.00	£0.00	£605,000.00	

Please identify any potential risks that may have a negative impact on the project. (Health and Safety, Environmental, legal, technical, financial and management risks should be considered). Please identify what contingencies have been considered.

NONE KNOWN

If approved anticipated timetable. (Please consider funding will not be released until April 2005 at the earliest)

01/04/2005 Monies Release

Tender Completed

01/05/2005 Work Commences/Assets Purchased

01/10/2005 Expected Completion Date

01/12/2005 Expected Financial Completion of the Scheme

Please identify project milestones to be used to measure progress of the project and the dates when these will be achieved

Project Milestones

Project Milestones - BVPI QUARTERLY MONITORING Date to be Achieved Date to be Achieved

Highway Maintenance

Full description of scheme

Dissatifaction with highway maintenance and pavement maintenance has been examined and much of the concerns relate to cracked and uneven flagged pavements in residential streets that only receive a limited amount of maintenance.

Need and Justification for the scheme

To implement a full maintenance to the Authority's residential streets

What other options were considered and reasons why they were rejected

<u>Option</u>	Description	Reason why rejected		
1	to do nothing	increased maintenance costs		
2				
3				
4				
Anticipated Physical Outputs (i.e. if this scheme is approved what actual physical outputs will be achieved)				

A full programme of capital repairs to the Authority's minor roads

How will this scheme affect the service outcomes Reduction in ongoing maintenance

	Total Cost	External Fundin		<u>ce of</u> Funding	<u>Secured or</u> Provisional	Annual Revenue Implications resulting
2005/2006	£1,000,000.00	£0.0	0			
2006/2007	£1,500,000.00	£0.0	0			
2007/2008	£0.00					
2008/2009	£0.00					
2009/2010	£0.00					
Please provide sp	ecific details of th	e Capital Cost				
Details	2005/2006	2006/2007	2007/2008	2008/2009	2009/2010	Total
Site Acquisition						
Site Investigation						
Site Preparation						
Building Construction/Refur	b 1,000,000.00	0 ,500,000.00				2,500,000.00
Architect Fees						
Surveyor Fees						
Planning Fees						
Other Professional Fees						
Equipment Costs						
Other Costs						

Developemnt a	& Environment	Ranking	11
Highway Main	tenance		
Total	1,000,000.00 1,500,000.00	2,500,00	00.00
Environmental what continge If approved an	v any potential risks that may have a negative impact o l, legal, technical, financial and management risks sho ncies have been considered. ticipated timetable. (Please consider funding will not	ould be considered). Please identify	,
earliest) 01/04/2005	Monies Release		
01/06/2005	Tender Completed		
31/10/2005	Work Commences/Assets Purchased		
31/03/2007	Expected Completion Date		

31/03/2007 Expected Financial Completion of the Scheme

Please identify project milestones to be used to measure progress of the project and the dates when these will be achieved

Project Milestones Project Complete

Date to be Achieved 31/03/2004

Reconfiguration of Learning Disability Day Services

Full description of scheme

The Scheme consists of three stages: Stage 1 - review of current provision and involvement of service user groups to develop a network of smaller day services bases providing a range of themed services which can deliver person centred care. Stage 2 - identification, sourcing and purchasing of community based facilities to provide local services in a number of Darlington wards. Stage 3 - review of provision and continuous development

Need and Justification for the scheme

To meet the requirements of a statutory White Paper "Valuing People" which states"Day services should be modernised by 2006. Learning Disability Partnership Boards will draw up modernisation programmes. Plans will address the future role of existing large day centres." (Valuing People page 76)

What other options were considered and reasons why they were rejected

<u>Option</u>	Description	Reason why rejected
1	Continue with current service	Does not meet statutory requirements of the White Paper
2	One large resource centre	Does not meet statutory requirements of the White Paper or allow flexible person centred care
3	Rent/lease properties	Does not allow flexibility of use which would be required

4

Anticipated Physical Outputs (i.e. if this scheme is approved what actual physical outputs will be achieved)

Improved buildings which are fit for the purpose and enable more flexible usage to meet the requirements of Valuing People. Integration of learning disability service bases into defined communities throughout the town.

How will this scheme affect the service outcomes

Expanded service including evening and weekend availability. Flexible community based services will increase the number of people with a learning disability help to live at home with the provision of life skills and training/employment opportunities. Quality improvements through "tailored" support linked to person centred planning. Improvements in social inclusion as units located within local communities.

What is the total cost of the scheme (Please include all professional Fees)

	Total Cost	External Funding	Source of External Funding	Secured or Provisional	Annual Revenue Implications resulting
2005/2006	£200,000.00	£0.00			
2006/2007	£200,000.00	£0.00			
2007/2008	£0.00	£0.00			
2008/2009	£0.00	£0.00			
2009/2010	£0.00	£0.00			

Please provide specific details of the Capital Cost

Details	2005/2006	2006/2007	2007/2008	2008/2009	2009/2010	Total
Site Acquisition	£150,000.00	£150,000.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£300,000.00
Site Investigation	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Site Preparation	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00

Social Services

Reconfiguration of Learning Disability Day Services

Reconfiguration of Learning Disability Day Services							
Building Construction/Refurb	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	
Architect Fees	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	
Surveyor Fees	£10,000.00	£10,000.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£20,000.00	
Planning Fees	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	
Other Professional Fees	£10,000.00	£10,000.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£20,000.00	
Equipment Costs	£20,000.00	£20,000.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£40,000.00	
Other Costs	£10,000.00	£10,000.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£20,000.00	
Total	£200,000.00	£200,000.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£400,000.00	

Please identify any potential risks that may have a negative impact on the project. (Health and Safety, Environmental, legal, technical, financial and management risks should be considered). Please identify what contingencies have been considered.

Resistance by service users and carers to new services and ways of working - overcome by effective consultation and engagement in the planning process. Difficulties in securing appropriate small unit/small property - engagement with communities prior to location. Changes to working practices and associated staffing structures as services decentralise and relocate - development plan in place.

If approved anticipated timetable. (Please consider funding will not be released until April 2005 at the earliest)

31/05/2005 Monies Release

30/09/2005 Tender Completed

30/11/2005 Work Commences/Assets Purchased

30/09/2006 Expected Completion Date

01/12/2006 Expected Financial Completion of the Scheme

Please identify project milestones to be used to measure progress of the project and the dates when these will be achieved

Project Milestones

Date to be Achieved

Project Milestones Scoping of full specification for proposed service Stage 1 Date to be Achieved 30th May 2005

12

Covered Market Refurbishment

Full description of scheme

To repair the covered market roof, replace the heating and ventilation system and replace the CCTV security system.

Need and Justification for the scheme

To maintain the fabric of the building to enable operations to continue.

What other options were considered and reasons why they were rejected

<u>Option</u>	Description	Reason why rejected
1	Partial roof repairs	Inadequate to remedy problems
2	Repairs to CCTV system	System obsolete
3		

4

Anticipated Physical Outputs (i.e. if this scheme is approved what actual physical outputs will be achieved)

Safe and controlled internal market environment and weather proof building for customers, traders and staff.

How will this scheme affect the service outcomes

It will enhance the building thereby helping to attract full stall occupation and customer satisfaction.

What is the total cost of the scheme (Please include all professional Fees)

	Total Cost	External Funding	Source of External Funding	<u>Secured or</u> Provisional	Annual Revenue Implications resulting
2005/2006	£100,000.00	£0.00			-£2000
2006/2007	£0.00	£0.00			
2007/2008	£0.00	£0.00			
2008/2009	£0.00	£0.00			
2009/2010	£0.00	£0.00			

Please provide specific details of the Capital Cost

Details	2005/2006	2006/2007	2007/2008	2008/2009	2009/2010	Total
Site Acquisition	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Site Investigation	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Site Preparation	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Building Construction/Refurb	£70,000.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£70,000.00
Architect Fees	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Surveyor Fees	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Planning Fees	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Other Professional Fees	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Equipment Costs	£30,000.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£30,000.00
Other Costs	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00

Development and Environment						13	
Covered Market Refe	urbishment						
Total	£100,000.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£100,000.00	

Please identify any potential risks that may have a negative impact on the project. (Health and Safety, Environmental, legal, technical, financial and management risks should be considered). Please identify what contingencies have been considered.

None

If approved anticipated timetable. (Please consider funding will not be released until April 2005 at the earliest)

- 01/04/2005 Monies Release
- 01/06/2005 Tender Completed
- 01/08/2005 Work Commences/Assets Purchased
- 01/11/2005 Expected Completion Date
- 01/12/2005 Expected Financial Completion of the Scheme

Please identify project milestones to be used to measure progress of the project and the dates when these will be achieved

Project Milestones

Project Milestones

- 1. Tenders Invited
- 2. Contractors appointed
- 3. Works commenced
- 4. Works completed

- Date to be Achieved
- Date to be Achieved 1. Mid April 2005 2. Mid July 2005 3. August 2005 4. End November 2005

Street Lighting Cable Condition Database

Full description of scheme

Determine the condition and location of the street lighting cable network and connections and set up a computer database. This is essential from Asset and Risk Management point of views and is likely to become a statutory requirement.

Need and Justification for the scheme

The Council needs to have a comprehensive record of cable runs and connections to its street lighting and illuminated signs to ensure effective asset management. It is an aging system with faults occurring on a more frequent basis. Need to have the information to inform works being carried out in the highway from operational and health & safety viewpoints. Likely to become a statutory requirement.

What other options were considered and reasons why they were rejected

<u>Option</u>	Description	Reason why rejected
1	NA	NA
2		
3		
4		

Anticipated Physical Outputs (i.e. if this scheme is approved what actual physical outputs will be achieved)

Less breakdowns on street lighting network and more street lights working as planned. Improved performance for Local and Best Value Performance Indicators

How will this scheme affect the service outcomes

Having less breakdowns will improve the Performance Indicators. Supports Community Strategy themes, 'promoting community safety', 'enhancing the environment' and 'developing an effective transport system'.

What is the total cost of the scheme (Please include all professional Fees)

	Total Cost	External Funding	Source of External Funding	<u>Secured or</u> Provisional	Annual Revenue Implications resulting
2005/2006	£25,000.00	£0.00			
2006/2007	£15,000.00	£0.00			
2007/2008	£0.00	£0.00			
2008/2009	£0.00	£0.00			
2009/2010	£0.00	£0.00			

Please provide specific details of the Capital Cost

Details	2005/2006	2006/2007	2007/2008	2008/2009	2009/2010	Total
Site Acquisition	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Site Investigation	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Site Preparation	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Building Construction/Refurb	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Architect Fees	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Surveyor Fees	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Planning Fees	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00

Development and Environment

Ranking

Street Lighting Cable Condition Database							
Other Professional Fees	£25,000.00	£5,000.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£30,000.00	
Equipment Costs	£0.00	£10,000.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£10,000.00	
Other Costs	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	
Total	£25,000.00	£15,000.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£40,000.00	

Please identify any potential risks that may have a negative impact on the project. (Health and Safety, Environmental, legal, technical, financial and management risks should be considered). Please identify what contingencies have been considered.

NA

If approved anticipated timetable. (Please consider funding will not be released until April 2005 at the earliest)

- 01/04/2005 Monies Release
- 01/07/2005 Tender Completed
- 01/09/2005 Work Commences/Assets Purchased
- 01/09/2006 Expected Completion Date
- 30/03/2007 Expected Financial Completion of the Scheme

Please identify project milestones to be used to measure progress of the project and the dates when these will be achieved

Project Milestones
Project Milestones
Award of Contract
Commissioning of Computer Database
Completion of Data Collection
Handover of fully operational database

Date to be Achieved

Date to be Achieved July 2005 September 2005 April 2006 September 2006 April 2006

Flood Alleviation Measures

Full description of scheme

There are a number of locations across the Borough where roads regularly flood in heavy rainfall. Each location needs individual attention to action the appropriate remedial works to rectify the problem.

Need and Justification for the scheme

Flooded roads pose road safety problems but can also result in adjacent properties being subject to flooding. Apart from being very unpleasant for residents it also increases the potential liabilities for the Council. Surface water flooding can also adversely affect the foul water systems which can pose health risks.

What other options were considered and reasons why they were rejected

<u>Option</u>	Description	Reason why rejected
1	NA	NA
2		
3		
4		

Anticipated Physical Outputs (i.e. if this scheme is approved what actual physical outputs will be achieved)

A reduction in the number of roads and property prone to flooding in times of heavy rainfall.

How will this scheme affect the service outcomes

Will reduce potential Council liabilities. Supports the Community Strategy Theme, 'developing an effective transport system'.

