

Type in the relevant weighting and click on each option

Impact on Outcomes	Strategic Funding Review of the Third Sector
---------------------------	---

Outcomes	Weight	Proposal	Score	Notes
People in Darlington are healthy and supported	1	Medium negative impact		Loss of community grant may impact on smaller groups serving older people and younger people but only if they have high reserves as CDCF will fund the majority.
People in Darlington are educated and skilled	1	Small negative impact		Few grant recipients work in this field.
People in Darlington are financially secure	1	Small negative impact		Loss of community grant may impact on smaller groups serving older people and younger people. Strategic grant cuts may affect capacity of DAD and CAB to support people with financial advice..
Individuals are not disadvantaged by their family circumstances at birth, nor by where they reside. People live in cohesive and resilient communities	1	Medium negative impact		Loss of community grant may impact on smaller groups serving older people and younger people but only if they have high reserves as CDCF will fund the majority. No funding is given specifically to BME groups in the current year but there has been community grant given to these groups in the past and this should still happen through CDCF but increased strategic focus should include cohesion and equality issues. (see feedback from 16th November event)
People in Darlington live in sustainable neighbourhoods	1	No impact		No impact
Our communities are safe and free of crime	1	Small negative impact		Young people may have less activities to do such as cubs and scouts and this may increase fear of crime at least if they are congregating in groups.
Darlington is an ambitious, entrepreneurial place in which businesses thrive and create wealth	1	Small negative impact		Will increase financial pressures on some third sector organisations that we want to undertake new work.

Financial Impact	Strategic Funding Review of the Third Sector
-------------------------	---

Considerations	Weight	Proposal	Score	Notes
What are the potential monetary efficiency savings?	1	Medium Saving - £100K-£500K		This saving is to be confirmed as there are some legal constraints in particular cases which may delay them being achieved.
How much of an investment is needed to realise the saving?	1	Small investment - £0-£20k		
How easy is it to implement the option?	1	Potential difficulties to implement		This saving is to be confirmed as there are some legal constraints in particular cases which may delay them being achieved. There are also political risks and public pressure which may delay full implementation.
When will the savings be realised?	1	Savings between 1 month and 6 months		In some cases notice of up to 6 months needs to be given.

Council-Wide Impact	Strategic Funding Review of the Third Sector
----------------------------	---

PESTLE	Considerations	Weight	Proposal	Score	Notes
Economic	Will jobs be lost by the change?	1	No impact on jobs		No internal jobs but voluntary sector jobs may be lost and this is unclear at present.
Socio-Cultural	Will the change detrimentally affect vulnerable groups or Equality Act 2010: Protected Characteristics such as below:				
	Older People	1	Medium negative impact		Some impact particularly in relation to community grant but this could be offset by a move to more strategic focus.
	Children	1	Small negative impact		Some impact particularly in relation to community grant but this could be offset by a move to more strategic focus.
	Disabled People	1	Medium negative impact		Some impact particularly in relation to community grant but this could be offset by a move to more strategic focus.
	People with a long term limiting illness	1	Small negative impact		Some impact particularly in relation to community grant but this could be offset by a move to more strategic focus.
	BME Communities	1	No impact		
	Faith Communities	1	Medium negative impact		Some impact particularly in relation to community grant but this could be offset by a move to more strategic focus.
	Unemployed or Low Income	1	Small negative impact		Some impact particularly in relation to community grant but this could be offset by a move to more strategic focus.
	Carers	1	Medium negative impact		Some impact particularly in relation to community grant but this could be offset by a move to more strategic focus.
	LGBT People	1	No impact		
	People with criminal convictions	1	No impact		
	Refugees and Asylum Seekers	1	No impact		
	Gypsies and Travellers	1	No impact		
Young People Leaving Care	1	No impact			

Disadvantaged Areas	People with Mental Ill Health	1	Small negative impact	Some impact particularly in relation to community grant but this could be offset by a move to more strategic focus.
	Men	1	No impact	
	Women	1	No impact	
	Pregnant women or those accessing Maternity Services	1	No impact	
	Those from Disadvantaged areas, please list			
Other Considerations	Impact on Partners Service Delivery	1	Small negative impact	Could be a negative or positive impact as it will reduce our capacity to help some groups but enable us to focus on strategic priorities that have been set in partnership
	Public Perception/Reputation	1	Small negative impact	Could be a negative or positive impact as it will reduce our capacity to help some groups but enable us to focus on strategic priorities and help other groups. The Council has continued to comply with the Compact which promises a mixture of grant support and contracting.
Technological	Other Unintended Consequence Please list:			
	a	1	No impact	
	b	1	No impact	
	c	1	No impact	
	Will the change be technologically difficult to implement?	1	No technological difficulties	
Legal	Does the change have a legal impact?	1	Some legal impact	Overall the impact is positive as it moves towards a number of clearer processes and greater transparency although there may be risk of challenge to some decisions.
Health & Safety	Will the change have a negative impact on health and safety considerations?	1	No impact on Health and Safety	