

**The Local Government Ombudsman's  
Annual Review**

**Darlington Borough Council**  
for the year ended  
**31 March 2009**

The Local Government Ombudsman (LGO) provides a free, independent and impartial service. We consider complaints about the administrative actions of councils and some other authorities. We cannot question what a council has done simply because someone does not agree with it. If we find something has gone wrong, such as poor service, service failure, delay or bad advice, and that a person has suffered as a result, the Ombudsmen aim to get it put right by recommending a suitable remedy. The LGO also uses the findings from investigation work to help authorities provide better public services through initiatives such as special reports, training and annual reviews.

# Contents of Annual Review

<b>Section 1: Complaints about Darlington Borough Council 2008/09</b> .....	<b>3</b>
Introduction.....	3
Enquiries and complaints received .....	3
Complaint outcomes .....	3
Liaison with the Local Government Ombudsman .....	4
Training in complaint handling .....	4
Conclusions .....	5
<b>Section 2: LGO developments</b> .....	<b>6</b>
Introduction.....	6
Council First.....	6
Statement of reasons: consultation .....	6
Making Experiences Count (MEC).....	6
Training in complaint handling .....	6
Adult Social Care Self-funding .....	7
Internal schools management.....	7
Further developments.....	7
<b>Appendix 1: Notes to assist interpretation of the statistics 2008/09</b> .....	<b>8</b>
<b>Appendix 2: Local authority report 2008/09</b>	

# Section 1: Complaints about Darlington Borough Council 2008/09

## Introduction

This annual review provides a summary of the complaints we have dealt with about Darlington Borough Council.

I hope that the review will be a useful addition to other information your authority holds on how people experience or perceive your services.

Two appendices form an integral part of this review: statistical data for 2008/09 and a note to help the interpretation of the statistics.

## Changes to our way of working and statistics

A change in the way we operate means that the statistics about complaints received in 2008/09 are not directly comparable with those from 2007/08. Since 1 April 2008 the new LGO Advice Team has been the single point of contact for all enquiries and new complaints. The number of telephone calls to our service has increased significantly since then to more than 3,000 a month. Our advisers now provide comprehensive information and advice to people who telephone, write or e-mail. It enables citizens to make informed decisions about whether to put their complaint to us.

This means that direct comparisons with some previous year-statistics are difficult and could be misleading. So this annual review focuses mainly on the 2008/09 statistics without drawing those comparisons.

## Enquiries and complaints received

The Commission received a total of 35 enquiries about and complaints against the Council during the year. Our Advice Team gave advice on four occasions and determined that 12 complaints were premature and ought, more appropriately, to be addressed to the Council in the first instance. Nineteen complaints were forwarded to me for investigation. Of these, seven were complaints re-submitted by complainants unhappy with the way in which the Council had considered their complaint while 12 were new complaints.

The complaints sent to me during the year were divided into the following subject areas.

Adult Care Services	1
Children and Family Services	1
Anti-social behaviour	3
Housing	1
Environmental Protection	3
Planning and Building Control	7
Transport and Highways	3

## Complaint outcomes

I determined 27 complaints against the Council in the last year a figure which differs from the number of complaints received because of work in hand at the beginning of the year. I found four

complaints to be outside of my jurisdiction and in five cases I exercised my general discretion not to pursue the matter. In six complaints I found no evidence of maladministration by the Council.

I will often discontinue enquiries into a complaint when a council takes or agrees to take action that I consider to be a satisfactory response – we call these local settlements. In 2008/09, 27.4% of all complaints the Ombudsmen decided and which were within our jurisdiction were local settlements. Of the complaints I decided against your authority 11 were settled locally. This amounts to almost 45.8% of the complaints submitted to me and which were within my jurisdiction. I draw no conclusions from this percentage figure but it is something the Council may wish to reflect upon. The figure was somewhat distorted by eight separate complaints about the same highways issue in response to which the Council accepted that failings on its part have left the complainants more vulnerable to traffic noise than might otherwise have been the case. In each of these cases the Council agreed to pay compensation of £500.00.

The Council agreed to settle a complaint about a consumer affairs matter accepting that it had given the citizen in question a poor service. The Council provided me with a very detailed response to this complaint and was commendably open about where the fault lay. The Council agreed to pay compensation in this case of £200.00 and to offer a public apology to the complainant.

