

**PROCUREMENT BOARD DECISIONS TO WAIVE CONTRACT PROCEDURE RULES
PERIOD 10.09.15 – 07.03.16, SPEND OVER £100K**

Description and Reason for Request	Procurement Board decision and reasons	Date of Request	Estimated annual value of the Contract
<p>P2015/542/PE</p> <p>Direct award of a contract for - Residential placement for a child.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - 1. The reason why the usual procurement process cannot be followed; and - 2. How it is evidenced that the contract represents <i>value for money</i>; and - 3. That the direct award (if applicable) is not a breach of EU procurement rules <p>1. Bids were sought on the Councils tendering portal but no bids were received.</p> <p>2. As no other bids were received it is not possible to compare competing bids, however the price obtained is in line with expectations for the service type. Additionally the social worker responsible is arranging a meeting with Health to discuss joint funding (s 117).</p> <p>3. Confirmed</p> <p>The direct award achieves value for money.</p>	10 September 2015	c. £210,000
<p>P2015/547/PE</p> <p>Direct award of a contract for -</p>	<p>1. Only one provider locally could meet the needs of the individual.</p> <p>2. The price is in line with expectations for the service type. It</p>	15 September 2015	c. £35,000

Description and Reason for Request	Procurement Board decision and reasons	Date of Request	Estimated annual value of the Contract
Residential placement vulnerable adult with high needs. Specialist placement. No other provider in Darlington able to meet the needs of this individual.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - 1. The reason why the usual procurement process cannot be followed; and - 2. How it is evidenced that the contract represents <i>value for money</i>; and - 3. That the direct award (if applicable) is not a breach of EU procurement rules <p>is noted that Heath are formally contributing 50% to the costs as the individual has health needs and the individuals progress will be kept under review.</p> <p>3. Confirmed</p> <p>This direct award achieves value for money.</p>		
P2015/572/NE 12 month extension by direct award to Seymour Civil Engineering for Groundworks.	<p>1. Due to value this is a full OJEU tender the existing procured contract has been extended within the allowed thresholds and a procurement timeline agreed.</p> <p>2. The prices are fixed on the basis of the previous tendered contract thus price has been tested.</p> <p>3. Confirmed</p> <p>The direct award achieves best value.</p>	19 October 2015	£800,000
P2015/573/NE 12 month extension by direct award to Mitie	<p>1. Due to value this is a full OJEU tender; the existing procured contract has been extended within the allowed thresholds and a procurement timeline agreed.</p>	19 October 2015	£425,000.00

Description and Reason for Request	Procurement Board decision and reasons	Date of Request	Estimated annual value of the Contract
Property Services for the External and Internal painting of Council properties.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - 1. The reason why the usual procurement process cannot be followed; and - 2. How it is evidenced that the contract represents <i>value for money</i>; and - 3. That the direct award (if applicable) is not a breach of EU procurement rules <p>2. The prices are fixed on the basis of the previous tendered contract thus price has been tested.</p> <p>3. Confirmed</p> <p>The direct award achieves best value</p>		
P2015/591/PE Placement at Residential home for child.	<p>1. Bids were sought on the Councils tendering portal but no suitable bid was received. One bid was received but was at very significant geographical distance and not suitable for the child's needs.</p> <p>2. The price is in line with expectations for the service type and the provider has delivered good outcomes previously.</p> <p>3. the formal process was followed and the work advertised as an OJEU tender so this is within EU procurement rules.</p>	16 November 2015	£3,200 per week(£166,400 pa)

Description and Reason for Request	Procurement Board decision and reasons	Date of Request	Estimated annual value of the Contract
<p>P2015/ 633/PE</p> <p>Initially 1:1 care in residential placement. After 28 days the level of support will be reviewed and if appropriate reduced to 1:2 support..</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - 1. The reason why the usual procurement process cannot be followed; and - 2. How it is evidenced that the contract represents <i>value for money</i>; and - 3. That the direct award (if applicable) is not a breach of EU procurement rules <p>1. Bids were sought on the Councils tendering portal but not received.</p> <p>2. No other bids were received so it is not possible to compare competing bids, however the price is in line with expectations for the service type.</p> <p>3. Confirmed.</p>	<p>10 December 2015</p>	<p>£166,400 per annum.(£3,200 pw)</p>
<p>2015/ 634/EG</p> <p>Counsel for Scotch Corner Public Local Inquiry (PLI).</p>	<p>The Council submitted a formal objection to an application for a major Designer Outlet Centre at Scotch Corner, and when this had been approved at Richmondshire Planning Committee the Council submitted a letter to the Secretary of State requesting that the application be called in. Specialist Counsel is needed to advise, help with preparation, draft documents and attend the PLI.</p> <p>1. This is a complex and specialist area and support is required from a specific barrister.</p> <p>2. Quotations and CV's were obtained from specialist Counsel with experience in this area. The hourly rate is in keeping with professional services of this type.</p>	<p>10th December 2015</p>	<p>£ 100,000.00</p>

Description and Reason for Request	Procurement Board decision and reasons	Date of Request	Estimated annual value of the Contract
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - 1. The reason why the usual procurement process cannot be followed; and - 2. How it is evidenced that the contract represents <i>value for money</i>; and - 3. That the direct award (if applicable) is not a breach of EU procurement rules 		
	3. Confirmed		