

**PROCUREMENT BOARD DECISIONS TO WAIVE CONTRACT PROCEDURE RULES
PERIOD 07.03.16- 12.09.16, SPEND OVER £100K**

	Procurement Board decision and reasons <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - 1. The reason why the usual procurement process cannot be followed; and - 2. How it is evidenced that the contract represents <i>value for money</i>; and - 3. That the direct award (if applicable) is not a breach of EU procurement rules 	Date of Request	Estimated total value of the Contract
<p>P2017/1142/NE</p> <p>Direct Award To provide internal and external painting to council buildings and dwellings and small joinery repairs. The existing contractor is providing works at tendered 2012 rates which have been benchmarked. The works will be tendered for 2018.</p>	<p>1. The reason why the usual procurement process cannot be followed; Work scheduled to be re- procured. Contract prices are at previously tendered rates supplied in an open process.</p> <p>2. How it is evidenced that the contract represents <i>value for money</i> Comparative prices supplied of equivalent services evidence value for money and the work is supplied at previously tendered rates</p> <p>3. That the direct award (if applicable) is not a breach of EU procurement rules Confirmed</p>	<p>04 April 2017</p>	<p>£325,000.00</p>
<p>P2017/1151/PE</p> <p>Direct Award Contract renewal and update to existing Capita Unit-E management information system. Used by Education Learning and Skills further and higher education. This is an add on to software used by Stockton. The contract price of £110,110 is the total over 5 years including installation and training and will be externally funded through income from the Education and Skills Funding Agency</p>	<p>1. The reason why the usual procurement process cannot be followed; This is the only identified provider which can meet specific needs- this relates to an existing system.</p> <p>2. How it is evidenced that the contract represents <i>value for money</i> Comparative prices supplied of procured equivalent services evidence value for money.</p> <p>3. That the direct award (if applicable) is not a breach of EU procurement rules Confirmed</p>	<p>12 April 2017</p>	<p>£110,110.00</p>

	Procurement Board decision and reasons	Date of Request	Estimated total value of the Contract
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - 1. The reason why the usual procurement process cannot be followed; and - 2. How it is evidenced that the contract represents <i>value for money</i>; and - 3. That the direct award (if applicable) is not a breach of EU procurement rules 		
<p>T2017/1155/RE</p> <p>Direct Award To purchase Provider Portal and Payments to organisations functionality in the Childrens services element of Controcc. Controcc is a financial management system used in Adults and Childrens Services.</p>	<p>1. The reason why the usual procurement process cannot be followed; This is the only identified provider which can supply the requirement.</p> <p>2. How it is evidenced that the contract represents <i>value for money</i> Comparative prices of equivalent services evidence value for money and supported by business case.</p> <p>3. That the direct award (if applicable) is not a breach of EU procurement rules Confirmed</p>	13 April 2017	£88100 + £10,800 annual maintenance
<p>P2017/1172/NE</p> <p>Direct Award Payment for Temporary Homeless Accommodation (Supported Bed & Breakfast) provided by 700 Club Darlington. This has been through a procurement process previously without success. This is essential in providing the statutory duties for the local authority. Housing Benefit is claimed where appropriate to offset this cost. Direct award approved for 1 year but added to workplan to tender for new contract 2018</p>	<p>1. The reason why the usual procurement process cannot be followed; This is the only identified setting which can meet specific needs. A procurement process has not secured interest.</p> <p>2. How it is evidenced that the contract represents <i>value for money</i> Extension at previous contract rates. A new procurement exercise is scheduled.</p> <p>3. That the direct award (if applicable) is not a breach of EU procurement rules Confirmed</p>	12 May 2017	£100,000.00
<p>P2017/1199/PE</p> <p>Direct Award Heathfield Resource Base - The LA has commissioned the Academy to provide a resource base at Heathfield Primary School. The school provides 16 places for children with cognition and learning support needs. The service provides educational support to children to ensure their access to the curriculum impacts on the achievement and attainment of pupils.</p>	<p>1. The reason why the usual procurement process cannot be followed; This is the only identified setting which can meet specific needs.</p> <p>2. How it is evidenced that the contract represents <i>value for money</i> The pricing structure has been reviewed and provides value for money at comparable hourly rates.</p> <p>3. That the direct award (if applicable) is not a</p>	8th June 2017	£160,000.00