	Total Cost	External Fundir		r <u>ce of</u> I Funding	<u>Secured or</u> <u>Provisional</u>	Annual Revenue Implications resulting
2005/2006	£20,000.00	£0.0	00			
2006/2007	£20,000.00	£0.0	00			
2007/2008	£20,000.00	£0.0	00			
2008/2009	£20,000.00	£0.0	00			
2009/2010	£20,000.00	£0.0	00			
Please provide sp	ecific details of the	Capital Cost				
Details	2005/2006	2006/2007	2007/2008	2008/2009	2009/2010	Total
Site Acquisition	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Site Investigation	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Site Preparation	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Building Construction/Refurt	£20,000.00	£20,000.00	£20,000.00	£20,000.00	£20,000.00	£100,000.00
Architect Fees	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Surveyor Fees	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Planning Fees	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Other Professional Fees	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Equipment Costs	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00

Development and Environment					Ranking 15			
Flood Alleviation M	leasures							
Other Costs	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00		
Total	£20,000.00	£20,000.00	£20,000.00	£20,000.00	£20,000.00	£100,000.00		

Please identify any potential risks that may have a negative impact on the project. (Health and Safety, Environmental, legal, technical, financial and management risks should be considered). Please identify what contingencies have been considered.

NA

If approved anticipated timetable. (Please consider funding will not be released until April 2005 at the earliest)

01/04/2005 Monies Release

Tender Completed

Work Commences/Assets Purchased

Expected Completion Date

30/03/2009 Expected Financial Completion of the Scheme

Please identify project milestones to be used to measure progress of the project and the dates when these will be achieved

Project Milestones

Project Milestones Programme of individual schemes to be carried out over a 4 year period.

Date to be Achieved

Date to be Achieved Programme of individual schemes to be carried out over a 4 year period.

Town Centre Pedestrian Guardrail Improvement Scheme

Full description of scheme

There are approximately 3Km of pedestrian guardrail in the town centre of varying types and quality. The proposed scheme will involve a safety audit of the stock in order to determine whether the extent can be reduced. It will also include for replacement, repair or removal as appropriate with the aim of enhancing the appearance of this major element of street furniture and ensuring that it is in satisfactory condition.

Need and Justification for the scheme

Guardrail is provided primarily on road safety grounds to provide a physical barrier between pedestrians and vehicles at vulnerable locations and to guide or restrain pedestrian movements in the highway. It is encumbent on the authority to maintain the guardrail in satisfactory condition for the safe operation and preservation of the highway street furniture.

What other options were considered and reasons why they were rejected

<u>Option</u>	Description	Reason why rejected
1	Wide scale removal of guardrail	Road safety grounds
2		
3		
4		

Anticipated Physical Outputs (i.e. if this scheme is approved what actual physical outputs will be achieved)

The pedestrian guardrail stock will be rationalised with redundant guardrail being removed and guardrail which needs to remain replaced or repaired. The appearance of the guardrail will be substantially improved.

How will this scheme affect the service outcomes

The improved condition of the guardrail will enhance the environment and maintain the quality of the street furniture.

What is the total cost of the scheme (Please include all professional Fees)

	Total Cost	External Funding	Source of External Funding	<u>Secured or</u> Provisional	Annual Revenue Implications resulting				
2005/2006	£25,000.00	£0.00							
2006/2007	£25,000.00	£0.00							
2007/2008	£25,000.00	£0.00							
2008/2009	£25,000.00	£0.00							
2009/2010	£25,000.00	£0.00							
Please provide specific details of the Capital Cost									

Please provide specific details of the Capital Cost

Details	2005/2006	2006/2007	2007/2008	2008/2009	2009/2010	Total
Site Acquisition	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Site Investigation	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Site Preparation	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Building Construction/Refurb	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Architect Fees	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Surveyor Fees	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Planning Fees	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00

Development and Environment

Ranking

Town Centre Pedestrian Guardrail Improvement Scheme

Other Professional Fees	£15,000.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£15,000.00	
Equipment Costs	£10,000.00	£25,000.00	£25,000.00	£25,000.00	£25,000.00	£110,000.00	
Other Costs	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	
Total	£25,000.00	£25,000.00	£25,000.00	£25,000.00	£25,000.00	£125,000.00	

Please identify any potential risks that may have a negative impact on the project. (Health and Safety, Environmental, legal, technical, financial and management risks should be considered). Please identify what contingencies have been considered.

NA

If approved anticipated timetable. (Please consider funding will not be released until April 2005 at the earliest)

- 01/04/2005 Monies Release
- 01/08/2005 Tender Completed
- 01/11/2005 Work Commences/Assets Purchased
- 30/03/2010 Expected Completion Date
- 30/03/2010 Expected Financial Completion of the Scheme

Please identify project milestones to be used to measure progress of the project and the dates when these will be achieved

Project Milestones

Project Milestones Works to be carried out to a phased programme over a 5 year period.

Date to be Achieved

Date to be Achieved Works to be carried out to a phased programme over a 5 year period.

Re-cable the Ring Road Lighting Network

Full description of scheme

The existing cables are in poor condition and results in regular failures. The lighting network is in need of upgrading but the existing cables are incapable of supporting these upgrades.

Need and Justification for the scheme

To improve the reliability of the existing street lighting and facilitate future upgrading of the street lighting system. To minimise safety and security risks associated with lighting failures.

What other options were considered and reasons why they were rejected

3
4
*

Less breakdowns on the street lighting network and hence more street lights working as planned.

How will this scheme affect the service outcomes

Having less breakdowns will improve Local and Best Value Performance Indicators. Supports Community Strategy themes, 'promoting community safety', 'enhancing the environment' and 'developing an effective transport system'.

	Total Cost	External Fundir		Source of External Funding		Annual Revenue Implications resulting			
2005/2006	£25,000.00	£0.0	00						
2006/2007	£25,000.00	£0.0	00						
2007/2008	£0.00	£0.0	00						
2008/2009	£0.00	£0.0	00						
2009/2010	£0.00	£0.0	00						
Please provide specific details of the Capital Cost									
Details	2005/2006	2006/2007	2007/2008	2008/2009	2009/2010	Total			
Site Acquisition	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00			
Site Investigation	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00			
Site Preparation	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00			
Building Construction/Refurt	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00			
Architect Fees	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00			
Surveyor Fees	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00			
Planning Fees	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00			
Other Professional Fees	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00			
Equipment Costs	£25,000.00	£25,000.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£50,000.00			

Development and	Environment		Ranking 17					
Re-cable the Ring Road Lighting Network								
Other Costs	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00		
Total	£25,000.00	£25,000.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£50,000.00		

Please identify any potential risks that may have a negative impact on the project. (Health and Safety, Environmental, legal, technical, financial and management risks should be considered). Please identify what contingencies have been considered.

NA

If approved anticipated timetable. (Please consider funding will not be released until April 2005 at the earliest)

01/04/2005 Monies Release

Tender Completed

01/09/2005 Work Commences/Assets Purchased

30/03/2007 Expected Completion Date

30/03/2007 Expected Financial Completion of the Scheme

Please identify project milestones to be used to measure progress of the project and the dates when these will be achieved

Project Milestones

Project Milestones Ongoing works carried out in phases over 2 financial years

Date to be Achieved

Date to be Achieved Ongoing works carried out in phases over 2 financial years

Kellaw Road - Propsoed Adoption

Full description of scheme

UPGRADING WORKS TO ROAD SURFACE AND FOOTPATH TO MAKE SUITABLE FOR ADOPTION

Need and Justification for the scheme

TO IMPROVE THE QUALITY OF THE ROAD SURFACE FOR THE INDUSTRIAL UNITS AND TO ELIMINATE POTENTIAL FUTURE LIABILITY

What other options were considered and reasons why they were rejected

<u>Option</u>	Description	Reason why rejected
1	NO ACTION	INCREASE FUTURE MAINTENANCE COSTS AND GREATER RISK TO FUTURE PUBLIC LIABILITY
2		
3		

4

Anticipated Physical Outputs (i.e. if this scheme is approved what actual physical outputs will be achieved) IMPROVED ROAD INFRASTRUCTURE

How will this scheme affect the service outcomes IMPROVE THE APPEARANCE OF YARM ROAD BUSINESS PARK

	Total Cost	External Fundir		<u>ce of</u> Funding	<u>Secured or</u> Provisional	Annual Revenue Implications resulting	
2005/2006	£60,000.00	£0.0	00				
2006/2007	£0.00	£0.0	00				
2007/2008	£0.00	£0.0	00				
2008/2009	£0.00	£0.0	00				
2009/2010	£0.00	£0.0	00				
Please provide specific details of the Capital Cost							
Details	2005/2006	2006/2007	2007/2008	2008/2009	2009/2010	Total	
Site Acquisition	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	
Site Investigation	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	
Site Preparation	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	
Building Construction/Refurb	£54,000.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£54,000.00	
Architect Fees	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	
Surveyor Fees	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	
Planning Fees	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	
Other Professional Fees	£6,000.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£6,000.00	
Equipment Costs	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	
Other Costs	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	

Development and Environment					Ranking	18
Kellaw Road - Propsoed	Adoption					
Total	£60,000.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£60,000.00

NONE KNOWN

If approved anticipated timetable. (Please consider funding will not be released until April 2005 at the earliest)

- 01/04/2005 Monies Release
- 01/06/2005 Tender Completed
- 01/08/2005 Work Commences/Assets Purchased
- 01/09/2005 Expected Completion Date
- 01/10/2005 Expected Financial Completion of the Scheme

Please identify project milestones to be used to measure progress of the project and the dates when these will be achieved

Project Milestones

Project Milestones - COMPLETION

Date to be Achieved Date to be Achieved - SEPT 05

Cockerton Library Refurbishment

Full description of scheme

Cockerton Library needs a new heating system to replace the under floor heating mats, at least two thirds of which have now failed.

The original floor tiles are lifting and breaking, constituting a hazard to staff and public, they cannot be replaced so a carpet is required.

The staff desk is the original one fitted in 1971, with additions made at a later date. The formica covering is cracked and broken and the desk is no longer fit for the amount of equipment and services delivered from it. It has no low section for disabled access.

Need and Justification for the scheme

The current heating system is inadequate and new automatic doors make the library even colder. It is unlikely that the legal minimum temperatures can be met in winter, and the effect of a cold and less welcoming building on usage is being felt in drops in book issues.

Automatic doors have exacerbated the problem.

The desk is no longer fit for purpose and whilst a proportion of the cost of a new desk will be met by DDA funding to allow a low portion, the rest of the desk needs replacing as well. Public access to computers needs to be facilitated at the desk to comply with Public Library Standards and this has increased the current space problems.

Internal redecoration is now vital - particularly to the ceiling which is in a very bad state. Unfortunately asbestos in the artex used to coat the ceiling requires the removal of the whole ceiling and re-artexing before redecoration can be completed. The ceiling must be in a fit state to accept a ceiling mounted heating system, as the architectural design of the library means that all wall space is required for books and windows.

What other options were considered and reasons why they were rejected

<u>Option</u>	Description	Reason why rejected
1	Close library	Insufficient libraries to meet Public Library Standards
2	Fund from repairs and Maintenance budget	Budget insufficient - £3,140pa
3		

4

Anticipated Physical Outputs (i.e. if this scheme is approved what actual physical outputs will be achieved)

A library fit to deliver 21st Century services

How will this scheme affect the service outcomes Increased issues and usage. Greater public satisfaction with the service.

	Total Cost	External Funding	·	<u>ce of</u> Funding	<u>Secured or</u> Provisional	Annual F Implication	
2005/2006	£40,000.00	£0.00	C				
2006/2007	£0.00	£0.00	0				
2007/2008	£0.00	£0.00	C				
2008/2009	£0.00	£0.00	C				
2009/2010	£0.00	£0.00	D				
Please provide specific details of the Capital Cost							
Details	2005/2006	2006/2007	2007/2008	2008/2009	2009/2010	Total	
Site Acquisition	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	
Site Investigation	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	

Education

Cockerton Library Refurbishment

Site Dranaration CO 00	£0.00					
Site Preparation £0.00	20.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	
Building Construction/Refurb £40,000.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£40,000.00	
Architect Fees £0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	
Surveyor Fees £0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	
Planning Fees £0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	
Other Professional £0.00 Fees	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	
Equipment Costs £0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	
Other Costs £0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	
Total £40,000.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£40,000.00	

Please identify any potential risks that may have a negative impact on the project. (Health and Safety, Environmental, legal, technical, financial and management risks should be considered). Please identify what contingencies have been considered.

Asbestos is present in the artex. Removal will be supervised by he asbestos team

If approved anticipated timetable. (Please consider funding will not be released until April 2005 at the earliest)

Monies Release

Tender Completed

Work Commences/Assets Purchased

Expected Completion Date

Expected Financial Completion of the Scheme

Please identify project milestones to be used to measure progress of the project and the dates when these will be achieved

Project Milestones Project Milestones Date to be Achieved Date to be Achieved

CCTV Parks and Cemeteries

Full description of scheme

A rolling programme to extend the existing coverage of such open spaces by at least another 3 cameras to The Denes, Redhall and Springfield Park in 2005/06, where either problems have been identified and/or new play equipment is to be installed.

Need and Justification for the scheme

Identified as areas of high concern/priority from previous customer surveys, including the Community Survey. CCTV has already proved that it can provide the right level of protection to both users and amenity at Eastbourne, North Lodge and Alderman Crook's parks. In all instances it has completely stopped the vandalism and damage associated with previous installations and saved considerable sums on repairs/replacements. It is also evident the the public are more willing to use the amenity, CCTV having helped to reduce the expectation/fear of crime.

