

**PROCUREMENT BOARD DECISIONS TO WAIVE CONTRACT PROCEDURE RULES
PERIOD 12.09.17 – 01.03.18 SPEND OVER £100K**

	Procurement Board decision and reasons <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - 1. The reason why the usual procurement process cannot be followed; and - 2. How it is evidenced that the contract represents <i>value for money</i>; and - 3. That the direct award (if applicable) is not a breach of EU procurement rules 	Date of Request	Estimated total value of the Contract
<p>P2017/1390/PE 30 October 2017</p> <p>Direct Award NHS Health Checks (19317) Public Health</p> <p>The NHS Health Checks programme is provided by all 11 GP Practices in Darlington and is activity based and therefore the value of each individual GP Practice contract varies between £7,000 to £17,000. Currently the GP Practices are the only suitable providers of this service. However the service is being reviewed with a view to competitive tendering as appropriate. The prospective procurement requirement has been added to the Procurement Annual Plan.</p>	<p>1. The reason why the usual procurement process cannot be followed; Service transferred into the Council as part of Public Health Statutory obligations.</p> <p>2. How it is evidenced that the contract represents value for money Prices are considered within expected range and will be tendered as appropriate following service review/ equalities considerations.</p> <p>3. That the direct award (if applicable) is not a breach of EU procurement rules Confirmed –this is under threshold.</p>	<p>30 October 2017</p>	<p>£120,000 for one year</p>
<p>P2017/1420/PE 11 December 2017</p> <p>Direct Award Specialist residential placement for adult with social care and health requirement. Proposed Provider is the only available resource. Costs are split 50/50 with the CCG.</p>	<p>1. The reason why the usual procurement process cannot be followed; Proposed provider is the only available one to meet specific needs.</p> <p>2. How it is evidenced that the contract represents value for money Comparative prices supplied of equivalent services evidence value for money.</p> <p>3. That the direct award (if applicable) is not a breach of EU procurement rules Confirmed</p>	<p>11 December 2017</p>	<p>£269,459.00 over four years</p>

	Procurement Board decision and reasons <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - 1. The reason why the usual procurement process cannot be followed; and - 2. How it is evidenced that the contract represents <i>value for money</i>; and - 3. That the direct award (if applicable) is not a breach of EU procurement rules 	Date of Request	Estimated total value of the Contract
<p>P2017/1456/PE 22nd December 2017</p> <p>Direct Award "NHS Business Service Authority charges. Approx. £25,000 per month. This is a statutory responsibility under the Health and Social Care Act 2012 to provide medicines as part of Public Health Services. The NHS Business Authority is a government agency responsible for managing the system for payments for prescriptions in England. DBC are billed on a monthly basis for those medicines prescribed as part of the delivery of the Public Health services that are commissioned by the authority for the residents of Darlington. The procurement processes for Public Health services include controls to achieve efficiencies or reduce the financial risks associated with the prescribing of those specific medicines that are provided as part of Public Health services that the authority is responsible. The Business Authority invoices are also validated by the Public Health team using contractual data and other independent data sources.</p>	<p>1. The reason why the usual procurement process cannot be followed; This is a statutory payment to the nominated government agency responsible for managing prescriptions in England.</p> <p>2. How it is evidenced that the contract represents <i>value for money</i> The prices are set on a tariff.</p> <p>3. That the direct award (if applicable) is not a breach of EU procurement rules Confirmed – this payment is outside of Public Contracts Regulations 2015 as a required contributions between public bodies.</p>	<p>22 December 2017</p>	<p>£600,000 over 2 years</p>
<p>P2018/1459/PE December 2017</p> <p>Direct Award Residential Placement – Older Person out of authority to meet specialist needs. Cost is £950.00 per week - £49,533.00 per annum. This is funded 50/50 by CCG.</p>	<p>1. The reason why the usual procurement process cannot be followed; Out of area placement with specific needs</p> <p>2. How it is evidenced that the contract represents <i>value for money</i> Comparative prices supplied of procured equivalent services evidence value for money.</p> <p>3. That the direct award (if applicable) is not a breach of EU procurement rules Confirmed</p>	<p>December 2017</p>	<p>£200,000 over 4 years</p>

