Appendix 1

Reasons for below target performance

Service area	Indicator	Target	Actual			
Children &	LI 1506 – Looked after children absent from school	6.5	7.4			
Families	Reasons – An increase in the number of young people placed					
rainines	geographically out of authority has impacted on this indicator. Plans					
	for closer monitoring are being implemented. Figure of 7.4% relates to					
	6 out of 81 young people.					
Children &	LI 1509 – Stability of placements of looked after	68.0	57.9			
Families	children - length of placement					
	Reasons – Performance is subject to some impact from the general					
	shortage of foster carers.					
Children &	LI 1510 – Adoptions of looked after children	10.0	2.3			
Families	Reasons – This figure is collected annually and current plans for					
	obtaining Adoption and Special Guardianship Orders are on target					
	an outcome in the highest banding.					
Children &	NI 059 – Percentage of initial assessments of	73.0	56.6			
Families -	children's social care carried out in less than 7 days	, 5.0	20.0			
	Reasons – Capacity issues due to increase in workloads.					
Cl 11 1 0	NI 062 – Percentage of looked after children with 3	12.0	20.2			
Children & Families	or more placements during the year					
rannnes	Reasons – This outcome is linked to the number of referrals and					
	admissions of looked after children and the current sh	ortage of	internal			
	fostering provision. Recruitment of foster carers continues to be a					
	priority for the Family Placement Service. ACTION: A Multi Agency					
	Resources Panel commenced in October 2010 to look at all requests					
	for children to become looked after.					
Children &	NI 063 – Stability of placements of looked after	80	75			
Families	children - length of placement					
	Reasons – Performance is still in the top banding, but subject to some					
	impact from the general shortage of foster carers.	0.0				
Children &	NI 064 – Child protection plans lasting two years or	0.0	2.3			
Families	more					
	Reasons – Large variance due to low number of children in cohort -					
	below target by 1 child.	7.0	140			
Children &	NI 065 – Children becoming the subject of a child	7.0	14.9			
Families	protection plan for a second or subsequent time	aral mam	hora			
	Reasons – Large variance due to one family with several members receiving a second Child Protection Plan.					
Children &	NI 104 – The gap between special educational need	55	62			
Families	pupils and their peers at Key Stage 2 English and	33	02			
rannines	maths threshold.					
	Reasons – 14 (out of 28) schools did not sit KS2 SATS in summer					
	2010 and this has had an impact on the figure reported for this					
	indicator.					
Early	LI 1250 – Percentage of half day sessions missed	4.9	5.5			

Intervention &	due to total absence in primary schools				
Prevention &		ıd spring	terms of		
1 revention	Reasons – Figure reported only covers the autumn and spring terms of the 2009/10 academic year because summer term data is not reported				
	until the October census. Increase in absence, from the same period in				
	2008/09, due to Traveller absence.	ie same p	ciiod iii		
Early	LI 1255 – Percentage of adult learners from	36.0	28.5		
Intervention &	targeted wards	30.0	20.3		
Prevention	Reasons – Reduced number of adult learning classes has affected this				
	target (reduced funding from LSC).				
Early	LI 1294 – Percentage of schools achieving the	100.0	86.8		
Intervention &	National Healthy Schools Status				
Prevention	Reasons – Failure to achieve standards necessary to gain status due to				
	a lack of timetabled PSHE. ACTION: Support in place to work				
	intensively with remaining schools to help them achie				
Early	NI 087 – Secondary school persistent absence rate	4.4	4.6		
Intervention &	Reasons – Figure reported only covers the autumn and spring terms of				
Prevention	the 2009/10 academic year because summer term data is not reported				
	until the October census. For the same period in 2008/09, Darlington's				
	persistent absentee figure was 5.4%.				
Early	NI117 - Percentage of 16-18 year olds who are not	5.7	7.7		
Intervention &	in education, employment or training (NEET)				
Prevention	Reasons – September figure is above target because numbers peak				
	between June and September. From September the figure will reduce.				
	The target is based on the average figure for the three months				
	November 2010 to January 2011 inclusive.	T	T		
Planning &	LI 1768- Percentage satisfied with the handling of	67.5	4.0		
Resources	their complaints				
		Reasons – Only one form returned; this does not mean all rest			
	dissatisfied. ACTION: Reconsider our approach to undertaking				
	satisfaction surveys.	100.0	0.6.1		
School	LI 1000 – Percentage of permanently excluded	100.0	86.1		
Improvement	pupils provided with 21 or more hours of				
& Development	alternative tuition				
	Reasons – Core group of persistent non attendees ha				
	tuition in order to reengage in education. ACTION:	Pupiis Wi	II nave		
Calcad	their timetable increased when re engaged.	61.4	52.0		
School	NI 1303 – Percentage of childminders judged as good or better by Ofsted	61.4	52.0		
Improvement & Development	Reasons - Quarter 2 figure from Darlington's latest (l 10th Ang	not 2010)		
& Development	Ofsted Performance Profile. ACTION: Childminders				
	are satisfactory and actions agreed with Childcare Development Officers for targeted intervention. The workforce development team is				
	undertaking a review and analysis of Childminder Ofsted inspections				
	with a view to developing an action plan to address any issue				
	identified.	11y 155uc			
	100111100.				

School	NI 075 – Achievement of 5 or more GCSE grade	59.2	54.5		
Improvement	A*-C or equivalent including English and				
& Development	mathematics				
	Reasons – An actual increase of 3% on previous year's performance				
	and is 1.5% higher than the National average in this benchmark. Two				
	schools had a drop in this area contributing to the LA missing the				
	target.				
School	NI 092 – Narrowing the gap between the lowest	34.3	35.9		
Improvement	performing 20% in the Early Years Foundation				
& Development	Stage (EYFS) Profile and the rest				
	Reasons – Gap has narrowed by 2.2% on previous ye	ear's			
	performance. Many of the interventions have been targeted towards				
	younger EYFS Children and will continue to have an impact in future				
	years. ACTION: Flying Start 2 nursery and reception data to provide				
	the evidence base for targeted intervention in individual				
	schools/settings. The targeted Every Child a Talker (
	programme is impacting on the CLLD score of the lowest 20%.				
School	NI 102i – Achievement gap between pupils eligible	20.5	25.2		
Improvement	for free school meals and their peers				
& Development	Reasons – 14 (out of 28) schools did not sit KS2 SATS in summer				
	2010 and this has had an impact on the figure reported for this				
	indicator.	T	T		
School	NI 107 – Key stage 2 attainment for black and	20.0	35.8		
Improvement	minority ethnic groups				
& Development	Reasons – Large variance due to low number of Traveller pupils;				
	below target by two pupils. NI calculated based on the				
	released for NI 107 in February 2009. Gypsy, Roma				
	Irish Heritage ethnic group is the only ethnic group Darlington has at				
	KS2 that meets the requirements for NI 107 reporting, i.e. cohort size				
	and previous KS2 performances of ethnic group.	460			
School	NI 108 – Key stage 4 attainment for black and	46.0	54.4		
Improvement	minority ethnic groups	11 ''	1		
& Development	Reasons – Large variance due to low number of trave		•		
	four pupils in cohort. NI calculated based on the definition released for				
	NI 108 in February 2009. The Gypsy, Roma and Traveller of Irish				
	Heritage ethnic group is the only ethnic group Darlington has at KS4				
	that meets the requirements for NI 108 reporting, i.e. cohort size and				
	previous KS4 performances of ethnic group.				