What other options were considered and reasons why they were rejected

<u>Option</u>	Description	Reason why rejected
1	Wardens and other employees	H&S of the staff and an inability to be on site all of the time.
2	Other static security measures such as lighting	Not sufficient on their own, work best in conjunction with CCTV.
•		

3

4

Anticipated Physical Outputs (i.e. if this scheme is approved what actual physical outputs will be achieved)

A minimum of 3 additional cameras linked to the CCTV control room, displaying 24/7 pictures of the areas in question, linked directly to the police and indirectly to Uniformed Wardens and other agencies working towards the same goals.

How will this scheme affect the service outcomes

It will extend the range of the existing CCTV service allowing the benefits to be passed to more people. In the parks themselves it will reduce damage and the cost of repair replacement. From the existing evidence it will encourage greater use of the amenity by a wider cross section of the community due to the enhanced appearance of the site, reduced fear of crime and reduced risk of crime.

	Total Cost	External Funding	Source of External Funding	Secured or Provisional	Annual Revenue Implications resulting
2005/2006	£100,000.00	£0.00	None identified		£6000.00
2006/2007	£100,000.00	£0.00	None identified		£6000.00
2007/2008	£100,000.00	£0.00	None identified		£6000.00
2008/2009	£0.00	£0.00			
2009/2010	£0.00	£0.00			
Please provide specific details of the Capital Cost					

Details	2005/2006	2006/2007	2007/2008	2008/2009	2009/2010	Total
Site Acquisition	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Site Investigation	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Site Preparation	£15,000.00	£15,000.00	£15,000.00	£0.00	£0.00	£45,000.00
Building Construction/Refurb	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00

Corporate Services

Total

CCTV Parks and Cemeteries

£0.00

£300,000.00

	0101100						
Architect Fees	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	
Surveyor Fees	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	
Planning Fees	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	
Other Professional Fees	£11,000.00	£11,000.00	£11,000.00	£0.00	£0.00	£33,000.00	
Equipment Costs	£74,000.00	£74,000.00	£74,000.00	£0.00	£0.00	£220,000.00	
Other Costs	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	

£0.00

Please identify any potential risks that may have a negative impact on the project. (Health and Safety, Environmental, legal, technical, financial and management risks should be considered). Please identify what contingencies have been considered.

£100,000.00 £100,000.00 £100,000.00

No identifiable risks other than relatively small increased revenue implications. All areas are in public ownership and the schemes have the full support of the public. No identified planning or conservation issues that cannot be dealt with, as with existing installations.

If approved anticipated timetable. (Please consider funding will not be released until April 2005 at the earliest)

- 01/06/2005 Monies Release
- 01/07/2005 Tender Completed
- 01/09/2005 Work Commences/Assets Purchased
- 01/12/2005 Expected Completion Date
- 31/03/2006 Expected Financial Completion of the Scheme

Please identify project milestones to be used to measure progress of the project and the dates when these will be achieved

Date to be Achieved

Project Milestones

Project Milestones	Date to be Achieved
Agree sites and position.	01/05/05.
Order equipment.	01/07/05.
Power and transmission installed.	30/09/05.
Live tests commence.	01/12/05.

Secure Storage for confidential and personal records.

Full description of scheme

Secure Storage for confidential information and personal records, which ensures compliance with Data Protection Act, Freedom of Information Act, Caldicott requirements, Climbie recommendations and good practice in social care records.

To make adaptations to a room in Central House basement and install a purpose built secure storage and retrieval system which will allow records to be managed effectively; fulfilling statutory requirements.

Need and Justification for the scheme

Compliance with statutory requirements.

Improved access to records and management of files.

To ensure robust systems which will improve business continuity resilience in line with Civil Contingencies legislation (effective April 2005).

More efficient use of staff time in relation to case file management.

What other options were considered and reasons why they were rejected

<u>Option</u>	Description	Reason why rejected
1	Off-site storage	Files need to be readily accessible throughout the working day.
2	Storage within Teams	Not compliant with statutory requirements
3	Moving to alternative premises	Not feasible based on costs and availability
4	Scanning all records	Legal requirements to keep records in hard copy of original documents e.g adoption files. Practical working arrangements require quick and speedy access to case files in a portable non electronic medium

Anticipated Physical Outputs (i.e. if this scheme is approved what actual physical outputs will be achieved)

Compliance with legislative requirements. Improved access and retrieval of files. Secure storage of personal records. Increased efficiency in management of records. Improved business continuity through safer storage of essential documentation.

How will this scheme affect the service outcomes

Site Investigation

Teams will have access to all relevant records relating to a client. Improved quality of records and ability to source information which will make more informed decisions and outcomes for service users especially vulnerable children and adults.

What is the total cost of the scheme (Please include all professional Fees)

£1,000.00

	Total Cost	External Fundin	<u> </u>		<u>Secured or</u> Provisional	-	Annual Revenue plications resulting	
2005/2006	£35,000.00	£0.0	0					
2006/2007	£0.00	£0.0	0					
2007/2008	£0.00	£0.0	0					
2008/2009	£0.00	£0.0	0					
2009/2010	£0.00	£0.0	0					
Please provide specific details of the Capital Cost								
Details	2005/2006	2006/2007	2007/2008	2008/2009	2009/2010	Total		
Site Acquisition	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00		£0.00	

£0.00

£0.00

£0.00

£1,000.00

£0.00

Social Services

Secure Storage for confidential and personal records.

Secure Storage for con	nacinal and pers	011011000100.					
Site Preparation	£5,000.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£5,000.00	
Building Construction/Refurb	£5,000.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£5,000.00	
Architect Fees	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	
Surveyor Fees	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	
Planning Fees	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	
Other Professional Fees	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	
Equipment Costs	£20,000.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£20,000.00	
Other Costs	£4,000.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£4,000.00	
Total	£35,000.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£35,000.00	

Please identify any potential risks that may have a negative impact on the project. (Health and Safety, Environmental, legal, technical, financial and management risks should be considered). Please identify what contingencies have been considered.

Suitability of site and access to windows, ceilings for maintenance.

Taken professional advice and appropriate space has been identified which complies with Health & Safety requirements.

If approved anticipated timetable. (Please consider funding will not be released until April 2005 at the earliest)

01/05/2005 Monies Release

Tender Completed

01/08/2005 Work Commences/Assets Purchased

01/09/2005 Expected Completion Date

01/09/2005 Expected Financial Completion of the Scheme

Please identify project milestones to be used to measure progress of the project and the dates when these will be achieved

Project Milestones	Date to be Achieved
Project Milestones	Date to be Achieved
Agree full specification	May 2005
Identify appropriate storage system	July 2005
Agree price and installation date	Sept 2005
Complete works	Sept 2005

22

Name of Scheme

Crematorium Improvement

Full description of scheme

There are some major improvements required to update the facilities at the Crematorium ie provision of velocity probes and security of room/cabinets for Books of Remembrance. There is also a need to employ consultants to advise us on dealing with the new Mercury emission abatement.

Need and Justification for the scheme

In order to comply with new emission controls of mercury and audit recommendations

What other options were considered and reasons why they were rejected

Description	Reason why rejected
No action	Breach of regulations and continued risk of vandalism

4

Anticipated Physical Outputs (i.e. if this scheme is approved what actual physical outputs will be achieved) Cleaner emmissions, improved security

How will this scheme affect the service outcomes

	Total Cost	External Fundir	ng <u>Sour</u> External		<u>Secured or</u> Provisional	Annual Revenue Implications resulting
2005/2006	£60,000.00	£0.0	00			
2006/2007	£0.00	£0.0	00			
2007/2008	£0.00	£0.0	00			
2008/2009	£0.00	£0.0	00			
2009/2010	£0.00	£0.0	00			
Please provide sp	ecific details of th	e Capital Cost				
Details	2005/2006	2006/2007	2007/2008	2008/2009	2009/2010	Total
Site Acquisition						
Site Investigation						
Site Preparation						
Building Construction/Refurt	£15,000.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£15,000.00
Architect Fees						
Surveyor Fees						
Planning Fees						
Other Professional Fees	£15,000.00)				£15,000.00
Equipment Costs	£30,000.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£30,000.00
Other Costs						

Development & Environment		Ranking	22		
Crematorium lı					
Total £60,000.00 £60,000.00					
Environmental	any potential risks that may have a negative imp , legal, technical, financial and management risks ncies have been considered.		1		
No Risks					
If approved ant earliest)	icipated timetable. (Please consider funding will	not be released until April 2005 at the			
01/05/2005	Monies Release				
01/08/2005	Tender Completed				
04/00/0005	Wark Commence (Access Durch acced				

01/09/2005 Work Commences/Assets Purchased

01/11/2005 Expected Completion Date

31/03/2006 Expected Financial Completion of the Scheme

Please identify project milestones to be used to measure progress of the project and the dates when these will be achieved

Project Milestones

Date to be Achieved

Alterations to Springfield Primary School to Form new Education Department Base

Full description of scheme

In October 2005 Springfield Primary School will relocate to new premises as part of the new Education Village.

As the existing school premises on Salters Lane South will be left vacant from this date it is intended that instead of boarding up and demolishing them that the space will be made available for some divisions of the Education Department to transfer to.

Before the transfer of education staff to the Springfield site could take place an extensive refurbishment would be required to make the premises suitable for office staff in line with staff workplace regulations. We expect that the creation of offices and staff areas to the required standards would cost in the region of £500,000.

Need and Justification for the scheme

The transfer of staff from the Town Hall.

What other options were considered and reasons why they were rejected

<u>Option</u>	Description	Reason why rejected
1	To Board up Springfield Primary from October 2005 and eventually demolish.	Costs for the boarding up and demolision would be extensive and dependent on the content of asbestos within the building
2		

3

4

Anticipated Physical Outputs (i.e. if this scheme is approved what actual physical outputs will be achieved)

The transfer of staff from the Town Hall.

How will this scheme affect the service outcomes

Improved facilities for Education Department staff should lead to improved staff productivity.

What is the total cost of the scheme (Please include all professional Fees)

	Total Cost	External Funding	Source of External Funding	<u>Secured or</u> <u>Provisional</u>	Annual Revenue Implications resulting		
2005/2006	£500,000.00	£0.00					
2006/2007	£0.00	£0.00					
2007/2008	£0.00	£0.00					
2008/2009	£0.00	£0.00					
2009/2010	£0.00	£0.00					
Please provide specific details of the Capital Cost							
Deteile	2005/2006	2006/2007 20	007/2000 2000/200	0 2000/2010	Total		

Details	2005/2006	2006/2007	2007/2008	2008/2009	2009/2010	Total
Site Acquisition	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Site Investigation	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Site Preparation	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Building Construction/Refurb	£500,000.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£500,000.00
Architect Fees	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Surveyor Fees	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00

Ranking

Education					Ranking		23	
Alterations to Springfield Primary School to Form new Education Department Bas								
Planning Fees	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00		
Other Professional Fees	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00		
Equipment Costs	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00		
Other Costs	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00		
Total	£500,000.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£500,000.00		

The presence of asbestos in the school would be identified prior to design works and subsequent removal/encapsulation works would be incorporated within the refurbishment costs.

If approved anticipated timetable. (Please consider funding will not be released until April 2005 at the earliest)

01/10/2005 Monies Release

Tender Completed

- 31/10/2005 Work Commences/Assets Purchased
- 01/01/2006 Expected Completion Date
- 31/03/2006 Expected Financial Completion of the Scheme

Please identify project milestones to be used to measure progress of the project and the dates when these will be achieved

Project Milestones
Project Completion

Date to be Achieved 1/01/2005

N3 Accreditation and connection

Full description of scheme

To facilitate Darlington Borough Council, Social Services Department to fully meet the NHS Code of Connection (N3) requirements which will enable use of NHS network/facilities and underpin necessary developments regarding Integrated services use of single systems and information sharing agendas.

Need and Justification for the scheme

N3 accreditation is needed In order to fully meet Government requirements to integrate/interface SSD and partner agency systems (NHS) and to progress Electronic Health & Social Care Record, Single Assessment Process and Information Sharing & Assessment agendas.

What other options were considered and reasons why they were rejected

<u>Option</u>	Description	Reason why rejected
1	Social Services Budget funding	No funding available
2		
3		

4

Planning Fees

Fees

Other Professional

Anticipated Physical Outputs (i.e. if this scheme is approved what actual physical outputs will be achieved)

DBC SSD will achieve accreditation and N3 status. This will then enable further development of government electronic agendas.

How will this scheme affect the service outcomes

Will enable DBC SSD to further develop electronic Single Assessment Process in a meaningful manner regarding client service response and provision.

