

**PROCUREMENT BOARD DECISIONS TO WAIVE CONTRACT PROCEDURE RULES
PERIOD 07.03.16- 12.09.16, SPEND OVER £100K**

Description, Reason for Request and Directorate	Procurement Board decision and reasons	Date of Request	Estimated total value of the Contract
P2016/796/PE Residential care placement Childrens & Adults Services	<p>1. The reason why the usual procurement process cannot be followed; 2. How it is evidenced that the contract represents value for money; and 3. That the direct award (if applicable) is not a breach of EU procurement rules</p> <p>1. The reason why the usual procurement process cannot be followed; Residential care placement. The individual has specialist health and social care needs which cannot be met in the care homes that Darlington contracts with. The relevant homes were considered by social care and health professionals and it was agreed that this individual needs a placement with a specified Care Home. 2. How it is evidenced that the contract represents value for money The placement will be funded 50/50 with the NHS. The total cost compares well with tendered rates. 3. That the direct award (if applicable) is not a breach of EU procurement rules Confirmed</p>	May 2016	£269,536 (four years)
P2016/890/PE Beaumont Hill. SEN support services. Childrens & Adults Services	<p>1. The reason why the usual procurement process cannot be followed Beaumont Hill. SEN support services. The school delivers the services on behalf of other schools as a historic service. The service has been in place and exempt from 2013 from tender until changes in the EU procurement regulations Apr 2015. The contract was stabilised for contract in 2015/16 and we wish this to be continued in 2016/17 to establish continuity for the provider</p>	July 2016	£442,000

Description, Reason for Request and Directorate	Procurement Board decision and reasons	Date of Request	Estimated total value of the Contract
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - 1. The reason why the usual procurement process cannot be followed; and - 2. How it is evidenced that the contract represents <i>value for money</i>; and - 3. That the direct award (if applicable) is not a breach of EU procurement rules 		
P2016/909/EG Riverside Park works project. Economic Growth	<p>along with monitoring and a baseline to consider value for money. A procurement exercise will be conducted thereafter. Procuring at this stage would destabilise the service and impact on the recipients.</p> <p>2. How it is evidenced that the contract represents <i>value for money</i> The two year term is to stabilise and monitor the service to enable competitive tendering.</p> <p>3. That the direct award (if applicable) is not a breach of EU procurement rules Confirmed. The relevant OJEU threshold is £589,148.</p> <p>1. The reason why the usual procurement process cannot be followed Request to waive contract procedure rules for the Riverside Park works project. This will allow key timescales to be met - which would otherwise jeopardise the project.</p> <p>2. How it is evidenced that the contract represents <i>value for money</i> The contract price and bills have been independently assessed by a Quantity Surveyor.</p> <p>3. That the direct award (if applicable) is not a breach of EU procurement rules Confirmed – the OJEU threshold is £4.1m</p>	July 2016	£454,500

Description, Reason for Request and Directorate	Procurement Board decision and reasons	Date of Request	Estimated total value of the Contract
P2016/925/PE Residential placement Childrens & Adults Services	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - 1. The reason why the usual procurement process cannot be followed; and - 2. How it is evidenced that the contract represents <i>value for money</i>; and - 3. That the direct award (if applicable) is not a breach of EU procurement rules <p>1. The reason why the usual procurement process cannot be followed; Residential placement with a non-contracted supplier as LA had given notice to Child's existing residential home due to concerns from Ofsted and an "Inadequate" rating. The new placement was to meet an urgent social care need.</p> <p>2. How it is evidenced that the contract represents <i>value for money</i>; and The provision compares well with contracted rates.</p> <p>3. That the direct award (if applicable) is not a breach of EU procurement rules Confirmed the relevant OJEU threshold is £589,148.</p>	July 2016	£485,000 (four years)
P2016/935/NE Neighbourhood & Resources Replacement programme 1 x Refuse Collection Vehicle.	<p>1. The reason why the usual procurement process cannot be followed; Direct Award- Replacement programme 1 x Refuse Collection Vehicle. Specific requirements meant only one vehicle available.</p> <p>2. How it is evidenced that the contract represents <i>value for money</i>; and Prices benchmarked with market rates and compared well.</p> <p>3. That the direct award (if applicable) is not a breach of EU procurement rules Confirmed the OJEU threshold is £164,176.</p>	July 2016	£120,000

Description, Reason for Request and Directorate	Procurement Board decision and reasons	Date of Request	Estimated total value of the Contract
P2016/688/NE Interim Director of Children's Services 21-09 2015 to 31-07-2016	<p>1. The reason why the usual procurement process cannot be followed; Competitive quote process was followed at the commencement of the contracted post which was the correct procurement process in accordance with the Contract Procedure Rules for the anticipated value of the contract for the period September 2015 - April 2016. The post needed to continue for the period April 2016 – August 2016 to maintain resilience and due to additional pressures on the service to maintain capacity pending the conclusion of the recruitment process for the permanent AD posts in Children's and Adults Services.</p> <p>2. How it is evidenced that the contract represents <i>value for money</i>; and Daily rate was compared other quoted rates during the original quotation process and was competitive. The expenditure on this contract avoided the salary costs of the post during the period.</p> <p>3. That the direct award (if applicable) is not a breach of EU procurement rules Confirmed.</p>	September 2015 February 2016 April 2016	£160,524