

Type in the relevant weighting and click on each option cell to select the

**Impact on Outcomes** **Car Parking Charges**

Outcomes	Weight	Proposal	Score	Notes
People in Darlington are healthy and supported	1	No impact		
People in Darlington are educated and skilled	1	No impact		
People in Darlington are financially secure	1	No impact		
Individuals are not disadvantaged by their family circumstances at birth, nor by where they reside. People live in cohesive and resilient communities	1	No impact		
People in Darlington live in sustainable neighbourhoods	1	Small positive impact		Unlikely, but may encourage some people to walk, cycle, use buses
Our communities are safe and free of crime	1	Small negative impact		Removal of staffing from East Street may have some impact on personal security
Darlington is an ambitious, entrepreneurial place in which businesses thrive and create wealth	1	Small negative impact		Potential impact on town centre businesses and on Sunday trading

**Financial Impact** **Car Parking Charges**

Considerations	Weight	Proposal	Score	Notes
What are the potential monetary efficiency savings?	1	Medium Saving - £100K-£500K		Saving of £44k in 2011/12 and £144k per annum from 2012/13 onwards is in the form of projected increase in income, and savings in staff costs
How much of an investment is needed to realise the saving?	1	Small-medium investment £20K-£100K		Orders and signing for all proposals approx £55k, but would go up to £101k if RPZs have to be changed to include Sundays
How easy is it to implement the option?	1	Potential difficulties to implement		Potential for public resistance; higher implementation costs if RPZs are to be included in changes.
When will the savings be realised?	1	Savings between 1 month and 6 months		Timescale dependent on orders and signage

**Council-Wide Impact** **Car Parking Charges**

PESTLE	Considerations	Weight	Proposal	Score	Notes
Economic	Will jobs be lost by the change?	1	1-5 jobs lost by change		Approx 2.0fte staff (3 people) operating East Street Car Park barrier
Socio-Cultural	Will the change detrimentally affect vulnerable groups or Equality Act 2010: Protected Characteristics such as below:				
	Older People	1	Small negative impact		Changes in charges will be experienced as disproportional impact by car users with lower incomes, including older people
	Children	1	No impact		
	Disabled People	1	No impact		
	People with a long term limiting illness	1	No impact		
	BME Communities	1	No impact		
	Faith Communities	1	No impact		
	Unemployed or Low Income	1	Small negative impact		Changes in charges will be experienced as disproportional impact by car users with lower incomes, including unemployed people
	Carers	1	No impact		
	LGBT People	1	No impact		
	People with criminal convictions	1	No impact		
	Refugees and Asylum Seekers	1	No impact		
	Gypsies and Travellers	1	No impact		
	Young People Leaving Care	1	No impact		
	People with Mental Ill Health	1	No impact		
	Men	1	No impact		
Women	1	No impact			
Disadvantaged Areas	Pregnant women or those accessing Maternity Services	1	No impact		
	Those from Disadvantaged areas, please list				
	Urban areas	1	No impact		
	Rural areas	1	No impact		
Other Considerations	Impact on Partners Service Delivery	1	No impact		
	Public Perception/Reputation	1	Small negative impact		Experience indicates that car parking charge changes are unpopular and can attract negative perceptions
	Other Unintended Consequence Please list:				
	a	1	No impact		
	b	1	No impact		
	c	1	No impact		
Technological	Will the change be technologically difficult to implement?	1	No technological difficulties		Changes to machines at East Street
Legal	Does the change have a legal impact?	1	No legal impact		
Health & Safety	Will the change have a negative impact on health and safety considerations?	1	No impact on Health and Safety		