What is the total cost of the scheme (Please include all professional Fees)

£0.00

£0.00

£0.00

£0.00

	Total Cost	External Funding Source of			Secured or	Annual Revenue		
	10101 0031		-	External Funding		Implications resulting		
2005/2006	£90,000.00	£0.0						
2003/2000	,	20.0	10					
2006/2007	£0.00	£0.0	00					
2007/2008	£0.00	£0.0	00					
2008/2009	£0.00	£0.0	00					
2009/2010	£0.00	£0.0	00					
Please provide specific details of the Capital Cost								
Details	2005/2006	2006/2007	2007/2008	2008/2009	2009/2010	Total		
Site Acquisition	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00		
Site Investigation	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00		
Site Preparation	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00		
Building Construction/Refurb	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00		
Architect Fees	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00		
Surveyor Fees	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00		

£0.00

£0.00

£0.00

£0.00

£0.00

£0.00

£0.00

£0.00

Social Services					Ranking	24	
N3 Accreditation and connection							
Equipment Costs	£80,000.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£80,000.00	
Other Costs	£10,000.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£10,000.00	
Total	£90,000.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£90,000.00	

ISO17799 would be required; gap analysis is currently being carried out by Corporate IT.

If approved anticipated timetable. (Please consider funding will not be released until April 2005 at the earliest)

01/04/2005 Monies Release

Tender Completed

Work Commences/Assets Purchased

01/04/2006 Expected Completion Date

01/04/2006 Expected Financial Completion of the Scheme

Please identify project milestones to be used to measure progress of the project and the dates when these will be achieved

Project Milestones Project Milestones

Application complete

Date to be Achieved

Date to be Achieved September 2005

Register Officer Relocation to the Dolphin Centre

Full description of scheme

Relocate the Register Office from Central House to the Dolphin Centre to enable a Registration Service to be provided which will meet minimum standards (Disability Discrimination Act and Workplace Regulations)

Need and Justification for the scheme

There are in excess of 300 members of the public in the Register Office each weekend and in addition to DDA and Fire Precaution Works we need to address Workplace Regulations and provide facilities for the public from a Customer Care point of view. As one example there is currently only one w.c. for everyone, male, female, the disabled, nursing mothers and staff.

The Service is currently part of the Best Value Review of Regulatory Services (the relocation of the Register Office is included in the Improvement Action Plan) and the recent Service Wide Review undertaken by the General Register Office states the service is good but the accommodation requires updating. It needs to compare with other Register Offices and a Guide has been issued by the Local Government Association and the General Register Office for Registration Offices to follow. We cannot meet the minimum requirements (there are three categories, minimum, good and advanced) detailed in the Guide and most Register Offices are now reaching much higher standards than we are.

Registration in England and Wales is currently undergoing a massive review with changes being expected by around 2006. An outcome of this review is that the service will enter more of a marketing situation and, in order to obtain revenue, will need to offer the highest of services. If this is not taken into consideration the service in Darlington could slump, and, with the loss of clients will come loss of income.

What other options were considered and reasons why they were rejected

<u>Option</u>	Description	Reason why rejected
1	update current accommodation	cost and not enough space
2	relocate to North Lodge	Cost
3		

4

Anticipated Physical Outputs (i.e. if this scheme is approved what actual physical outputs will be achieved)

A service will be provided which will meet the requirements of the public of Darlington and current legislation

How will this scheme affect the service outcomes

Improved Customer Satisfaction

What is the total cost of the scheme (Please include all professional Fees)

	Total Cost	External Funding	Source of External Funding	<u>Secured or</u> <u>Provisional</u>	Annual Revenue Implications resulting
2005/2006	£250,000.00	£0.00			
2006/2007	£0.00	£0.00			
2007/2008	£0.00	£0.00			
2008/2009	£0.00	£0.00			
2009/2010	£0.00	£0.00			

Please provide specific details of the Capital Cost

Details	2005/2006	2006/2007	2007/2008	2008/2009	2009/2010	Total	
Site Acquisition	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00		£0.00
Site Investigation	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00		£0.00
Site Preparation	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00		£0.00

Corporate Services

Register Officer Relocation to the Dolphin Centre

Building Construction/Refurb	£250,000.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£250,000.00	
Architect Fees	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	
Surveyor Fees	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	
Planning Fees	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	
Other Professional Fees	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	
Equipment Costs	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	
Other Costs	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	
Total	£250,000.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£250,000.00	

Please identify any potential risks that may have a negative impact on the project. (Health and Safety, Environmental, legal, technical, financial and management risks should be considered). Please identify what contingencies have been considered.

none

If approved anticipated timetable. (Please consider funding will not be released until April 2005 at the earliest)

01/04/2005 Monies Release

01/08/2005 Tender Completed

01/10/2005 Work Commences/Assets Purchased

01/12/2005 Expected Completion Date

Expected Financial Completion of the Scheme

Please identify project milestones to be used to measure progress of the project and the dates when these will be achieved

Project Milestones Project Milestones

Date to be Achieved Date to be Achieved Ranking

Integrated working pilot & Implementation - Social Services IT Upgrade.

Full description of scheme

Purchase and implementation of web based, flexible assessment tool/software and tablet pilot.

Need and Justification for the scheme

Will enable flexible use of CareFirst system in a web based environment to allow workers who are "off-site", from NHS/PCT or within integrated teams to provide for specific assessment tools. Planned pilot of tablet PC's to support assessment work in the clients environment.

What other options were considered and reasons why they were rejected

<u>Option</u>	Description	Reason why rejected			
1	Social Services budget	No budget available			
2					
3					
4					
Anticipated Physical Outputs (i.e. if this scheme is approved what actual physical outputs will be achieved)					

Support fully integrated working between health and Social Services in Integrated Teams. Electronic integration of Single Assessment process and provide access to flexible assessment tools/scales.

How will this scheme affect the service outcomes

Provide NHS/PCT and SSD staff with more efficient means of information sharing and assessment. Will measure the effectiveness of portable IT equipment

What is the total cost of the scheme (Please include all professional Fees)

	Total Cost	External Fundin		<u>ce of</u> Funding	Secured or Provisional	Annual Revenue Implications resulting
2005/2006	£50,000.00	£0.0	0			2000.00
2006/2007	£0.00	£0.0	0			
2007/2008	£0.00	£0.0	0			
2008/2009	£0.00	£0.0	0			
2009/2010	£0.00	£0.0	0			
Please provide sp	ecific details of the	Capital Cost				
Details	2005/2006	2006/2007	2007/2008	2008/2009	2009/2010	Total
Site Acquisition	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Site Investigation	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Site Preparation	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Building Construction/Refurt	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Architect Fees	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Surveyor Fees	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Planning Fees	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Other Professional Fees	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Equipment Costs	£10,000.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£10,000.00

Ranking

Social Services						26		
Integrated working pilot & Implementation - Social Services IT Upgrade.								
Other Costs	£40,000.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£40,000.00		
Total	£50,000.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£50,000.00		

Human Resources required for other Corporate Departments

If approved anticipated timetable. (Please consider funding will not be released until April 2005 at the earliest)

01/04/2005 Monies Release

Tender Completed

01/04/2005 Work Commences/Assets Purchased

31/03/2006 Expected Completion Date

31/03/2006 Expected Financial Completion of the Scheme

Please identify project milestones to be used to measure progress of the project and the dates when these will be achieved

Project Milestones

Project Milestones Planning Phase Implementation Evaluation Date to be Achieved

Date to be Achieved June 2005 April 2006 June 2006

27

Name of Scheme

Building Management Systems

Full description of scheme

A PHASED IMPLEMENTATION OF BMS TO COUNCIL OWNED BUILDINGS (OVER 100KW AND SUB 100KW SITES) TO PROVIDE OFF SITE MONITORING OF PLANT AND EQUIPMENT AND TO MANAGE AND CONTROL THE TEMPERATURES TO ACHIEVE SUBSTANTIAL EFFICIENCY SAVINGS.

Need and Justification for the scheme

TO REDUCE CO2 EMISSIONS IN LINE WITH GOVERNMENT GUIDANCE AND PROVIDE BETTER MANAGEMENT OF PLANT AND EQUIPMENT.

What other options were considered and reasons why they were rejected

<u>Option</u>	Description	Reason why rejected
1	NO ACTION	MISSED OPPORTUNITY TO MAKE SUBSTANTIAL SAVINGS, TO REDUCE CO2 EMISSIONS AND TO EMBRACE PROVEN GOOD PRACTICE TECHNIQUES.
2		

- 2
- 3

4

Anticipated Physical Outputs (i.e. if this scheme is approved what actual physical outputs will be achieved)

MORE PLEASANT WORKING ENVIRONMENTS THROUGH A CONTROLLED CLIMATE.

How will this scheme affect the service outcomes

IMPROVED PRODUCTIVITY FROM A HAPPIER WORKFORCE. WORKING TOWARDS THE UPPER QUARTILE OF BV180A

£75,000.00

£0.00

£0.00

£0.00

What is the total cost of the scheme (Please include all professional Fees)

£132,000.00

£0.00

£0.00

£0.00

Construction/Refurb

Architect Fees

Surveyor Fees Planning Fees

	Total Cost	External Fundir		<u>ce of</u> Funding	<u>Secured or</u> Provisional	Annual Re Implications			
2005/2006	£132,000.00	£0.0	00						
2006/2007	£75,000.00	£0.0	00						
2007/2008	£75,000.00	£0.0	00						
2008/2009	£0.00	£0.0	00						
2009/2010	£0.00	£0.0	00						
Please provide specific details of the Capital Cost									
Details	2005/2006	2006/2007	2007/2008	2008/2009	2009/2010	Total			
Site Acquisition	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00			
Site Investigation	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00			
Site Preparation	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00			
Building	0400.000.00	075 000 00	075 000 00	00.00	00.00				

£75,000.00

£0.00

£0.00

£0.00

£0.00

£0.00

£0.00

£0.00

£0.00

£0.00

£0.00

£0.00

£282,000.00

£0.00

£0.00

£0.00

Development and Environment

27

Building Management Systems Other Professional £0.00 £0.00 £0.00 £0.00 £0.00 £0.00 Fees £0.00 £0.00 £0.00 £0.00 £0.00 £0.00 **Equipment Costs** Other Costs £0.00 £0.00 £0.00 £0.00 £0.00 £0.00 Total £132,000.00 £75,000.00 £75,000.00 £0.00 £0.00 £282,000.00

Please identify any potential risks that may have a negative impact on the project. (Health and Safety, Environmental, legal, technical, financial and management risks should be considered). Please identify what contingencies have been considered.

NONE KNOWN

If approved anticipated timetable. (Please consider funding will not be released until April 2005 at the earliest)

01/04/2005 Monies Release

01/06/2005 Tender Completed

01/09/2005 Work Commences/Assets Purchased

01/12/2005 Expected Completion Date

01/02/2006 Expected Financial Completion of the Scheme

Please identify project milestones to be used to measure progress of the project and the dates when these will be achieved

Project Milestones

Project Milestones - COMPLETED INSTALLATIONS Date to be Achieved

28

Name of Scheme

Building

Fees

Construction/Refurb

Architect Fees

Surveyor Fees

Planning Fees

Other Professional

Equipment Costs

Refurbishment of parks and cemeteries

Full description of scheme

Following the completion of the Parks, Open Spaces and Cemeteries Strategy in March 2004, additional capital works will be required. The overall works envisaged will include:- a. Painting and replacement of park railings. b. Replacement of gates and entrances to secure parks. c. Provision of new floral beds or refurbishment of flower beds. d. Provision of improved park furniture.

Need and Justification for the scheme

To promote a safer environment for which the general public enjoy our parks and open spaces provision, in addition to enhancing these areas and maintaining a valuable asset for the Authority.

What other options were considered and reasons why they were rejected

<u>Option</u>	Description	Reason why rejected
1	Revenue Budgets	Insufficient budgets
2		
3		
4		

Anticipated Physical Outputs (i.e. if this scheme is approved what actual physical outputs will be achieved)

Improved parks and cemeteries meeting the needs of the community

£50,000.00

£0.00

£0.00

£0.00

£0.00

£0.00

How will this scheme affect the service outcomes

Improve satisfaction levels of parks and open spaces which are an aim of the Environmental Services Plan

£50,000.00

£0.00

£0.00

£0.00

£0.00

£0.00

What is the total cost of the scheme (Please include all professional Fees)

	Total Cost	External Fundin	~	<u>ce of</u> Funding	Secured or Provisional	Annual Revenue Implications resulting				
2005/2006	£50,000.00	£0.0	00							
2006/2007	£50,000.00	£0.0	00							
2007/2008	£0.00	£0.0	00							
2008/2009	£0.00	£0.0	00							
2009/2010	£0.00	£0.0	00							
Please provide sp	Please provide specific details of the Capital Cost									
Details	2005/2006	2006/2007	2007/2008	2008/2009	2009/2010	Total				
Site Acquisition	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00				
Site Investigation	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00				
Site Preparation	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00				

£0.00

£0.00

£0.00

£0.00

£0.00

£0.00

£0.00

£0.00

£0.00

£0.00

£0.00

£0.00

£0.00

£0.00

£0.00

£0.00

£0.00

£0.00

£100,000.00

£0.00

£0.00

£0.00

£0.00

£0.00

Community Services						28			
Refurbishment of parks and cemeteries									
Other Costs	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00			
Total	£50,000.00	£50,000.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£100,000.00			

Potential for vandalism to be experienced, although the Parks, Open Spaces and Cemeteries Strategy will consider this matter.

