Type in the relevant weighting and click on each option cell to

Environmental Health - cease some discretionary services

Outcomes	Weight	Proposal	Score	Notes
People in Darlington are healthy and supported	1	Small negative impact		Under this proposal proactive routine food sampling would cease. This may impact upon early intervention which this outcome aims to foster
People in Darlington are educated and skilled	1	No impact		
People in Darlington are financially secure	1	No impact		
Individuals are not disadvantaged by their family circumstances at birth, nor by where they reside. People live in cohesive and resilient communities	1	No impact		
People in Darlington live in sustainable neighbourhoods	1	No impact		
Our communities are safe and free of crime	1	No impact		
Darlington is an ambitious, entrepreneurial place in which businesses thrive and create wealth	1	No impact		

Environmental Health - cease some discretionary services

Considerations	Weight	Proposal	Score	Notes
What are the potential monetary efficiency savings?	1	Small-medium Saving £20K-£100k		
How much of an investment is needed to realise the saving?	1	Small-medium investment £20K-£100K		
How easy is it to implement the option?	1	Tried and tested option		
When will the savings be realised?	1	Savings to be made over 6 months		Approx. 6 months

Council-Wide Impact

Environmental Health - cease some discretionary services

PESTLE	Considerations	Weight	Proposal	Score	Notes
Economic	Will jobs be lost by the change?	1	1-5 jobs lost by change		3 posts
Will the change detrimentally affect vulnerable groups or Equality Act 2010: Protected Characteristics such as below: Older People Children Disabled People People with a long term limiting illness BME Communities	groups or Equality Act 2010: Protected				
	1	No impact			
	Children	1	No impact		
	1	No impact			
	1	Small negative impact		Ceasing the proactive food sampling service may impact upon people who are ill at a point in time and/or have a long term illness in that if they were to contract e.g. food poisoning, this could increase their feeling of being unwell	
	BME Communities	1	No impact		
	Faith Communities	1	No impact		
Carers LGBT People	Unemployed or Low Income	1	No impact		
	Carers	1	No impact		
	LGBT People	1	No impact		
	People with criminal convictions	1	No impact		
	Refugees and Asylum Seekers	1	No impact		
	Gypsies and Travellers	1	No impact		
Young People Leaving Care People with Mental III Health Men	Young People Leaving Care	1	No impact		
	People with Mental III Health	1	No impact		
	Men	1	No impact		
	Women	1	No impact		
Disadvantaged Areas	Pregnant women or those accessing Maternity Services Those from Disadvantaged	1	No impact		
areas, piease iist	All Disadvantaged Areas	1	No impact		
Other Considerations Impact on Partners Servi Public Perception/Reputa Other Unintended Conse	-	1	No impact		
	·	1	No impact		
	Other Unintended Consequence Please list:		No impast		
	Lack of local intelligence	1	Small negative impact		The withdrawal of the proactive routine food sampling and ceasing inspections of lower risk premises for Health and Safety will reduce the level of intelligence about the local situation
	b	1	No impact		
С	1	No impact			
Technological	Will the change be technologically difficult to implement?	1	No technological difficulties		
Legal	Does the change have a legal impact?	1	Some legal impact		There could be a view that the Council should continue to inspect lower risk premises for Health and Safety
Health & Safety	Will the change have a negative impact on health and safety considerations?	1	Some impact on Health and Safety		Potential impact via the supported self assessment route for Health and Safety for lower risk premises