	Procurement Board decision and reasons	Date of Request	Estimated total value of the Contract
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - 1. The reason why the usual procurement process cannot be followed; and - 2. How it is evidenced that the contract represents <i>value for money</i>; and - 3. That the direct award (if applicable) is not a breach of EU procurement rules 		
	<p>breach of EU procurement rules Confirmed</p>		
<p>P2017/1200/PE</p> <p>Direct Award Mount Pleasant Resource Base - The LA has commissioned the Academy to provide a resource base at Mount Pleasant Primary School. The school provides 20 places for children with ASD or social communication needs. The service provides educational support to children to ensure their access to the curriculum impacts on the achievement and attainment of pupils.</p>	<p>1. The reason why the usual procurement process cannot be followed; This is the only identified setting which can meet specific needs.</p> <p>2. How it is evidenced that the contract represents <i>value for money</i> The pricing structure has been reviewed and provides value for money at comparable hourly rates.</p> <p>3. That the direct award (if applicable) is not a breach of EU procurement rules Confirmed</p>	8th June 2017	£200,000.00
<p>P2017/1203/PE</p> <p>Low Incidence Needs Service - The Academy delivers a service on behalf of the LA for the benefit of all Darlington Schools. The service provides educational support to children and young people with visual, hearing and sensory impairment, and for children with Downs Syndrome, and physical/medical needs. The service works to support schools with children to ensure their access to the curriculum impacts on the achievement and attainment of pupils. The service is integrated into the network of services across the country. The service provides expertise, knowledge and support across Darlington schools and early years settings.</p>	<p>1. The reason why the usual procurement process cannot be followed; This is the only identified setting which can meet specific needs.</p> <p>2. How it is evidenced that the contract represents <i>value for money</i> Comparative prices supplied of procured equivalent services evidence value for money.</p> <p>3. That the direct award (if applicable) is not a breach of EU procurement rules Confirmed</p>	8th June 2017	£221,000.00

	Procurement Board decision and reasons <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - 1. The reason why the usual procurement process cannot be followed; and - 2. How it is evidenced that the contract represents <i>value for money</i>; and - 3. That the direct award (if applicable) is not a breach of EU procurement rules 	Date of Request	Estimated total value of the Contract
<p>P2017/1216/PE</p> <p>13.6.17</p> <p>Direct Award/ transfer of grant funding to CDDFT- as part of the Healthy New Towns Grant funded project- To procure the technical expertise to implement digital platform (element of HNT Programme) and build pathway content, plus procure the necessary associated equipment. The procurement of the digital platform itself has gained cabinet approval regarding its designation and has been added to the annual procurement plan. Also, by transferring the funding to CDDFT, the Trust can optimise value for money in buying associated medical equipment as part of the NHS Supply Chain (this national procurement chain for the NHS secures best price which the council could not achieve). This programme has been submitted to the Annual Plan and this is a variation to this.</p>	<p>1. The reason why the usual procurement process cannot be followed; This is the only identified provider which can meet specific needs – the funding is NHS funding and is being transferred to an NHS body to deliver this part of the funded project.</p> <p>2. How it is evidenced that the contract represents <i>value for money</i> The procurement is mainly for direct staff time (without having to invest in costly training) and equipment, purchased at NHS Supply chain rates, with overheads at 15%</p> <p>3. That the direct award (if applicable) is not a breach of EU procurement rules Confirmed</p>	<p>13.6.17</p>	<p>£250K</p>
<p>P2017/1237/PE</p> <p>Tender 2017615_9-56-41</p> <p>Direct Award/ transfer of grant funding to CDDFT to Darlington CCG to secure the New Models of Care expertise, clinical time to support model development and resource for health coaching as part of the roll out of the HNT proposal supported by NHSE. This programme has been submitted to the Annual Plan and this is a variation to this.</p>	<p>1. The reason why the usual procurement process cannot be followed; This is the only identified setting which can meet specific needs.</p> <p>2. How it is evidenced that the contract represents <i>value for money</i> The procurement is mainly for direct staff time (without having to invest in costly training) and equipment, purchased at NHS Supply chain rates, with overheads at 15%</p> <p>3. That the direct award (if applicable) is not a breach of EU procurement rules Confirmed</p>	<p>15.6.17</p>	<p>£170K</p>