If approved anticipated timetable. (Please consider funding will not be released until April 2005 at the earliest)

10/04/2005 Monies Release

01/06/2005 Tender Completed

01/07/2005 Work Commences/Assets Purchased

01/11/2005 Expected Completion Date

01/01/2006 Expected Financial Completion of the Scheme

Please identify project milestones to be used to measure progress of the project and the dates when these will be achieved

Project Milestones	Date to be Achieved
Project Milestones	Date to be Achieved

29

Name of Scheme

Town Hall - Renewal of Ceilings and Light Fittings

Full description of scheme

Renewing, on a rolling programme all ceilings and light fittings in the Town Hall

Need and Justification for the scheme

The current metal suspended ceilings are over 30 years old and their framework suspension is becoming worn to the extent that it is difficult to replace tiles after services above the ceilings are accessed. It would be beneficial to replace light fittings at the same time

What other options were considered and reasons why they were rejected

<u>Option</u>	Description	Reason why rejected
1	Leave as at present	Danger of Ceiling Tiles dropping and injuring employees
2		
3		

4

Anticipated Physical Outputs (i.e. if this scheme is approved what actual physical outputs will be achieved)

Safer Environment for Employees

How will this scheme affect the service outcomes

N/A

What is the total cost of the scheme (Please include all professional Fees)

	Total Cost	External Funding	Source of External Funding	<u>Secured or</u> Provisional	Annual Revenue Implications resulting
2005/2006	£50,500.00	£0.00			
2006/2007	£50,500.00	£0.00			
2007/2008	£50,500.00	£0.00			
2008/2009	£50,500.00	£0.00			
2009/2010	£50,500.00	£0.00			

Please provide specific details of the Capital Cost

Details	2005/2006	2006/2007	2007/2008	2008/2009	2009/2010	Total
Site Acquisition	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Site Investigation	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Site Preparation	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Building Construction/Refurb	£50,500.00	£50,500.00	£50,500.00	£50,500.00	£50,500.00	£252,500.00
Architect Fees	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Surveyor Fees	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Planning Fees	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Other Professional Fees	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Equipment Costs	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Other Costs	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00

Corporate Services					Ranking 29		
Town Hall - Renewal of C							
Total	£50,500.00	£50,500.00	£50,500.00	£50,500.00	£50,500.00	£252,500.00	

Renewal of the ceilings will reduce health and safety issues for the Council

If approved anticipated timetable. (Please consider funding will not be released until April 2005 at the earliest)

01/04/2005 Monies Release

Tender Completed

01/04/2005 Work Commences/Assets Purchased

01/04/2010 Expected Completion Date

01/04/2010 Expected Financial Completion of the Scheme

Please identify project milestones to be used to measure progress of the project and the dates when these will be achieved

Project Milestones

One Floor per year

Date to be Achieved

Date to be Achieved Completion of Ceilings renewed in that year

Arts Centre Front of House Refurbishment

Full description of scheme

The refurbishment of entrance foyer, cafe and bars, reception and conference facilities for the Arts Centre.

Need and Justification for the scheme

The proposed scheme aims to enhance and improve the customer facilities within the Centre and further link with the refurbishment of other areas, which will be funded using Arts Council Lottery Funding.

What other options were considered and reasons why they were rejected

<u>Option</u>	Description	Reason why rejected
1	Revenue Funds	Insufficient funds
2	Apply for Funding for total refurbishment	Only part funding has been awarded
3		

4

Anticipated Physical Outputs (i.e. if this scheme is approved what actual physical outputs will be achieved)

It will enable us to expand the current use of the Arts Centre and provide revenue income to support the programming of activities. Enhance customer comfort.

How will this scheme affect the service outcomes None

	Total Cost	External Fundin	g <u>Sourd</u> External		<u>Secured or</u> Provisional	-	Annual Revenu plications resul	
2005/2006	£475,000.00	£0.0	0					
2006/2007	£0.00	£0.0	0					
2007/2008	£0.00	£0.0	0					
2008/2009	£0.00	£0.0	0					
2009/2010	£0.00	£0.0	0					
Please provide specific details of the Capital Cost								
Details	2005/2006	2006/2007	2007/2008	2008/2009	2009/2010	Total		
Site Acquisition	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00		£0.00	

Site Acquisition	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Site Investigation	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Site Preparation	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Building Construction/Refurb	£400,000.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£400,000.00
Architect Fees	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Surveyor Fees	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Planning Fees	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Other Professional Fees	£75,000.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£75,000.00
Equipment Costs	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Other Costs	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00

Community Ser	vices	Ranking	30				
Arts Centre Front of House Refurbishment							
Total	£475,000.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£475,000.00	

Failure to establish a programme of refurbishment to the building will result in poor customer comfort, poor customer attendances, resulting in medium term financial plan pressures

If approved anticipated timetable. (Please consider funding will not be released until April 2005 at the earliest)

- 01/10/2005 Monies Release
- 01/12/2005 Tender Completed
- 01/02/2006 Work Commences/Assets Purchased
- 01/06/2006 Expected Completion Date
- 01/07/2006 Expected Financial Completion of the Scheme

Please identify project milestones to be used to measure progress of the project and the dates when these will be achieved

Project Milestones

Project Milestones Tender completed Expected completion Date to be Achieved

Date to be Achieved 01/12/05 01/06/05

Replacement of heating and ventilation system at the Civic Theatre

Full description of scheme

Replacement of 6 boiler modules, refurbish ventilation system, renew/overhaul control gear, install centralised control/monitoring system for the heating and ventilation system at the Civic Theatre

Need and Justification for the scheme

The boiler modules at the Civic Theatre require consistently high levels of maintenance and repair work to maintain operations. It is increasingly difficult to guarantee service as acquiring parts is becoming very difficult. The plant has in the past been repaired and replaced as separate schemes, due to budget pressure and as a result the controls are not integrated, making it very difficult and time consuming to monitor and control temperatures in the auditorium and public areas. These are continual problems with poor temperature control (too hot in summer, too cold in winter), general audience discomfort and dissatisfaction, potential cancellation of performances (especially dance) if temperatures in working areas or stage drop too low due to plant failures/control difficulties and inefficient use of fuel.

What other options were considered and reasons why they were rejected

<u>Option</u>	Description	Reason why rejected
1	Revenue Budget	The revenue budget is fully committed to general repairs and maintenance of the building and therefore insufficient to meet this renewal
2		

2

3

4

Anticipated Physical Outputs (i.e. if this scheme is approved what actual physical outputs will be achieved)

OBJECTIVES: 1. Renewal of the existing facilities as the current equipment is now of an age that is beyond economic repair and parts are no longer available. 2. To improve the user and staff comfort within the building (by better control of heat delivery/waste heat removal). 3. Reduce revenue costs associated with the necessary ongoing maintenance of the system(s) to maintain their operation. 4. Reduce energy consumption costs and associated CO2 gas emissions. 5. To address high priority areas of work, as identified in the Corporate IPF condition survey. 6. To maintain the asset. 7. To facilitate maximum income generation through maximisation of the asset and services delivered through it and in doing so, addressing the Department's remit in meeting its objectives within the Corporate MTFP. OUTPUTS. 1. Reduction in energy consumption costs (can be assessed by direct comparison of year on year accounts). 2. Reduction in user complaints measurable by comparing current levels of customer complaints post completion of work. 3. Measurable reductions in revenue costs in maintaining equipment at operational levels. 4. Reductions in emergency maintenance costs (more modern more reliable actuators and control equipment should require less maintenance) - measurable by comparing call outs, pre and post completion of work. 5. Reductions in staff time involved in configuring and fault finding (integrated controls will be quicker set/change for different operational patterns). Not easily measurable.

How will this scheme affect the service outcomes

Lower maintenance costs, lower energy costs (from more modern, more efficient boiler modules). lower energy costs from better control and monitoring of temperatures allowing more precise delivery of heat when (and only when) required. Better experience for audience and staff

	Total Cost	External Funding	Source of External Funding	<u>Secured or</u> Provisional	Annual Revenue Implications resulting
2005/2006	£60,000.00	£0.00			
2006/2007	£0.00	£0.00			
2007/2008	£0.00	£0.00			

Community Services					Ranking	31		
Replacement of heatin	g and ventilatio	n system at ti	he Civic Theat	re				
2008/2009	£0.00	£0.0	00					
2009/2010	£0.00	£0.0	00					
Please provide specific details of the Capital Cost								
Details	2005/2006	2006/2007	2007/2008	2008/2009	2009/2010	Total		
Site Acquisition	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00		
Site Investigation	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00		
Site Preparation	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00		
Building Construction/Refurb	£54,000.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£54,000.00		
Architect Fees	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00		
Surveyor Fees	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00		
Planning Fees	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00		
Other Professional Fees	£6,000.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£6,000.00		
Equipment Costs	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00		
Other Costs	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00		
Total	£60,000.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£60,000.00		

None

If approved anticipated timetable. (Please consider funding will not be released until April 2005 at the earliest)

10/04/2005 Monies Release

01/06/2005 Tender Completed

13/07/2005 Work Commences/Assets Purchased

31/08/2005 Expected Completion Date

01/10/2005 Expected Financial Completion of the Scheme

Please identify project milestones to be used to measure progress of the project and the dates when these will be achieved

Project Milestones Project Milestones Tender completion work completion Date to be Achieved Date to be Achieved 01/06/05 31/08/05 Improvements to CedAr e5 to meet the e-procurement requirements of IEG3

Full description of scheme

Implementation of full e-procurement functionality including e-requisitioner, e-authorisor and e-buyer portals, together with associated consultancy services to enable the Council to meet it's IEG3 e-procurement obligation by 2005.

Need and Justification for the scheme

To ensure the Council's procurement systems are capable of full purchase to pay functionality to meet IEG3 obligations and the requirements of the National Procurement Strategy and Regional Centres of Procurement Excellence.

What other options were considered and reasons why they were rejected

<u>Option</u>	Description	Reason why rejected
1	IDeA Marketplace	Unable to integrate with current systems and costly for suppliers to adopt
2		
3		

4

Anticipated Physical Outputs (i.e. if this scheme is approved what actual physical outputs will be achieved)

Expected efficiency savings with increased accountability for purchasers. Improved information on spending patterns. Potential cost savings.

How will this scheme affect the service outcomes

Implementation will affect all service areas. Any savings accrued will benefit front-line services positively

What is the total cost of the scheme (Please include all professional Fees)

	Total Cost	External Fundin	i <u>g Sourc</u> <u>External</u>		<u>Secured or</u> <u>Provisional</u>	Annual F Implication		
2005/2006	£150,000.00	£50,000.0	00			10000		
2006/2007	£0.00	£0.0	00			10000		
2007/2008	£0.00	£0.0	00			10000		
2008/2009	£0.00	£0.0	00			10000		
2009/2010	£0.00	£0.0	00			10000		
Please provide spe	Please provide specific details of the Capital Cost							
Details	2005/2006	2006/2007	2007/2008	2008/2009	2009/2010	Total		
Site Acquisition	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00		
Site Investigation	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00		
Site Preparation	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00		
Building Construction/Refurb	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00		
Architect Fees	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00		
Surveyor Fees	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00		
Planning Fees	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00		
Other Professional Fees	£85,000.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£85,000.00		

Ranking

Corporate Services							32
Improvements to CedAr e5 to meet the e-procurement requirements of IEG3							
Equipment Costs	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	
Other Costs	£65,000.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£65,000.00	
Total	£150,000.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£150,000.00	

Inability to source funds from capital resources. Contingency funding plan would be a combination of IEG3 and prudential borrowing with revenue contributions from departments. Any delay in obtaining funding will delay implementation with a consequent delay in meeting IEG3 deadlines for e-procurement.

If approved anticipated timetable. (Please consider funding will not be released until April 2005 at the earliest)

01/04/2005 Monies Release

Tender Completed

01/05/2005 Work Commences/Assets Purchased

01/09/2006 Expected Completion Date

31/03/2006 Expected Financial Completion of the Scheme

Please identify project milestones to be used to measure progress of the project and the dates when these will be achieved

Project Milestones	Date to be Achieved
Installation of Software	30/06/05
Completion of Consultancy and Testing	31/12/05
Training	31/03/06
Phased roll-out	31/07/06
Go Live	31/08/06

33

Name of Scheme

Contact Centre Building Costs

Full description of scheme

The physical creation of a contract & Call Centre facility for the Council

Need and Justification for the scheme

This is one of the key elements of the Access to Services BVR Improvement Plan.

