Type in the relevant weighting and click on each option

Adult Social Care:
Personalised
Budgets - Review
of Eligibility
Criteria

| Outcomes | Weight | Proposal | Score | Notes |
|---|--------|------------------------|-------|---|
| People in Darlington are healthy and supported | 1 | Medium negative impact | | loss of services to those with lower levels of need Comments from Talking Together events are explained in more detail in the associated Disability Equality Impact Assessments. "Think might be given an individual budget to buy own services. If so, what provision/support mechanisms will be made available for people in the low/moderate bands"? He said that "a lot of people who have lower level needs will not meet the criteria and added that some users with higher level needs will now fall into lower level need category. He went on to say that people already living independently will be affected more" Resident suggested that" if possible it may be worth Darlington Borough Council identifying all individuals who could be impacted and consulting them on their situation. If support for lesser things is taken away, people may deteriorate quicker and end up costing more in the long term. The Council should be aware of this risk. There needs to be an awareness of people at all levels if banding is made harder. Potentially people could end up falling off the bottom". |
| People in Darlington are educated and skilled | 1 | No impact | | |
| People in Darlington are financially secure | 1 | Medium negative impact | | loss of services to those with lower levels of need |
| Individuals are not disadvantaged by their family circumstances at birth, nor by where they reside. People live in cohesive and resilient communities | 1 | No impact | | |
| People in Darlington live in sustainable neighbourhoods | 1 | No impact | | |
| Our communities are safe and free of crime | 1 | Small negative impact | | loss of low level support may increase fear of crime etc |
| Darlington is an ambitious, entrepreneurial place in which businesses thrive and create wealth | 1 | Medium negative impact | | People may decline support leading to less demand for independent provision |

Adult Social Care: Personalised Budgets - Review of Eligibility Criteria

| Considerations | Weight | Proposal | Score | Notes |
|--|--------|----------------------------------|-------|--|
| What are the potential monetary efficiency savings? | 1 | Medium-large Saving - £500K-£1m | | |
| How much of an investment is needed to realise the saving? | 1 | Medium investment - £100K-£500K | | Investment in low level preventative support with universal access |
| How easy is it to implement the option? | 1 | Tried and tested option | | |
| When will the savings be realised? | 1 | Savings to be made over 6 months | | |

Adult Social Care:
Personalised
Budgets - Review
of Eligibility
Criteria

Financial Impact

| PESTLE | Considerations | Weight | Proposal | Score Notes |
|------------------|--|--------|-------------------------|---|
| Economic | Will jobs be lost by the change? Will the change detrimentally affect | 1 | 1-5 jobs lost by change | Potential for job losses in Financial Assessment , Care Managment and Review Teams |
| Socio-Cultural P | vulnerable groups or Equality Act 2010: Protected Characteristics such as below: | | | |
| | Older People | 1 | Medium negative impact | loss of services to those with lower levels of need Comments from Talking Together events are explained in more detail in the associated Disability Equality Impact Assessments. "Intervention at the lowest end of care means increased quality of life and slows down the need for further intervention later on". "Be careful cutting at this end when the costs will be felt further down the line". "Keeping people in their own homes but without support or transport can mean isolation and loneliness this is a huge issue and needs to be addressed not made worse". |
| | Children | 1 | No impact | |
| | Disabled People | 1 | Medium negative impact | loss of services to those with lower levels of need Comments from Talking Together events are explained in more detail in the associated Disability Equality Impact Assessments." Intervention at the lowest end of care means increased quality of life and slows down the need for further intervention later on". "Be careful cutting at this end when the costs will be felt further down the line". "Keeping people in their own homes but without support or transport can mean isolation and loneliness this is a huge issue and needs to be addressed not made worse". |

| | Poople with a leng town limiting "I've | 4 | Modium pozotiva impart | loss of services to those with lower levels of need Comments from Talking Together events are explained in more detail in the associated Disability Equality Impact Assessments. If someone meets low criteria for benefits at the moment, if this is withdraw will he still get a personal budget? Intervention at the lowest end of care means increased quality of life and |
|--|---|------------------------|--|--|
| | People with a long term limiting illness | 1 | Medium negative impact | Slows down the need for further intervention later on Be careful cutting at this end when the costs will be further down the line. Keeping people in their own homes but without suppor transport can mean isolation and loneliness, this is a huge issue and needs to be addressed not made worse. |
| | BME Communities | 1 | No impact | |
| | Faith Communities | 1 | No impact | |
| | Unemployed or Low Income Carers | 1 | No impact | loss of services to those with lower levels of need. A the bulk of those people's parents are in their 60's,7' or 80's so there will be a substantial impact on elder carers. Comments from Talking Together events are explained in more detail in the associated Disability Equality Impact Assessments. " If statutory services |
| | | | Medium negative impact | are withdrawn who is going to provide alternative services"? "Raising the minimum eligibility requirements to access service is going to impact on the family and cause ill health". |
| | LGBT People | 1 | No impact | |
| | People with criminal convictions Refugees and Asylum Seekers | 1 | No impact | |
| | Refugees and Asylum Seekers Gypsies and Travellers | 1 | No impact No impact | |
| | | 1 | No impact | |
| Young People Leaving Care People with Mental III Health Men Women | | 1 | Medium negative impact | loss of services to those with lower levels of need Comments from Talking Together events are explained in more detail in the associated Disability Equality Impact Assessments."Intervention at the lowest end of care means increased quality of life at slows down the need for further intervention later or Be careful cutting at this end when the costs will be further down the line". "Keeping people in their own homes but without support or transport can mean isolation and loneline." |
| | 1 | No impact No impact | this is a huge issue and needs to be addressed not made worse". | |
| Disadvantaged Areas | Pregnant women or those accessing Maternity Services Those from Disadvantaged | 1 | No impact | |
| | areas, please list a | 1 | No impact | Less demand for services from independent provide if people have to fund their own support- risk of increase in complex needs Comments from Talking |
| Other Considerations Impact on Partners Service Delivery Public Perception/Reputation | Impact on Partners Service Delivery | 1 | Medium negative impact | Together events are explained in more detail in the associated Disability Equality Impact Assessments. Relations with the 3rd sector need to be protected s that services can be protected / mitigated by 3rd secinvolvement. |
| | Public Perception/Reputation | 1 | High negative impact | Will be seen as affecting the most vulnerable adversley. The development of reablement will offer individuals an intensive package of support and rehabilitation, which will promote independence and reduce the reliance on long term care. Work has be undertaken to identify those individuals who are potentially affected by this proposal and who also move impacted by other proposals. These individual's all have a review of their needs and consideration who given to the risks to their independence of |
| | Other Unintended Consequence Please list: | | | implementating the proposals. The development of reablement will offer individuals |
| People will present with more complex needs/ in crisis Universal services may not have the capacity to deal with more complex needs | 1 | High negative impact | an intensive package of support and rehabilitation, which will promote independence and reduce the reliance on long term care. In addition the improvements in access to univeral services and information will not only promote self care and independence, but will also ensure that people have good quality information regarding the supports that are available when they are required | |
| | 1 | Medium negative impact | , . | |
| | С | 1 | No impact | |
| Technological | Will the change be technologically difficult to implement? | 1 | No technological difficulties | |
| Legal | Does the change have a legal impact? | 1 | Some legal impact | Will need to ensure decision making is robust to av- legal challenge Comments from Talking Together events are explained in more detail in the associate Disability Equality Impact Assessments. "Fairer contribution policy for severely disabled; needs an impact assessment carried out": AS12. |
| | Will the change have a negative impact | 1 | Some impact on Health and Safety | |