	Procurement Board decision and reasons <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - 1. The reason why the usual procurement process cannot be followed; and - 2. How it is evidenced that the contract represents <i>value for money</i>; and - 3. That the direct award (if applicable) is not a breach of EU procurement rules 	Date of Request	Estimated total value of the Contract
P2017/1243/EG Direct Award White Lining	1. The reason why the usual procurement process cannot be followed; The previous contracted supplier provides the service at better rates than framework tendered rates. 2. How it is evidenced that the contract represents value for money Comparative prices supplied of procured equivalent services evidence value for money. The contracted rates represent a significant saving. 3. That the direct award (if applicable) is not a breach of EU procurement rules Confirmed	27 June 2017	£300,000.00
P2017/1349/NE Direct Award Direct award for Non Domestic Water Rates to NWG Business. One year max subject to 30 days notice at any time without penalty secures saving against default rates of £8,999 per annum. The work is on the NEPO collaborate work plan.	1. The reason why the usual procurement process cannot be followed; This is the only identified setting which can meet specific needs. 2. How it is evidenced that the contract represents value for money Comparative prices supplied of procured equivalent services evidence value for money. 3. That the direct award (if applicable) is not a breach of EU procurement rules Confirmed	21 September 2017	£143,000.00
P2017/1277/PE Provision of Residential Care for Older People and Older People with Mental Health, this is the procurement of care home services for Darlington Borough Council to commissioned by Development and Commissioning team as part of the Adult and Childrens Services Directorate. It is proposed to extend the present contract on its present	1. The reason why the usual procurement process cannot be followed; Short term extension on existing terms 2. How it is evidenced that the contract represents value for money Comparative prices supplied of procured equivalent services evidence value for money. Darlington's rates are second lowest in the Tees Valley group of local authorities and therefore can be reasonably	21 September 2017	£13,100,000

	Procurement Board decision and reasons <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - 1. The reason why the usual procurement process cannot be followed; and - 2. How it is evidenced that the contract represents <i>value for money</i>; and - 3. That the direct award (if applicable) is not a breach of EU procurement rules 	Date of Request	Estimated total value of the Contract
<p>terms for a one year period. The pricing formula will be in line with the existing formula. The present formula and pricing has been benchmarked against appropriate and comparable authorities and demonstrates value for money and that Darlington's pricing is competitive. It is proposed to vary only the EMI element by £10 uplift which will bring it up to the lowest values paid by neighbouring authorities.</p>	<p>benchmarked as offering value for money.</p> <p>3. That the direct award (if applicable) is not a breach of EU procurement rules</p> <p>Confirmed – subject to the required OJEU publication of the contract opportunity and reporting. In order to comply with the legal procurement obligations OJEU Prior Information Notice/ Contract Award notices will be published compliant with Public Contracts Regulations 2015.</p> <p>The Prior Information Notice confirming the contract requirements and how to access the contract opportunity will be published for a requisite period so the contracts can be lawfully entered into in accordance with 74 – 75 of Public Contracts Regulations 2015.</p>		