What other options were considered and reasons why they were rejected

	in stad Dharris at Outrasta (i.e. if this scheme is an	www.ed
4		
3		
2		
1	A virtual Contact/Call Centre	This will not give the desired single point of contact and will not allow the expected efficiency savings to be achieved.
<u>Optic</u>	n <u>Description</u>	Reason why rejected

Anticipated Physical Outputs (i.e. if this scheme is approved what actual physical outputs will be achieved)

A single face to face point of contact available for the majority of Council Services and a consolidate Call Centre

How will this scheme affect the service outcomes

As an element of the Access to Services BVR Improvement Plan, services should benefit from a coordinated front of office service delivery with economies of scale and improved front and back offices linkages

	<u>Total Cost</u>	External Funding	•		<u>Secured or</u> Provisional	Annual Revenue Implications resulting
2005/2006	£650,000.00	£550,000.0	0 Existing C	apital p		
2006/2007						
2007/2008						
2008/2009						
2009/2010						
Please provide sp	ecific details of the	Capital Cost				
Details	2005/2006	2006/2007	2007/2008	2008/2009	2009/2010	Total
Site Acquisition						
Site Investigation						
Site Preparation						
Building Construction/Refur	£650,000.00					£650,000.00
Architect Fees						
Surveyor Fees						
Planning Fees						
Other Professional Fees						
Equipment Costs						

Corporate Services		Ranking	33
Contact Centre	Building Costs		
Other Costs			
Total	£650,000.00	£650,000	.00
Environmental,	any potential risks that may have a negative impact legal, technical, financial and management risks s cies have been considered.		
Building Reg/Acc	cessiblity		
If approved anti earliest)	cipated timetable. (Please consider funding will no	t be released until April 2005 at the	
01/04/2005	Monies Release		
01/04/2005	Tender Completed		
01/02/2005	Work Commences/Assets Purchased		
30/11/2005	Expected Completion Date		
31/12/2005	Expected Financial Completion of the Scheme		
Please identify	project milestones to be used to measure progress	of the project and the dates when	

Please identify project milestones to be used to measure progress of the project and the dates when these will be achieved

Project Milestones

Date to be Achieved

34

Name of Scheme

Disabled Facilities Grants (DFGs)

Full description of scheme

Grants to private sector residents in order to undertake dwelling adaptations that will benefit disabled persons. Adaptations are assessed by Social Services' Occupational Therapy team, administered by local Home Improvement Agency 'Care & Repair Darlington' and approved by the Council's Housing Division

Need and Justification for the scheme

The Govt. makes a 60% grant contribution to DFG's to which the Council must make up the remaining 40%. Need has consistently outweighed the budget over a number of years despite the Council increasing resources above the 40% contribution. In 2004/05, Housing Capital has contributed 67% of the total resources of £484,000. Despite these further resources there remains a backlog of unmet need that is estimated to have a value of approximately £500,000. This is a major cause of dissatisfaction among DFG applicants, and has led to complaints being received by the Social Services Department, Housing Division and Care & Repair. The Social Services department is also being closely monitored by the Commission for Social Care Inspection in terms of performance in processing Occupational Therapy recommendations. The Action Plan that was put in place following the most recent inspection made a commitment that the waiting list for OT recommendations would be eliminated by the end of the year. Clearly, this will not be achieved during this year, however additional resources would mean that the waiting list could be eliminated during 2005/06, and many more disabled persons could have the dwelling adaptations they require to make their home more suitable to meet their needs. In addition, the wait for adaptations could lead to disabled persons having to be placed in intermediate or respite care in order to meet their needs. This would currently cost the Council £345 per bed per week, although the number of clients that may need such care cannot be estimated.

What other options were considered and reasons why they were rejected

<u>Option</u>	Description	Reason why rejected
1	Use resources elsewhere in the overall housing budget which are currently used to maintain the Council's housing stock	Following extensive consultation with Council tenants, as part of the Stock Option Appraisal all Councils are required to undertake, it has been identified that up to £20m additional investment is required in the Housing stock through Prudential Borrowing over the next 6 years. This was agreed by Council on 22 July 2004 and these proposals are being submitted to GONE and the ODPM in August for sign off in October. Therefore there are no surplus housing funds available.
2		
3		

4

Anticipated Physical Outputs (i.e. if this scheme is approved what actual physical outputs will be achieved)

Approximately 70 additional disabled persons would benefit from their accommodation being adapted

How will this scheme affect the service outcomes

There would be a positive effect in terms of more disabled persons having accommodation more suitable to their needs. The Social Services department would also be in a better position to meet its commitment to eliminate the waiting list for OT recommendations

	Total Cost	External Funding	Source of External Funding	<u>Secured or</u> <u>Provisional</u>	Annual Revenue Implications resulting
2005/2006	£770,000.00	£0.00			
2006/2007	£0.00	£0.00			

Community Services

2009/2010

Disabled Facilities Grants (DFGs)			
2007/2008	£0.00	£0.00	
2008/2009	£0.00	£0.00	

£0.00

Please provide specific details of the Capital Cost

Details	2005/2006	2006/2007	2007/2008	2008/2009	2009/2010	Total
Site Acquisition	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Site Investigation	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Site Preparation	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Building Construction/Refurb	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Architect Fees	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Surveyor Fees	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Planning Fees	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Other Professional Fees	£70,000.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£70,000.00
Equipment Costs	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Other Costs	£700,000.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£700,000.00
Total	£770,000.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£770,000.00

£0.00

Please identify any potential risks that may have a negative impact on the project. (Health and Safety, Environmental, legal, technical, financial and management risks should be considered). Please identify what contingencies have been considered.

No risks are anticipated. 'Care & Repair' will be able to administer a larger grant allocation through additional staff.

If approved anticipated timetable. (Please consider funding will not be released until April 2005 at the earliest)

Monies Release

Tender Completed

Work Commences/Assets Purchased

31/03/2005 Expected Completion Date

31/03/2005 Expected Financial Completion of the Scheme

Please identify project milestones to be used to measure progress of the project and the dates when these will be achieved

Project Milestones

Project Milestones Monthly reports on DFGs awarded against anticipated grant activity Date to be Achieved

Date to be Achieved Every month

Quarterly review meetings

Ranking

Voice & Data Network

Full description of scheme

To replace and enhance the existing Corporate Data & Voice Communication systems to ensure: continued quality of service and suitable infrastructure to deliver the Council's electronic services. This will allow the Council to fully participate in the electronic community and improve the level of resilience of the systems. To take benefit of the latest technology, including voice and data convergence.

Need and Justification for the scheme

The voice and data systems are essential elements that support the Council's electronic systems and communication infrastructure. The voice network is currently at capacity and does not support key requirements such as ACD, voicemail, etc. The data network is now eight years old and the supplier of the core equipment is no longer in the marketplace. The increasing reliance upon electronic services both within the Council and externally with the public and other bodies are placing greater demands upon the present system. Without intervention the present performance levels will degrade and possibly fail.

What other options were considered and reasons why they were rejected

<u>Option</u>	Description	Reason why rejected			
1	No action	Degradation of performance due to increased network traffic. The age of the equipment means that some core components are now obsolete. Difficult to expand the present system. Support cover likely to be withdrawn in the future or charges at premium rates.			
2					
3					

4

Anticipated Physical Outputs (i.e. if this scheme is approved what actual physical outputs will be achieved)

Reduced number of incoming telephone calls receiving the engaged tone. Improved response times across the data network. Increased resilience.

How will this scheme affect the service outcomes

Improved speed, resilience and response times. New features will be available. Reduced support requirements. Increased productivity of staff.

What is the total cost of the scheme (Please include all professional Fees)

	Total Cost	External Funding	Source of External Funding	<u>Secured or</u> Provisional	Annual Revenue Implications resulting
					<u> </u>
2005/2006	£350,000.00	£0.00			
2006/2007	£250,000.00	£0.00			
2007/2008	£0.00	£0.00			
2008/2009	£0.00	£0.00			
2009/2010	£0.00	£0.00			

Please provide specific details of the Capital Cost

Details	2005/2006	2006/2007	2007/2008	2008/2009	2009/2010	Total
Site Acquisition	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Site Investigation	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Site Preparation	£30,000.00	£10,000.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£40,000.00
Building Construction/Refurb	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00

Corporate Services

Voice & Data Network

Architect Fees	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	
Surveyor Fees	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	
Planning Fees	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	
Other Professional Fees	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	
Equipment Costs	£300,000.00 £	210,000.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£510,000.00	
Other Costs	£20,000.00	£30,000.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£50,000.00	
Total	£350,000.00 £	250,000.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£600,000.00	

Please identify any potential risks that may have a negative impact on the project. (Health and Safety, Environmental, legal, technical, financial and management risks should be considered). Please identify what contingencies have been considered.

Low risk, any new cable runs maybe affected by asbestos (NB all existing cable runs are free of asbestos). Procedures exist to deal with asbestos. Low risk, transitional period may result in some unavailability of systems - this will be reduced by project planning, testing & out of office hours working

If approved anticipated timetable. (Please consider funding will not be released until April 2005 at the earliest)

- 01/06/2005 Monies Release
- 01/06/2005 Tender Completed
- 15/06/2005 Work Commences/Assets Purchased
- 30/08/2005 Expected Completion Date
- 30/09/2005 Expected Financial Completion of the Scheme

Please identify project milestones to be used to measure progress of the project and the dates when these will be achieved

Project Milestones

Tender advert, Tender evaluation, Project commenced

Date to be Achieved April 2005, May/June 2005, June 2005

Replacement/Restoration of Boundary Fencing to South Park (not forming part of the HLF project)

Full description of scheme

The scheme is envisaged to encompass two essential items of work. 1. To remove the existing railings already in situ and these to be retained and restored where possible. 2. The restored railings are to be utilised in creating a new fence line either side of the southern parapet to the main Parkside bridge, such that the restored railings match those on the opposite of the road which is part of the HLF project. This would require approximately 80 metres of boundary fencing to be restored which would comprise 40 metres either side of the parapet.

Need and Justification for the scheme

The existing railings are currently in a poor state of repair and present a minor health and safety issue in their current condition. Additionally, their present condition with substantially detract from the overall asthetic appearance of the Parkside Road once the HLF project is concluded, which in effect will make it look as though we have missed part of the works out.

What other options were considered and reasons why they were rejected

<u>Option</u>	Description	Reason why rejected
1	Revenue	Insufficient funds to cover extent of this project
2	External funding	Not aware of any appropriate streams
3		

4

Anticipated Physical Outputs (i.e. if this scheme is approved what actual physical outputs will be achieved)

None

How will this scheme affect the service outcomes

None

What is the total cost of the scheme (Please include all professional Fees)

	Total Cost	External Funding	Source of External Funding	<u>Secured or</u> Provisional	Annual Revenue Implications resulting	
2005/2006	£36,000.00	£0.00				
2006/2007	£0.00	£0.00				
2007/2008	£0.00	£0.00				
2008/2009	£0.00	£0.00				
2009/2010	£0.00	£0.00				
Please provide specific details of the Capital Cost						

Details	2005/2006	2006/2007	2007/2008	2008/2009	2009/2010	Total
Site Acquisition	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Site Investigation	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Site Preparation	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Building Construction/Refurb	£36,000.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£36,000.00
Architect Fees	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Surveyor Fees	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Planning Fees	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00

Ranking

Community Services					Ranking	36	
Replacement/Restorat	Replacement/Restoration of Boundary Fencing to South Park (not forming part of						
Other Professional Fees	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	
Equipment Costs	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	
Other Costs	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	
Total	£36,000.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£36,000.00	

If the work is not progressed there is potential for some minor health and safety issues. Additionally this area will detract from the appearance of the overall HLF project.

If approved anticipated timetable. (Please consider funding will not be released until April 2005 at the earliest)

10/04/2005 Monies Release

10/05/2005 Tender Completed

01/06/2005 Work Commences/Assets Purchased

01/09/2005 Expected Completion Date

01/10/2005 Expected Financial Completion of the Scheme

Please identify project milestones to be used to measure progress of the project and the dates when these will be achieved

Project Milestones

Project Milestones Tender completion Completion of onsite work Date to be Achieved Date to be Achieved 10/05/05 01/09/05

CCTV Equipment upgrade

Full description of scheme

To instigate a planned replacement of equipment that is now 10 years old and beyond its accepted life span. Additionally to upgrade the existing matrix and display equipment, in the former to increase the display capacity and flexibility, in the latter to significantly increase display screen flexibility by moving towards the inevitable adoption of digital technology.

Need and Justification for the scheme

At least 35 cameras in the system are now 10 years old, have been rewired once and are not expected to be able to be rewired etc again. The existing receivers do not allow us to use the cameras to their maximum potential and they perform less well and need more operator control than more recent cameras. As the number of cameras increase we need to make more use of smart technology, to do that we have to have the latest digital equipment. In a similar way the existing matrix and monitor wall does not afford us the necessary flexibility to be able to use images in any way/configuration we feel appropriate in order to best deal with a particular situation(s). Allied with the camera and image issues is a need to install electronic systems to speed up/streamline record keeping, data capture etc. and reduce/remove the current reliance on paper records.

What other options were considered and reasons why they were rejected

<u>Option</u>	Description	Reason why rejected
1	Allow older cameras to fail, then replace	Impossible to predict, loss of service unacceptable.
2	Continue to rewire/repair older cameras.	No certainty of success, quality will steadily decline. Data Protection Act requires all equipment to be maintained at peak performance levels at all times. Older technology means we have to manually control the cameras far more than is necessary in order to achieve the same results as more recent cameras.
3	Continue with existing monitors.	Need to expand the capacity to display and move images rapidly from place to place. Very little scope for installing any more monitors, therefore need a more radical solution which is part operational and part use of digital equipment.
4	Continue to log actions manually on a paper based system.	Increasing scale and diversity of operation requires something simpler and more accurate to keep records, data etc. Such a system should also save time to enter and for the retrieval of data for PI purposes.

