

**PROCUREMENT BOARD DECISIONS TO WAIVE CONTRACT PROCEDURE RULES
PERIOD 07.03.16- 12.09.16, SPEND OVER £100K**

	Procurement Board decision and reasons <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - 1. The reason why the usual procurement process cannot be followed; and - 2. How it is evidenced that the contract represents <i>value for money</i>; and - 3. That the direct award (if applicable) is not a breach of EU procurement rules 	Date of Request	Estimated total value of the Contract
<p>1. P2017/1072/PE</p> <p>Residential placement for adult with a learning disability. Allocated social worker has approached numerous care homes in the area to find an appropriate placement and only one is able to meet specific identified needs.</p>	<p>1. The reason why the usual procurement process cannot be followed; This is the only identified setting which can meet specific needs.</p> <p>2. How it is evidenced that the contract represents <i>value for money</i> Comparative prices supplied of procured equivalent services evidence value for money.</p> <p>3. That the direct award (if applicable) is not a breach of EU procurement rules Confirmed</p>	<p>11 Jan 2017</p>	<p>£125,000.00 (four years)</p>
<p>2. P2017/1073/PE</p> <p>X has a learning disability and until recently lived in a shared tenancy with domiciliary support. Due to an increase in needs 24/7 support is now required. The move into a residential care home will ensure needs are met cost effectively.</p>	<p>1. The reason why the usual procurement process cannot be followed Direct award to enable the individual to be close to family at equivalent cost to local provision.</p> <p>2. How it is evidenced that the contract represents <i>value for money</i> The cost equates with local contracted placements.</p> <p>3. That the direct award (if applicable) is not a breach of EU procurement rules Confirmed</p>	<p>16 Jan 2017</p>	<p>£110,000 (four years)</p>

	Procurement Board decision and reasons <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - 1. The reason why the usual procurement process cannot be followed; and - 2. How it is evidenced that the contract represents <i>value for money</i>; and - 3. That the direct award (if applicable) is not a breach of EU procurement rules 	Date of Request	Estimated total value of the Contract
<p>3. P2017/1093/PE</p> <p>Domiciliary Care to be provided to 10 tenants who live in a tenancy housing scheme Darlington. The scheme is operated on an extra care model and the staff employed by Broadacres Housing Association to provide care 24 hours per day. Support is delivered in accordance with the assessed needs of each individual.</p>	<p>1. The reason why the usual procurement process cannot be followed</p> <p>10 tenants are already in the setting and a change in service provider would require them to relocate which would be detrimental.</p> <p>2. How it is evidenced that the contract represents <i>value for money</i></p> <p>Comparative prices have been supplied in settings providing an equivalent service which demonstrate that the provision in this case is 20% less than the procured and tested price of equivalent provision. Accordingly there would a cost pressure to move these clients.</p> <p>3. That the direct award (if applicable) is not a breach of EU procurement rules</p> <p>Confirmed</p>	<p>July 2016</p>	<p>£511,969.89 (3 years)</p>
<p>4. P2017/1100/PE</p> <p>Award to ALD Group Ltd, Ryton for a bespoke package of education for child/ young person. This alternative provision has been developed to enable young person to be educated in Darlington, without having to source a residential placement out of Borough at a potentially higher cost.</p>	<p>1. The reason why the usual procurement process cannot be followed;</p> <p>Retrospective application to procurement board (bespoke arrangement) placement made Dec 2016. There are limited local options for this service and the provider company did not exist prior to the contract award to enable it to participate in a competitive process.</p> <p>2. How it is evidenced that the contract represents <i>value for money</i>; and</p> <p>Comparative prices have been supplied for provision in</p>	<p>Feb 2017</p>	<p>£160,000 (one year)</p>

	Procurement Board decision and reasons <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - 1. The reason why the usual procurement process cannot be followed; and - 2. How it is evidenced that the contract represents <i>value for money</i>; and - 3. That the direct award (if applicable) is not a breach of EU procurement rules 	Date of Request	Estimated total value of the Contract
	Ofsted accredited settings against which this placement represents a saving. 3. That the direct award (if applicable) is not a breach of EU procurement rules Confirmed		
5. P2017/1099/PE Award to ALD Group Ltd for a bespoke package of education for child/ young person. This alternative provision has been developed to enable the child to be educated in Darlington, without having to source a residential placement out of Borough at a potentially higher cost.	1. The reason why the usual procurement process cannot be followed; Retrospective application to procurement board Bespoke arrangement for placement made Dec 2016. There are limited local options for this service and the provider company did not exist prior to the contract award to participate in a competitive process. 2. How it is evidenced that the contract represents <i>value for money</i>; and Saving of £20,000 annually is proposed against equivalent provision in a number of Ofsted accredited providers. 3. That the direct award (if applicable) is not a breach of EU procurement rules Confirmed.	Feb 2017	£60,000 (one year)

	Procurement Board decision and reasons <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - 1. The reason why the usual procurement process cannot be followed; and - 2. How it is evidenced that the contract represents <i>value for money</i>; and - 3. That the direct award (if applicable) is not a breach of EU procurement rules 	Date of Request	Estimated total value of the Contract
<p>6. P2016/912/PE Procurement board approval was given via code for a direct award for the provision of Healthwatch Service to Healthwatch Darlington.</p>	<p>1. The reason why the usual procurement process cannot be followed; The re procurement of this service would incur additional set up cost and it is necessary to stabilise the service on the reduced budget required by MTFP.</p> <p>2. How it is evidenced that the contract represents <i>value for money</i>; and The contract value reduction required is a significant reduction to the previous contract sum with similar outputs. The contract provides for continuous monitoring and transparency on costs to ensure that value continues to be provided through a managed process.</p> <p>3. That the direct award (if applicable) is not a breach of EU procurement rules Confirmed.</p>	<p>March 2017</p>	<p>£297,000 (2 years + 1+1)</p>
<p>7. P2017/1106/EG Direct Award Civil Contingences services to be provided by DCC. This will be added to April's procurement plan.</p>	<p>1. The reason why the usual procurement process cannot be followed; This service needs to be supplied by a local authority and DCC is aligned to DBC under local police arrangements and is delivered as a shared service arrangement and so outside of EU procurement rules – value for money is clarified below in addition.</p> <p>2. How it is evidenced that the contract represents <i>value for money</i>; and The contract value is simply a pass through of the actual cost of the service proportionate to DBC population size and therefore is on a cost only basis.</p> <p>3. That the direct award (if applicable) is not a breach of EU procurement rules Confirmed. This is a shared service arrangement.</p>	<p>March 2017</p>	<p>£285,368.00 (4 years)</p>