Another complaint settled by the Council during the year concerned anti-social behaviour but this was easily settled when the Council agreed simply to provide a written response and update to the complainant.

The final complaint settled by the Council during the year concerned a parking matter and I commend the Council for what was a good and imaginative settlement in offering to re-hear the appeal by the complainant and to make a time and trouble payment to him which equated with the original parking penalty charge.

## **Reports**

When we complete an investigation, we generally issue a report. This year I issued one report against the Council. This was about a planning matter and concerned a complaint that the Council had failed properly to deal with an application to carry out demolition work and development on land adjacent to the home of the complainants. The Council agreed to make a payment of £500.00 to the complainants in recognition of the complainants' time and trouble in pursuing their complaint. I noted that the Council had restructured and strengthened its planning function and reviewed its practices. In my report I urged the Council to ensure that all of its relevant staff are properly trained in the law and the policies of the Council as they relate to listed buildings.

## **Liaison with the Local Government Ombudsman**

I ask all councils to respond to my enquiries within 28 calendar days and I am pleased to note that the Council, this year, responded on average to my enquiries in 22.9 days. This is a marked improvement over the previous year when responses reached me within 27.9 days. I am grateful to the clear effort made by the Council to send me its responses so promptly.

## **Training in complaint handling**

I would like to take this opportunity to remind the Council that part of our role is to provide advice and guidance about good administrative practice. We continue to offer training courses for all levels of local authority staff in complaints handling and investigation. All courses are presented by experienced investigators. They give participants the opportunity to practise the skills needed to deal with complaints positively and efficiently. We can also provide customised courses to help

authorities to deal with particular issues and occasional open courses for individuals from different authorities.

We have extended the range of courses we provide and I have enclosed some information on the full range of courses available together with contact details for enquiries and bookings.

## **Conclusions**

I welcome this opportunity to give you my reflections about the complaints my office has dealt with over the past year. I hope that you find the information and assessment provided useful when seeking improvements to your Council's services.

**Mrs A Seex  
Local Government Ombudsman  
Beverley House  
17 Shipton Road  
YORK  
YO30 5FZ**

**June 2009**

## Section 2: LGO developments

### Introduction

This annual review also provides an opportunity to bring councils up to date on developments – current and proposed – in the LGO and to seek feedback. It includes our proposal to introduce a ‘statement of reasons’ for Ombudsmen decisions.

### Council First

From 1 April 2009, the LGO has considered complaints only where the council’s own complaints procedure has been completed. Local authorities have been informed of these new arrangements, including some notable exceptions. We will carefully monitor the impact of this change during the course of the year.

### Statement of reasons: consultation

The Local Government and Public Involvement in Health Act 2007 made provision for the LGO to publish statements of reasons relating to the individual decisions of an Ombudsman following the investigation of a complaint. The Ombudsmen are now consulting local government on their proposal to use statements of reasons. The proposal is that these will comprise a short summary (about one page of A4) of the complaint, the investigation, the findings and the recommended remedy. The statement, naming the council but not the complainant, would usually be published on our website.

We plan to consult local authorities on the detail of these statements with a view to implementing them from October 2009.

### Making Experiences Count (MEC)

The new formal, one stage complaint handling arrangement for adult social care was also introduced from 1 April 2009. The LGO is looking to ensure that this formal stage is observed by complainants before the Ombudsmen will consider any such complaint, although some may be treated as exceptions under the Council First approach. The LGO also recognises that during the transition from the existing scheme to the new scheme there is going to be a mixed approach to considering complaints as some may have originated before 1 April 2009. The LGO will endeavour to provide support, as necessary, through dedicated events for complaints-handling staff in adult social care departments.

### Training in complaint handling

Effective Complaint Handling in Adult Social Care is the latest addition to our range of training courses for local authority staff. This adds to the generic Good Complaint Handling (identifying and processing complaints) and Effective Complaint Handling (investigation and resolution), and courses for social care staff at both of these levels. Demand for our training in complaint handling remains high. A total of 129 courses were delivered in 2008/09. Feedback from participants shows that they find it stimulating, challenging and beneficial in their work in dealing with complaints.

### **Adult Social Care Self-funding**

The Health Bill 2009 proposes for the LGO to extend its jurisdiction to cover an independent complaints-handling role in respect of self-funded adult social care. The new service will commence in 2010.