Anticipated Physical Outputs (i.e. if this scheme is approved what actual physical outputs will be achieved)

All of the original cameras replaced/brought up to latest specs, speed and functionality on a phased basis. A digital back projection display fitted to the existing monitor wall in the control room and the existing matrix replaced/upgraded. In addition we would install an electronic data input capture system installed at each work station.

How will this scheme affect the service outcomes

Will allow us to meet our requirements under the Data Protection Act. Will make it easier and more time effective to use the cameras making us more efficient overall. The digital display screen will allow us far more flexibility when dealing with incidents and make for much better event control/recording. The replacement matrix will both increase the capacity of the whole system and maximize the flexibility of monitor/image display allowing any configuration to be used in any combination with the 3 work stations. The electronic data capture facility will improve desk ergonomics, speed up the process and allow more time to be spent on actually using the cameras etc. A spin off will be the availability of more and more accessible performance management information the demand for which is increasing as we supply services to other private and public users.

Corporate Services

CCTV Equipment upgrade

37

What is the total cost of the scheme (Please include all professional Fees)

	Total Cost	External Funding	Source of External Funding	<u>Secured or</u> Provisional	Annual Revenue Implications resulting
2005/2006	£85,000.00	£0.00	None identified e		
2006/2007	£40,000.00	£0.00	None identified		
2007/2008	£42,000.00	£0.00	None identified		
2008/2009	£43,000.00	£0.00	None identified		
2009/2010	£0.00	£0.00			

Please provide specific details of the Capital Cost

Details	2005/2006	2006/2007	2007/2008	2008/2009	2009/2010	Total
Site Acquisition	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Site Investigation	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Site Preparation	£1,000.00	£2,000.00	£2,500.00	£3,000.00	£0.00	£8,500.00
Building Construction/Refurb	£2,000.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£2,000.00
Architect Fees	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Surveyor Fees	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Planning Fees	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Other Professional Fees	£8,000.00	£3,000.00	£3,500.00	£4,000.00	£0.00	£18,500.00
Equipment Costs	£74,000.00	£35,000.00	£36,000.00	£36,000.00	£0.00	£182,000.00
Other Costs	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Total	£85,000.00	£40,000.00	£42,000.00	£43,000.00	£0.00	£210,000.00

Please identify any potential risks that may have a negative impact on the project. (Health and Safety, Environmental, legal, technical, financial and management risks should be considered). Please identify what contingencies have been considered.

Projects will reduce risk rather than add. None of the works will add significant cost to revenue budgets, conversely they could reduce the cost of repairs. Across the board the proposals will make it easier to operate the service.

If approved anticipated timetable. (Please consider funding will not be released until April 2005 at the earliest)

- 01/06/2005 Monies Release
- 01/08/2005 Tender Completed
- 01/10/2005 Work Commences/Assets Purchased
- 01/01/2006 Expected Completion Date
- 31/03/2006 Expected Financial Completion of the Scheme

Please identify project milestones to be used to measure progress of the project and the dates when these will be achieved

Project Milestones	Date to be Achieved
Project Milestones	Date to be Achieved
Determine supplier of kit.	01/08/05.
Install matrix upgrade.	01/11/05.
Re construct monitor wall for screen.	01/12/05.
Install screen projection kit.	01/01/06
Field test and snag.	01/02/06

Refurbishment of South Park Aviary (small aviary housing parrots, etc)

Full description of scheme

Full refurbishment of the existing facilities which will include a total reconstruction of the boundary dwarf walling, together with the cage part of the aviary, with internal replacement of all landscaping

Need and Justification for the scheme

Approximately 10 years ago the facility adjacent to the aviary which houses wildlife, etc was refurbished whereas the aviary for parrots, etc was untouched. Now that the South Park is in a process of completing its refurbishment under the HLF funding award, it is felt that this area stands alone and would present somewhat of an eyesore and would ultimately detract from the visual aspect of the newly refurbished park.

What other options were considered and reasons why they were rejected

<u>Option</u>	Description	Reason why rejected
1	Revenue	Insufficient funds
2	External funding	Not aware of any funding streams available
3		

- 4

Anticipated Physical Outputs (i.e. if this scheme is approved what actual physical outputs will be achieved)

None

Planning Fees

Fees

Other Professional

Equipment Costs

How will this scheme affect the service outcomes None

What is the total cost of the scheme (Please include all professional Fees)

£0.00

£0.00

£3,000.00

£0.00

£0.00

£0.00

	Total Cost	External Fundir		<u>ce of</u> I Funding	Secured or Provisional	Annual Revenue Implications resulting	_	
2005/2006	£24,000.00	£0.0	00					
2006/2007	£0.00	£0.0	00					
2007/2008	£0.00	£0.0	00					
2008/2009	£0.00	£0.0	00					
2009/2010	£0.00	£0.0	00					
Please provide sp	Please provide specific details of the Capital Cost							
Details	2005/2006	2006/2007	2007/2008	2008/2009	2009/2010	Total		
Site Acquisition	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00		
Site Investigation	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00		
Site Preparation	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00		
Building Construction/Refurl	£21,000.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£21,000.00		
Architect Fees	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00		
Surveyor Fees	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00		

£0.00

£0.00

£0.00

£0.00

£0.00

£0.00

£0.00

£0.00

£0.00

£0.00

£0.00

£3,000.00

Community Services					Ranking	3	8
Refurbishment of South Park Aviary (small aviary housing parrots, etc)							
Other Costs	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	
Total	£24,000.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£24,000.00	

the current facility is clearly in need of repair and upgrading. Failure to do this may impact upon the welfare of the birds presently housed there.

If approved anticipated timetable. (Please consider funding will not be released until April 2005 at the earliest)

10/04/2005 Monies Release

10/06/2005 Tender Completed

10/07/2005 Work Commences/Assets Purchased

10/10/2005 Expected Completion Date

10/11/2005 Expected Financial Completion of the Scheme

Please identify project milestones to be used to measure progress of the project and the dates when these will be achieved

Project Milestones Project Milestones Tender completion Date to be Achieved

Date to be Achieved 10/11/05

Renewal of litter and dog bins throughout the Borough

Full description of scheme

The current provision of litter and dog bins were purchased approx 10 years ago and are now showing signs that they are approaching the end of their operational life. In view of this and in order to continue the current allocation for partial replacement, it is proposed that this programme is continued over the next 3 years, which should see the majority of bins replaced over this time frame

Need and Justification for the scheme

The litter and dog bins throughout the Borough need to be replaced as part of a phased programme to maintain the positive appearance of the town centre and residential/rural areas.

What other options were considered and reasons why they were rejected

<u>Option</u>	Description	Reason why rejected
1	Revenue budgets	Insufficient budget available to cover the cost
2		
3		
4		

Anticipated Physical Outputs (i.e. if this scheme is approved what actual physical outputs will be achieved)

Not applicable

How will this scheme affect the service outcomes

This scheme will allow the service to achieve its aim, whilst supporting the Council's vision.

What is the total cost of the scheme (Please include all professional Fees)

	Total Cost	External Fundir		<u>ce of</u> Funding	<u>Secured or</u> Provisional	Annual Revenue Implications resulting
2005/2006	£25,000.00	£0.0	00			
2006/2007	£25,000.00	£0.0	00			
2007/2008	£25,000.00	£0.0	00			
2008/2009	£0.00	£0.0	00			
2009/2010	£0.00	£0.0	00			
Please provide sp	ecific details of the	Capital Cost				
Details	2005/2006	2006/2007	2007/2008	2008/2009	2009/2010	Total
Site Acquisition	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Site Investigation	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Site Preparation	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Building Construction/Refure	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Architect Fees	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Surveyor Fees	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Planning Fees	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Other Professional Fees	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Equipment Costs	£25,000.00	£25,000.00	£25,000.00	£0.00	£0.00	£75,000.00

Community Services					Ranking		39
Renewal of litter and dog bins throughout the Borough							
Other Costs	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	
Total	£25,000.00	£25,000.00	£25,000.00	£0.00	£0.00	£75,000.00	

Failure to replace the existing bins, which are now showing the signs of age and distress, will add to greater levels of litter upon the streets and hence distracting from the overall appearance of the environment within the town.

If approved anticipated timetable. (Please consider funding will not be released until April 2005 at the earliest)

10/04/2005 Monies Release

01/05/2005 Tender Completed

01/07/2005 Work Commences/Assets Purchased

01/08/2005 Expected Completion Date

01/09/2005 Expected Financial Completion of the Scheme

Please identify project milestones to be used to measure progress of the project and the dates when these will be achieved

Project Milestones	Date to be Achieved
Project Milestones Tender completion Completion date	Date to be Achieved
render completion completion date	10/05/05 01/08/05

40

Name of Scheme

Repairs/refurbishment of boundary walls - West Cemetery

Full description of scheme

The work encompasses three separate areas, all of which require various repairs/renewals to be carried out to the brick boundary walls. The West Cemetery site, as you will know, is on the register of Historic Gardens and Parks and this work may be required to be carried out in as close to as possible, a like for like replacement. 1. The wall boundaring Cemetery Lane, a large section of which has recently fallen over and is currently replaced with chestnut fencing to prevent access to the Cemetery via this route. This section requires rebuilding. 2. Ditto the north wall boardering Woodburn Nursery. 3. Ditto the west wall boundaring Woodburn Nursery and the private properties to Salutation Road.

Please Note - This bid is made in order to pick up on the bid from last year which originally sort £197,000 but was granted only £130,000, therefore the shortfall of £67,000 is requested in this bid to complete the works to the boundary walls of West Cemetery

Need and Justification for the scheme

Failure to address this work may lead to a Health and Safety risk and further, disintegration of existing walls.

What other options were considered and reasons why they were rejected

<u>Option</u>	Description	Reason why rejected
1	Revenue Account	Insufficient funds to cover the extent of this scheme
2		
3		

4

Anticipated Physical Outputs (i.e. if this scheme is approved what actual physical outputs will be achieved)

None

How will this scheme affect the service outcomes

None

Construction/Refurb

Architect Fees

Surveyor Fees

What is the total cost of the scheme (Please include all professional Fees)

£64.000.00

£0.00

£0.00

	Total Cost	External Fundir	<u> </u>	<u>ce of</u> Funding	Secured or Provisional	Annual R Implications	
2005/2006	£70,000.00	£0.0	00				
2006/2007	£0.00	£0.0	00				
2007/2008	£0.00	£0.0	00				
2008/2009	£0.00	£0.0	00				
2009/2010	£0.00	£0.0	00				
Please provide specific details of the Capital Cost							
Details	2005/2006	2006/2007	2007/2008	2008/2009	2009/2010	Total	
Site Acquisition	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	
Site Investigation	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	
Site Preparation	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	
Building	664 000 00	00.00	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	004 000 00	

£0.00

£0.00

£0.00

£0.00

£0.00

£0.00

£0.00

£0.00

£0.00

£64.000.00

£0.00

£0.00

£0.00

£0.00

£0.00

Community Services

Repairs/refurbishment of boundary walls - West Cemetery

	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••						
Planning Fees	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	
Other Professional Fees	£6,000.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£6,000.00	
Equipment Costs	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	
Other Costs	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	
Total	£70,000.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£70,000.00	

Please identify any potential risks that may have a negative impact on the project. (Health and Safety, Environmental, legal, technical, financial and management risks should be considered). Please identify what contingencies have been considered.

The only significant risks this scheme represents if not progressed is of a Health and Safety nature, in that the wall may suffer further collapses.

If approved anticipated timetable. (Please consider funding will not be released until April 2005 at the earliest)

- 10/04/2005 Monies Release
- 01/08/2005 Tender Completed
- 01/09/2005 Work Commences/Assets Purchased
- 01/11/2005 Expected Completion Date
- 01/02/2006 Expected Financial Completion of the Scheme

Please identify project milestones to be used to measure progress of the project and the dates when these will be achieved

Project Milestones Project Milestones Tender Completion 01/08/05 Date to be Achieved Date to be Achieved 01/11/05

Alderman Leach Primary - Arts Project

Full description of scheme

It is anticipated that the funding will provide 3 arts elements at the new Alderman Leach School ${\sf K}$

·KThe lead poet W.N. Herbert is going to write a school motto for the outside of the building that will be profiled in steel with lighting.

KIn the central courtyard sculptor, David Paton will produce a stone artwork based from the wonderful stories that the children created with writer Kitty Fitzgerald as part of the Writing West Park education programme.

•KThese selected words will also be incorporated in the glass panels of the 3 sets of doors that surround the courtyard. Glass Artist, Bridget Jones will be commissioned to design these along with a fourth set of doors "The butterfly doors" that leads into the "meditative" central room. All the text stems from W. N. Herbert's original poetry and arts strategy "Caring, Sharing, Daring" using the 3 protected species believed to have inhabited the site. The Dingy Skipper (butterfly), the ringed plover (bird) and the water vole.

