VALUE		SIGNIFICANCE			
Cost		Impact on Residents (1)	Risk - Financial, Health & Safety, Public (2)	Innovative in design, New form of contract, Sustainability (3)	Exteral Monitoring e.g. from funding body (4)
=> £5,000,000	Automatically considered strategic				
Between £4,000,000 & £4,999,999	5	5	5	5	5
Between £3,000,000 & £3,999,999	4	4	4	4	4
Between £2,000,000 & £2,999,999	3	3	3	3	3
Between £1,000,000 & £1,999,999	2	2	2	2	2
Less than £1,000,000	1	1	1	1	1
Score	0	0	0	0	0
Total Score	0		This procureme		Ion-Strategic

Each procurement must be reviewed against the matrix above. Any contract with an overall value in excess of £5,000,000 will be considered strategic. For any procurement where the value of the contract falls below £5,000,000 the goods or services to be purchased must be assessed on the value and the significance in relation to the other 4 columns and marked accordingly, where 5 is considered to be high significance and 1 low significance. Once a score has been decided for each column it is put in the relevant cell (c17 - K17) the spreadsheet automatically collates the score and determines if the procurement is strategic or non-strategic. Anything that scores more than 15 is considered to be strategic. If however a procurement comes out as npn-strategic but officers feel they would still want political support for the decision they can choose to take a report to Cabinet.

Note 1: What is the impact on residents? Is it restricted to one street, or estate or is it much wider than that? Does it affect 2 or more wards?

Note 2: Is there significant financial risk to the authority? Is there a H&S risk e.g. care for vulnerable people? Is there a risk to the public? Reputational risk?

Note 3: Is the authority familiar with the form of contract being entered into? Is the contract innovative in its delivery? Are we changing the service?

Note 4: Are there any specific requirements from external funders that represent a risk to the authority e.g. Pathfinder projects for DFES?