### **Internal schools management**

The Apprenticeship, Skills, Children and Learning Bill (ASCL) 2009 proposes making the LGO the host for a new independent complaints-handling function for schools. In essence, we would consider the complaint after the governing body of the school had considered it. Subject to legislation, the new service would be introduced, in pilot form, probably in September 2010.

### **Further developments**

I hope this information gives you an insight into the major changes happening within the LGO, many of which will have a direct impact on your local authority. We will keep you up to date through LGO Link as each development progresses but if there is anything you wish to discuss in the meantime please let me know.

**Mrs A Seex  
Local Government Ombudsman  
Beverley House  
17 Shipton Road  
YORK  
YO30 5FZ**

**June 2009**

# Appendix 1: Notes to assist interpretation of the statistics 2008/09

## Introduction

This year, the annual review only shows 2008/09 figures for enquiries and complaints received, and for decisions taken. This is because the change in the way we operate (explained in the introduction to the review) means that these statistics are not directly comparable with statistics from previous years.

### Table 1. LGO Advice Team: Enquiries and complaints received

This information shows the number of enquiries and complaints received by the LGO, broken down by service area and in total. It also shows how these were dealt with, as follows.

**Formal/informal prematures:** The LGO does not normally consider a complaint unless a council has first had an opportunity to deal with that complaint itself. So if someone complains to the LGO without having taken the matter up with a council, the LGO will usually refer it back to the council as a 'premature complaint' to see if the council can itself resolve the matter. These are 'formal premature complaints'. We now also include 'informal' premature complaints here, where advice is given to the complainant making an enquiry that their complaint is premature. The total of premature complaints shown in this line *does not include* the number of resubmitted premature complaints (see below).

**Advice given:** These are enquiries where the LGO Advice Team has given advice on why the Ombudsman would not be able to consider the complaint, other than the complaint being premature. For example, the complaint may clearly be outside the Ombudsman's jurisdiction. It also includes cases where the complainant has not given enough information for clear advice to be given, but they have, in any case, decided not to pursue the complaint.

**Forwarded to the investigative team (resubmitted prematures):** These are cases where there was either a formal premature decision, or the complainant was given informal advice that their case was premature, and the complainant has resubmitted their complaint to the Ombudsman after it has been put to the council. *These figures need to be added to the numbers for formal/informal premature complaints (see above) to get the full total number of premature complaints. They also needed to be added to the 'forwarded to the investigative team (new)' to get the total number of forwarded complaints.*

**Forwarded to the investigative team (new):** These are the complaints that have been forwarded from the LGO Advice Team to the Investigative Team for further consideration. The figures may include some complaints that the Investigative Team has received but where we have not yet contacted the council.

## Table 2. Investigative Team: Decisions

This information records the number of decisions made by the LGO Investigative Team, broken down by outcome, within the period given. **This number will not be the same as the number of complaints forwarded from the LGO Advice Team** because some complaints decided in 2008/09 will already have been in hand at the beginning of the year, and some forwarded to the Investigative Team during 2008/09 will still be in hand at the end of the year. Below we set out a key explaining the outcome categories.

**MI reps:** where the LGO has concluded an investigation and issued a formal report finding maladministration causing injustice.

**LS (local settlements):** decisions by letter discontinuing our investigation because action has been agreed by the authority and accepted by the Ombudsman as a satisfactory outcome for the complainant.

**M reps:** where the LGO has concluded an investigation and issued a formal report finding maladministration but causing no injustice to the complainant.

**NM reps:** where the LGO has concluded an investigation and issued a formal report finding no maladministration by the council.

**No mal:** decisions by letter discontinuing an investigation because we have found no, or insufficient, evidence of maladministration.

**Omb disc:** decisions by letter discontinuing an investigation in which we have exercised the Ombudsman's general discretion not to pursue the complaint. This can be for a variety of reasons, but the most common is that we have found no or insufficient injustice to warrant pursuing the matter further.

**Outside jurisdiction:** these are cases which were outside the Ombudsman's jurisdiction.

## Table 3. Response times

These figures record the average time the council takes to respond to our first enquiries on a complaint. We measure this in calendar days from the date we send our letter/fax/email to the date that we receive a substantive response from the council. The council's figures may differ somewhat, since they are likely to be recorded from the date the council receives our letter until the despatch of its response.

## Table 4. Average local authority response times 2008/09

This table gives comparative figures for average response times by authorities in England, by type of authority, within three time bands.