	Procurement Board decision and reasons <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - 1. The reason why the usual procurement process cannot be followed; and - 2. How it is evidenced that the contract represents <i>value for money</i>; and - 3. That the direct award (if applicable) is not a breach of EU procurement rules 	Date of Request	Estimated total value of the Contract
<p>P2018/1465/PE 3 January 2018</p> <p>Direct Award Secure residential placement welfare sourced at short notice further to a Court Welfare Order. Longer term accommodation and support is being explored via the NEPO portal.</p>	<p>1. The reason why the usual procurement process cannot be followed; This is the only identified setting which can meet specific needs. Alternative placements are being pursued.</p> <p>2. How it is evidenced that the contract represents <i>value for money</i> Comparative prices supplied of procured equivalent services evidence value for money. Alternative placements are being pursued.</p> <p>3. That the direct award (if applicable) is not a breach of EU procurement rules Confirmed</p>	<p>3 January 2018</p>	<p>£137,000</p>
<p>P2018/1469/PE 08 January 2017</p> <p>Direct Award Residential and education child placement. The existing commissioned arrangements were explored (Tees Valley Framework) without success. This school has recently expanded and therefore had additional capacity. Due to the presenting needs the individual needed to be accommodated with education a reasonable distance outside of the Borough. The Provider has previously delivered very positive outcomes for young people. The provider is not on the TV contract or NE12 at present, due to the reopening potential of these contracts, an approach to the provider will be made to see if they will enter onto these existing commissioned arrangements.</p>	<p>1. The reason why the usual procurement process cannot be followed; Appropriate procurement route was attempted but did not identify a suitable placement,</p> <p>2. How it is evidenced that the contract represents <i>value for money</i> Comparative prices supplied of procured equivalent services evidence value for money.</p> <p>3. That the direct award (if applicable) is not a breach of EU procurement rules Confirmed –this is under threshold and an OJEU compliant procurement was adopted prior to this award.</p>	<p>8 January 2017</p>	<p>£500,000 over 4 years</p>

	Procurement Board decision and reasons	Date of Request	Estimated total value of the Contract
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - 1. The reason why the usual procurement process cannot be followed; and - 2. How it is evidenced that the contract represents <i>value for money</i>; and - 3. That the direct award (if applicable) is not a breach of EU procurement rules 		
<p>P2018/1483/EG 26th January 2018</p> <p>Direct Award Utility diversions for Vodafone Ltd for Ingenium Parc. Vodafone is the appropriate statutory undertaker and the works can only be engaged with them directly. Direct award requests are included below and on the annual plan to allow contracted services with statutory undertakers.</p>	<p>1. The reason why the usual procurement process cannot be followed; Statutory undertaker services are not open to competition.</p> <p>2. How it is evidenced that the contract represents <i>value for money</i> These services cannot be sourced from other providers. Rates are set by statute.</p> <p>3. That the direct award (if applicable) is not a breach of EU procurement rules Confirmed</p>	26 January 2018	£150,000
<p>P2018/1484/EG 29 January 2018</p> <p>Direct Award Annual Maintenance of the Highways Asset Management System. The system was procured some years ago, the request is to cover the annual maintenance of the system going forward.</p>	<p>1. The reason why the usual procurement process cannot be followed; Extension of existing procured system is more economic than procuring a new system.</p> <p>2. How it is evidenced that the contract represents <i>value for money</i> Extension at procured rates.</p> <p>3. That the direct award (if applicable) is not a breach of EU procurement rules Confirmed –this is under threshold.</p>	29 January 2018	£50,000 per annum. Extension subject to approval on the annual plan.

	Procurement Board decision and reasons <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - 1. The reason why the usual procurement process cannot be followed; and - 2. How it is evidenced that the contract represents <i>value for money</i>; and - 3. That the direct award (if applicable) is not a breach of EU procurement rules 	Date of Request	Estimated total value of the Contract
<p>P2018/1485/PE 29 January 2018</p> <p>Direct Award Specialist Residential Care placement adult social care within Hundens Park to meet specific needs.</p>	<p>1. The reason why the usual procurement process cannot be followed; Specialist placement to meet specific needs.</p> <p>2. How it is evidenced that the contract represents <i>value for money</i> Comparative prices supplied of procured equivalent services evidence value for money.</p> <p>3. That the direct award (if applicable) is not a breach of EU procurement rules Confirmed –this is under threshold and an OJEU compliant procurement was adopted prior to this award.</p>	<p>29 January 2018</p>	<p>£120,000 over 4 years</p>