Need and Justification for the scheme

The requirement for a contribution to the Arts has come about due to the location of the new school on the site of the West Park development. The private developer, has advocated the use of artists in the creation of an arts strategy that is woven through every aspect of this unique project. Lead poet, W.N. Herbert wrote the arts strategy and poetry plan "Caring, Sharing, Daring" to be incorporated in large sculptural features in the dramatic panoramic park and included in a new build hospital, primary school and rugby club

What other options were considered and reasons why they were rejected

<u>Option</u>	Description	Reason why rejected
1	to do nothing	Requirement from the developer as part of the land deal.
2		
3		

4

Anticipated Physical Outputs (i.e. if this scheme is approved what actual physical outputs will be achieved)

Darlington Borough Council's Public Art Policy, currently in draft form, demonstrates the council's commitment to supporting the development of public art across the borough of Darlington. The aim of the policy is to highlight this commitment and to act as an advocacy tool to influence, shape and support the integration of public art into capital development schemes within an overall approach of targeted encouragement backed up by officer expertise to enable implementation. The proposed scheme aims to meet the local arts plan

How will this scheme affect the service outcomes N/A

What is the total cost of the scheme (Please include all professional Fees)

	Total Cost	External Funding	Source of External Funding	Secured or Provisional	Annual Revenue Implications resulting
2005/2006	£20,000.00	£0.00			
2006/2007	£0.00	£0.00			
2007/2008	£0.00	£0.00			
2008/2009	£0.00	£0.00			
2009/2010	£0.00	£0.00			

Please provide specific details of the Capital Cost

Education

Alderman Leach Primary - Arts Project

2005/2006 £0.00	2006/2007	2007/2008	2008/2009	2009/2010	Total
£0.00					
	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
£20,000.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£20,000.00
£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
£20,000.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£20,000.00
	£0.00 £0.00 £20,000.00 £0.00 £0.00 £0.00 £0.00 £0.00	£0.00 £0.00 £0.00 £0.00 £20,000.00 £0.00 £0.00 £0.00 £0.00 £0.00 £0.00 £0.00 £0.00 £0.00 £0.00 £0.00 £0.00 £0.00 £0.00 £0.00 £0.00 £0.00 £0.00 £0.00 £0.00 £0.00 £0.00 £0.00	£0.00 £0.00 £0.00 £0.00 £0.00 £0.00 £0.00 £0.00 £0.00 £20,000.00 £0.00 £0.00 £0.00 £0.00 £0.00 £0.00 £0.00 £0.00 £0.00 £0.00 £0.00 £0.00 £0.00 £0.00 £0.00 £0.00 £0.00 £0.00 £0.00 £0.00 £0.00 £0.00 £0.00 £0.00 £0.00 £0.00 £0.00 £0.00 £0.00	£0.00 £0.00 £0.00 £0.00 £0.00 £0.00 £0.00 £0.00 £0.00 £0.00 £20,000.00 £0.00 £0.00 £0.00 £0.00 £0.00 £0.00 £0.00 £0.00 £0.00 £0.00 £0.00 £0.00 £0.00 £0.00 £0.00 £0.00 £0.00 £0.00 £0.00 £0.00 £0.00 £0.00 £0.00 £0.00 £0.00 £0.00 £0.00 £0.00 £0.00 £0.00 £0.00 £0.00 £0.00 £0.00 £0.00 £0.00 £0.00 £0.00 £0.00 £0.00 £0.00 £0.00 £0.00 £0.00	£0.00 £0.00 <th< td=""></th<>

Please identify any potential risks that may have a negative impact on the project. (Health and Safety, Environmental, legal, technical, financial and management risks should be considered). Please identify what contingencies have been considered.

none

If approved anticipated timetable. (Please consider funding will not be released until April 2005 at the earliest)

01/04/2005 Monies Release

Tender Completed

01/07/2005 Work Commences/Assets Purchased

31/08/2005 Expected Completion Date

31/08/2005 Expected Financial Completion of the Scheme

Please identify project milestones to be used to measure progress of the project and the dates when these will be achieved

Project Milestones Project completion Date to be Achieved 31/08/2005

Ranking

42

Name of Scheme

Town Hall - Refurbishment of Toilets

Full description of scheme

Refurbishing the Toilets in the Town Hall on a rolling programme

Need and Justification for the scheme

The toilets in the Town Hall are over 30 years old and require refurbishment

What other options were considered and reasons why they were rejected

<u>Option</u>	Description	Reason why rejected
1	None	N/A
2		
3		
4		

Anticipated Physical Outputs (i.e. if this scheme is approved what actual physical outputs will be achieved)

More modern and up-to-date facilities for the staff

How will this scheme affect the service outcomes Increase staff morale

What is the total cost of the scheme (Please include all professional Fees)

	Total Cost	External Fundir		<u>ce of</u> Funding	<u>Secured or</u> Provisional	Annual Revenue Implications resulting
2005/2006	£10,000.00	£0.0	00			
2006/2007	£10,000.00	£0.0	00			
2007/2008	£10,000.00	£0.0	00			
2008/2009	£10,000.00	£0.0	00			
2009/2010	£10,000.00	£0.0	00			
Please provide sp	ecific details of the	Capital Cost				
Details	2005/2006	2006/2007	2007/2008	2008/2009	2009/2010	Total
Site Acquisition	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Site Investigation	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Site Preparation	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Building Construction/Refurt	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Architect Fees	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Surveyor Fees	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Planning Fees	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Other Professional Fees	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Equipment Costs	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Other Costs	£10,000.00	£10,000.00	£10,000.00	£10,000.00	£10,000.00	£50,000.00
Total	£10,000.00	£10,000.00	£10,000.00	£10,000.00	£10,000.00	£50,000.00

Corporate Services	
Town Hall - Refurbishment of Toilets	

If approved anticipated timetable. (Please consider funding will not be released until April 2005 at the earliest)

01/04/2005 Monies Release

Tender Completed

01/04/2005 Work Commences/Assets Purchased

01/04/2010 Expected Completion Date

01/04/2010 Expected Financial Completion of the Scheme

Please identify project milestones to be used to measure progress of the project and the dates when these will be achieved

Project Milestones

One Floor per year to be refurbished

Date to be Achieved

Date to be Achieved by commencement of the following financial year

Ranking

Provision of Spectator Seating at Eastbourne Sports Complex

Full description of scheme

To provide spectator/storage facilities to be used for principal events held at the location of Eastbourne Sports Complex

Need and Justification for the scheme

The current facilities have no raised spectator facilities which lead to smaller audiences for the principal events held at the facility

What other options were considered and reasons why they were rejected

<u>Option</u>	Description	Reason why rejected
1	Revenue Budget	Insufficient funds
2		
3		

4

Fees

Equipment Costs

Other Costs

Anticipated Physical Outputs (i.e. if this scheme is approved what actual physical outputs will be achieved)

It is anticipated that a wider spectator base would be established which should hopefully broaden the appeal of the facility

How will this scheme affect the service outcomes It will increase customer base

What is the total cost of the scheme (Please include all professional Fees)

£70,000.00

£0.00

£0.00

£0.00

	Total Cost	External Fundir		Source of External Funding		Annual Revenue Implications resulting
2005/2006	£70,000.00	£0.0	00			
2006/2007	£0.00	£0.0	00			
2007/2008	£0.00	£0.0	00			
2008/2009	£0.00	£0.0	00			
2009/2010	£0.00	£0.0	00			
Please provide sp	pecific details of the	e Capital Cost				
Details	2005/2006	2006/2007	2007/2008	2008/2009	2009/2010	Total
Site Acquisition	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Site Investigation	£0.00	0 £0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Site Preparation	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Building Construction/Refur	ъ £0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Architect Fees	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Surveyor Fees	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Planning Fees	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Other Professional	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00

£0.00

£0.00

£0.00

£0.00

£0.00

£0.00

£70,000.00

£0.00

Community Se	rvices	Ranking		43				
Provision of Spectator Seating at Eastbourne Sports Complex								
Total	£70.000.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£70.000.00		

none

If approved anticipated timetable. (Please consider funding will not be released until April 2005 at the earliest)

- 10/04/2005 Monies Release
- 01/06/2005 Tender Completed
- 01/07/2005 Work Commences/Assets Purchased
- 01/08/2005 Expected Completion Date
- 01/12/2005 Expected Financial Completion of the Scheme

Please identify project milestones to be used to measure progress of the project and the dates when these will be achieved

Project Milestones

Project Milestones Tender completion of work Date to be Achieved Date to be Achieved 01/08/05

Central House telephone system

Full description of scheme

Replacement of telephone system and cabling at Central House and North Lodge

Need and Justification for the scheme

The Access to Services Best Value Review Improvement Plan recommended the creation of a Contact/Call Centre for the Council and the creation of a Customer Services Division. This programme of work involves several projects that collectively provide this solution. The projects are, the physical creation of a Contact/Call Centre, business processes review and re-engineering, the acquisition and implementation of a Customer Relationship Management (CRM) system, the acquisition and implementation of a supporting telephony system and the creation of a Customer Services Division. This is the subject of an existing capital bid. Underpinning this initiative is an efficient telephone system, without which the Call Centre could not operate effectively. The current telephone and voice mail system for Central House and North Lodge does not work efficiently and therefore needs replacement.

What other options were considered and reasons why they were rejected

<u>Option</u>	Description	Reason why rejected
1	Various	Please refer to the AtoS BVR Report
2		
3		
4		

Anticipated Physical Outputs (i.e. if this scheme is approved what actual physical outputs will be achieved)

Simplified and improved access for the public to council services

How will this scheme affect the service outcomes

Increased first point of contact resolutions, greater customer satisfaction and streamlined processes

What is the total cost of the scheme (Please include all professional Fees)

	Total Cost	External Funding	Source of External Funding	<u>Secured or</u> Provisional	Annual Revenue Implications resulting
2005/2006	£60,000.00	£0.00			
2006/2007	£0.00	£0.00			
2007/2008	£0.00	£0.00			
2008/2009	£0.00	£0.00			
2009/2010	£0.00	£0.00			

Please provide specific details of the Capital Cost

Details	2005/2006	2006/2007	2007/2008	2008/2009	2009/2010	Total
Site Acquisition	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Site Investigation	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Site Preparation	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Building Construction/Refurb	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Architect Fees	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Surveyor Fees	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Planning Fees	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00

Social Services		Ranking	44					
Central House telephone system								
Other Professional Fees	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00		
Equipment Costs	£60,000.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£60,000.00		
Other Costs	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00		
Total	£60,000.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£60,000.00		

Risk assessment contained within the AtoS BVR Report

If approved anticipated timetable. (Please consider funding will not be released until April 2005 at the earliest)

01/04/2005 Monies Release

Tender Completed

01/08/2005 Work Commences/Assets Purchased

01/12/2005 Expected Completion Date

01/12/2005 Expected Financial Completion of the Scheme

Please identify project milestones to be used to measure progress of the project and the dates when these will be achieved

Project Milestones

Agree full specification, identify appropriate telephone system, agree price and installation date, complete works

Date to be Achieved Date to be Achieved

Installation of Drainage System and Landscaping to Inner Field of Athletics Track

Full description of scheme

To install full field drainage system to area of inner field of athletics track, together with full landscaping post-installation of drainage system in order to provide usable sports playing fields for multi-purpose use as the current facility is not usable

Need and Justification for the scheme

Current facility is unusable

What other options were considered and reasons why they were rejected

<u>Option</u>	Description	Reason why rejected
1	Revenue Budget	Insufficient funds to deal with this as a one-off
2		
•		

3

4

Anticipated Physical Outputs (i.e. if this scheme is approved what actual physical outputs will be achieved)

Potential increase in Centre's income

How will this scheme affect the service outcomes

Increase of customer usage of the facility

What is the total cost of the scheme (Please include all professional Fees)

	Total Cost	External Funding	Source of External Funding	<u>Secured or</u> Provisional	Annual Revenue Implications resulting			
2005/2006	£20,000.00	£0.00						
2006/2007	£0.00	£0.00						
2007/2008	£0.00	£0.00						
2008/2009	£0.00	£0.00						
2009/2010	£0.00	£0.00						
Please provide specific details of the Capital Cost								

Details	2005/2006	2006/2007	2007/2008	2008/2009	2009/2010	Total
Site Acquisition	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Site Investigation	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Site Preparation	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Building Construction/Refurb	£18,000.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£18,000.00
Architect Fees	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Surveyor Fees	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Planning Fees	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Other Professional Fees	£2,000.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£2,000.00
Equipment Costs	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Other Costs	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00

Ranking

Community Services					Ranking		45	
Installation of Drainag	e System and Land	dscaping to Ir	nner Field of A	thletics Track				
Total	£20,000.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£20,000.00		
Please identify any po Environmental, legal, what contingencies ha	technical, financial	and manage			•			
None								
If approved anticipated timetable. (Please consider funding will not be released until April 2005 at the earliest)								
10/04/2005 Monie	s Release							
01/07/2005 Tende	r Completed							
01/09/2005 Work	Commences/Asset	s Purchased						
01/11/2005 Expec	ted Completion Da	te						
01/12/2005 Expec	ted Financial Com	pletion of the	Scheme					
Please identify project these will be achieved		used to meas	ure progress o	of the project a	nd the dates	when		
Project Milestones		Da	te to be Achie	ved				
Project Milestones Tender work completion	1	Da	te to be Achiev	ed: 01/07/05-01	/11/05			