APPENDIX 2

| А | SSESSMENT | MATRIX FOR ST | RATEGIC PROCL | IREMENT | | |
|---------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|---|--|--|
| VALUE | | SIGNIFICANCE | | | | |
| Cost | | Impact on Residents (1) | Risk - Financial, Health & Safety, Public (2) | Innovative in design, New form of contract, Sustainability (3) | External Monitoring e.g. from funding body (4) | |
| => £5,000,000 | Automatically considered strategic | | | | | |
| Between £4,000,000 & £4,999,999 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | |
| Between £3,000,000 & £3,999,999 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | |
| Between £2,000,000 & £2,999,999 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | |
| Between £1,000,000 & £1,999,999 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | |
| Less than £1,000,000 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | |
| Score | 3 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 4 | |
| Total Score | 16 | This procurement is | | Stra | Strategic | |

Each procurement must be reviewed against the matrix above. Any contract with an overall value in excess of £5,000,000 will be considered strategic. For any procurement where the value of the contract falls below £5,000,000 the goods or services to be purchased must be assessed on the value and the significance in relation to the other 4 columns and marked accordingly, where 5 is considered to be high significance and 1 low significance. Once a score has been decided for each column it is put in the relevant cell (c17 - K17) the spreadsheet automatically collates the score and determines if the procurement is strategic or non-strategic. Anything that scores 15 or more considered to be strategic.

If however a procurement comes out as non-strategic but officers feel they would still want political support for the decision they can choose to take a report to Cabinet.

- Note 1: What is the impact on residents? Is it restricted to one street, or estate or is it much wider than that? Does it affect 2 or more wards?
- Note 2: Is there significant financial risk to the authority? Is there a H&S risk e.g. care for vulnerable people? Is there a risk to the public? Reputational risk?
- Note 3: Is the authority familiar with the form of contract being entered into? Is the contract innovative in its delivery? Are we changing the service?
- Note 4: Are there any specific requirements from external funders that represent a risk to the authority e.g. Pathfinder projects for DFES?